

Annotated Proceedings
of the
Citizen Hearing on
Disclosure

===== **DRAFT DRAFT DRAFT** =====
please do not redistribute

April 29 to May 3 2013

National Press Club
Washington DC

===== **DRAFT DRAFT DRAFT** =====
please do not redistribute

Paradigm Research Group

[10 September 2013 DRAFT](#)

Joseph G. Buchman, PhD
Moderator

Table of Contents

A note about the annotation of this transcript	i
--	---

HEARING DAYS

Monday, April 29

Morning: Opening Statements, Mitchell, Hellyer, Bassett.	1
History A: Dolan, Cameron, Friedman, Howe	7
History B. Dolan, Huneus, Sheehan, French	40
Afternoon: Rockefeller Initiative A: Greer, Sheehan, Cameron, Huneus	76
Rockefeller Initiative B: Cameron, Howe, Greer, Bassett	108

Tuesday, April 30

Morning: Bentwaters: Pope, Frascogna, Penniston, Burroughs . .	137
Afternoon: Nuclear Tampering. Salas, Scott, Schindale, Fenstermacher, Dolan	

Wednesday, May 1

Morning A: Various Issues. Davenport, Howe, Heseltine, Robbins.	
Morning B: Documents. Wood, Howe, Friedman, Cameron.	
Afternoon: Roswell. Schmitt, Friedman, Randle, Marcel, Jr., Marcel III, French.	

Table of Contents

HEARING DAYS (continued)

Thursday, May 2

Morning: South America. Gevaerd, Choy, Sanchez,
Santa-Maria, Huneus.

Afternoon: Other Nations. Pinotti, Dr. Shili, Cameron,
Nick Pope, Huneus.

Friday, May 3

Morning A: Pilots/Controllers. French, Filer,
Callahan, Allen.

Morning B: Truth Embargo. Torres, Howe, Dolan,
Anonymous.

Afternoon A: Technology. Wood, Greer, Leir,
Valone.

Afternoon B: Closing Remarks. Hellyer, Bassett.

EVENING SESSIONS

Monday, April 29 Evening

Tuesday, April 30 Evening

Wednesday, May 1 Evening

Thursday, May 2 Evening

Friday, May 3 Evening

EXHIBITS

Appendix 1: Biographies of Committee Members.

Appendix 2: A brief history of the Citizen Hearing.

Appendix 3: Works cited by witnesses and Committee members

Appendix 4: Links to media coverage

A note about the editing and annotation of these proceedings.

This is a verbatim transcript of the audio recorded during The Citizen Hearing on Disclosure held 29 April to 3 May 2013 at the National Press Club in Washington DC, with the following exceptions:

The transcription has been edited for clarity. For example, where a witness or member of the committee self-corrected an initial verbal misstatement; or where an abbreviation, diminutive, acronym or similar verbal short-cut was used, the text has been corrected to match the speaker's self-correction and/or to use the longer, more formal, more easily understood form.

Likewise, in some cases honorifics or other identifying information have been added for clarity.

Recorded comments not directly related to the Citizen Hearing itself were not transcribed.

Where annotated, each annotation is clearly identified and included only as a footnote or endnote to the original text.

Additional biographical materials, as well as a short history of the development of the Citizen Hearing, have been included in the Appendices.

Time queues are given within parentheses at approximate five minute intervals throughout the text, measured from the opening session on the first day of the Hearing.

Any errors are those of the transcriber, Citizen Hearing Moderator, Joseph G. Buchman, PhD.

Additions, corrections or suggestions for improvement are welcome by email to drbuchman@gmail.com.

Day One

Monday 29 April 2013

DAY ONE
Opening Remarks (9:00AM to 9:18 AM, Monday, April 29, 2013)
Mitchell, Hellyer, Bassett

(00:00)

Buchman: We will begin with opening remarks by Representatives Bartlett and Woolsey; they will each have five minutes.

Bartlett: Good morning. I am Congressman Roscoe Bartlett and this Hearing will come to order. The First Amendment to the Constitution says that the citizens have the right to petition the government for a redress of grievances. This certainly covers a request to hold a Hearing on this very important subject. Since the Congress has not fulfilled their Constitutional obligation, I am very pleased that I can be here today at this Hearing.

We are going to conduct this Hearing just as we would if we were in the United States House with the same kind of decorum that extends to those who are sitting here in the room. I'm sure you have all seen C-SPAN and have seen what goes on in a Hearing in the United States House of Representatives. This will be conducted as closely as we can to the kind of hearings we have in the House.

I am pleased to now recognize my former colleague, friend and co-chair this morning, Ms. Woolsey.

Woolsey: Good morning everybody; thank you for being here. Look at this amazing crowd. There's no question there is a lot of interest in this subject. I am Congresswoman Lynn Woolsey. I retired four months ago from the United States Congress. So I am just learning how to do this as a retiree. I am really happy to be a participant and to be able to listen today for the interesting comments and what our witnesses are going to say to us, because it is really nice to have the time and the opportunity to do this.

I can tell you though that the very idea of listening to the participants who will speak this week has caused quite a stir in my former district. There's a newspaper that has covered me for twenty years that hardly ever paid any attention. Well, I made front-page, top-of-the-fold, double print just last week. I thought that was pretty darn good, finally I am retired and they are going to put me top-of-the-fold.

Also there've been a quite a few blogs with some really weird responses to the fact that I am doing this. There have been emails that aren't all that

positive. But I am telling you, in the overall, people come up to me and say, "I'm so jealous; I'd love to be there. This is so interesting."

It made me really glad that the people I know and live with know how really interesting this week is going to be. And it will be. It is going to be an educational week for sure. I think that all of the participants who are going to be here and I have the honor to introduce the rest of the colleagues who are here today to you. We are, as Chairman Bartlett said, going to be sitting like we are in committee and we are going to pattern what we are doing today after a formal Congressional Hearing.

First of all we have with us Congresswoman Darlene Hooley, Senator Mike Gravel, Congresswoman Carolyn Kilpatrick and Congressman Merrill Cook. We are all retired. Among us we have 80 years of experience on the Federal level. Roscoe and I were Congressional classmates; we have twenty years each.

(00:05)

Our commitment today is as if we were part of a Hearing in the United States House of Representatives. It is our commitment to listen through objective ears, and to ask the kinds of questions that we think that the American people would like us to ask so that they can become better informed because obviously there's going to be media coverage on this. We would like to make the public more aware and we would like to have a Hearing that could lead possibly to legislation or policy making. So if we are objective, business-like and we stick to the issue, I think that we are going to have a very, very interesting week.

First we will have our opening remarks.

Bassett: Dr. Mitchell will be brought in on Skype.

Mitchell: Good morning all. I am speaking to you from Florida. Unfortunately I had some conflicts so cannot be with you this week. I am a former astronaut; flew to the Moon on Apollo 14, so I have a little bit of off-the-Earth experience. I also grew up near Roswell, New Mexico; a ranch boy. The so-called Roswell incident of 1947 occurred when I was a senior in High School and getting ready to go off to college in the east. I have been interested in this subject for many years.

Let me set up the basic credentials.

For the first time in our human history, our technology showing us how huge our Universe, or some would call it a Multiverse, is with billions and billions of galaxies, galactic clusters and stars. We've identified a

few and many of them that could possibly have living beings. We have a history that I am aware of, particularly starting with the Roswell incident, but even long before that, that we have been visited by visitors from different star systems and different planets.

Of course, if we continue our endeavors, we will, in due course, be able to go beyond our solar system as well. At the moment our technology is not allowing us to do that, but in due course we will be able to do that, I am quite sure. We must because our Sun will only last a few more billion years. And we are doing things that cause life on this planet to be unsustainable at the rate we are going by using up non-renewable resources. So we have to rethink this whole issue of being here on this planet at this point in time. How do we continue our life here? How do we continue being stalwart citizens of Planet Earth and keeping our civilization going? And eventually be able to leave our planet and go somewhere else. And investigate somewhere else, because we are not alone in the Universe. They have been coming here for a long, long time it seems. The evidence would suggest that we have been having visitors for a long, long time; perhaps hundreds, maybe a thousand years. There are some who would even say that the Pyramids both in Egypt and in South America; that aliens aided us in building those. That seems to have a reasonable ring to it.

(00:10)

But in any event, we are not alone in the Universe. We are only now beginning to get enough evidence, through our science and our understanding of the way the Universe is put together, to recognize that we really are not alone. We can in due course, just like others have, it appears. They come here; we go there. We will have a lot of testimony here today regarding that fact. I urge you to listen to it and let us open this up to the larger picture and the knowledge that yes, we are not alone; they are here. We can go there and in due course we will.

I have been very interested in the last 16 or 17 years in speaking about it publicly. Since I went to the moon 40 some years ago and my colleague Gordon Cooper also had an incident when he was at Edwards Air Force Base as a duty officer. He had a UFO land when he was on duty. There are a number of us that have had these types of experiences to say, "Yes, we are not alone. We have been visited and let us go forward in understanding this phenomenon."

I hope you have a good week. I'll stay abreast with you as long as I can. Thank you.

Hellyer: Good morning. I am Paul Hellyer former minister of Defense of Canada and a long time participant in politics and governance. Over many decades of service to the Canadian people I have come to understand and appreciate the importance of open, transparent government and the power of truth as an antidote to the many afflictions the body politic is err to. The true currency of the 21st Century will not be gold or silver or a basket of currencies, however useful they may be; it will be trust. Without that commodity progress and right action are undermined and delayed. There is only one way to regain trust; find and tell the truth.

(Applause.)

Whether to your friends or family or to the citizens of nations, I believe this Citizen Hearing serves that purpose. Like my friend Dr. Edgar Mitchell, I say without equivocation, "We are not alone in the Cosmos. We have neighbors. We should try to get to understand them and to try to cooperate with them."

Thank you.

Bassett: Good morning to the Committee. I am Steven Bassett, Executive Director of the Paradigm Research Group and an activist. In November of 2011, the White House issued a formal written statement through their Office of Science and Technology Policy that can be found on the Internet quite easily. This statement had three sentences. I paraphrase:

First, there is no evidence whatsoever of any life outside of our planet.

Second, there is no evidence that any life outside our planet has contacted or engaged the human race.

Third, there is no credible information that the United States government has been hiding that from the American people.

With all due respect to the White House, and to the President, this statement is remarkable for the following three reasons:

1) It is false.

2) It is indefensibly false.

3) This is very important. It is the first time in history that the Executive Branch has put its position on this matter in writing. So there it is. That is the position of the White House.

What is going to take place this week, we hope, is that a portion of the massive amount of evidence confirming the alternative to that statement, that we are in fact being engaged, is going to be presented to the American people, to the political media, to the Congress up the street, for their consideration.

(00:15)

We hope that the comparison between what is shown here and the White House's position will prompt the political media to go into investigation mode. Not simply to record what is going on, but to ask very difficult questions; the obvious questions to the obvious people, individuals that are known to be involved with this issue in various ways.

We also hope that the United States Congress which has not held a Hearing on this subject since 1968 will consider this issue worthy of Hearings within the United States House and Senate. And I can assure the member of the United States House and the Senate, that for every witness that appears here this week, there are five more behind them, and then five more behind those. Hundreds of individuals, many serving in our government, others serving in our military, including those with top security clearances and testify that the kind of evidence that you will here this week that confirms what Dr. Mitchell said.

We are not alone in the Universe; we are being engaged now.

The United States government for national security reasons withheld that from us during the Cold War. But the Cold War is over and now it is time to move forward.

Thank you very much.

(Applause.)

Bartlett: As co-Chair of this Hearing, I'd like to make a brief statement.

I think it was about 33 years ago that I was camping in West Virginia on a mountain 4,000 feet high. I got up in the middle of the night, went out, and just couldn't believe what I saw. The stars looked so darn close; it looked like I could reach up and touch them. There really was a Milky Way! I can't see it here in Washington DC, there is too much pollution; there are way too many lights here to see the Milky Way.

We are just one fairly mediocre star in the Milky Way. If the Milky Way was the United States, we are a fairly mediocre star somewhere in South Georgia. There are a billion, perhaps, other galaxies out there like ours.

I am a scientist. I got my PhD sixty-six years ago. You have to be very arrogant and very presumptive to believe that this is the only place where life exists in a Universe this large.

So I am really looking forward to the testimony today. Thank you all very much for being here.

(Applause.)

(00:18)

DAY ONE

Session One, History One (9:18AM to 10:48 AM, Monday, April 29, 2013)
Dolan, Cameron, Friedman, Howe

Buchman: If we could have Ms. Howe, Mr. Dolan, Mr. Friedman and Mr. Cameron come forward. In a moment I will have the distinct honor of administering the oath.

If you could repeat after me please:

“I do hereby affirm that I will tell the truth and nothing but the truth to the members of this committee today.”

Thank you.

Dolan: Esteemed members of the Committee, thank you for having me. I am a researcher and historian on the matter of UFOs, particularly as they relate to United States national security policy.

The UFO problem has involved military personnel around the world for more than sixty years, and it is wrapped in secrecy. Because this subject is so widely ridiculed, it is important to stress why it is worthy of serious attention.

Stories of strange objects in the sky actually go far back in time. But from the 1940s to our own era, military personnel from the United States and many other nations have encountered unidentified flying objects, visually or on radar, or both, sometimes at close range. These events happen not scores of times but hundreds of times, perhaps thousands.

Sometimes the encounter was nothing more than a solid radar return of an object moving at an incomprehensible speed, performing impossible maneuvers. Sometimes it included the violation of sensitive air space. Often it involved the dispatch of one or more aircraft to intercept the object. At times, crew members have claimed to see a metallic, disc-like object, sometimes with portholes, sometimes with lights, frequently engaged in what appeared to be intelligent, evasive maneuvers.

(00:20)

In a very few cases, it appears to have involved the military retrieval of a UFO. In a few others, it involved injury and even death to military personnel. In a very large number of recorded instances, military personnel who encountered UFOs were adamant that they did not see a natural phenomenon.

This is clearly a serious development, and it has been treated as such by those groups charged with maintaining national security. The CIA, NSA, and all branches of military intelligence have historically received UFO reports and discussed the matter as something of serious concern.

And yet, the military and other branches of government have created the fiction, for public consumption only, that the UFO problem is nothing to be concerned about – certainly not the result of “little green men.”

We are fortunate that, starting in the 1970s, the United States Freedom of Information Act began to help researchers learn some of the truth that lay behind the facade of propaganda. We learned, for example, that some United States military analysts initially feared that the Soviet Union might be behind the “flying saucer” wave of the 1940s and 50s. They studied this possibility, but rejected it. They also rejected the possibility that these were secret American technology.

Indeed, options quickly narrowed. Either this was something real and alien, or it was something “conventional” but as yet unknown or unexplained. Already, by the end of 1947, a contingent of analysts at the Air Technical Intelligence Center (ATIC) at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base believed that UFOs were extraterrestrial. By the summer of 1948, that team prepared what they called an “Estimate of the Situation” stating the extraterrestrial hypothesis. The response? the team was dispersed and reassigned.

Yet, thanks to FOIA and the courage of a few senior officials to go on the record, we have a collection of statements about UFOs that are so numerous as to be impossible to mention all of them here. But a few might give you an appropriate flavor of what I mean.

Here is one from General Robert B. Landry, Air Force Aide to President Harry S. Truman. Landry says, in an oral-history interview given about forty years ago:

“I was called one afternoon [in 1948] to come to the Oval Office – the President wanted to see me. . . . I was directed to report quarterly to the President after consulting with Central Intelligence people, as to whether or not any UFO incidents received by them could be considered as having any strategic threatening implications”

Landry went on to say that he continued to brief President Truman, in conjunction with the CIA, quarterly for the rest of the Truman Presidency. That’s no less than 16 briefings.

We might want to know why a man as busy as President Truman would take the time out of his schedule to have so many meetings about UFOs.

And yet we have no official transcript or record of these briefings.

This is a statement from a Top Secret 1948 Air Force Intelligence report, "Analysis of Flying Object Incidents in the United States:"

"The frequency of reported sightings, the similarity in many of the characteristics attributed to the observed objects and the quality of observers considered as a whole, support the contention that some type of flying object has been observed. . . . The origin of the devices is not ascertainable."

Just two more quotations which I would like to give to you.

An Air Force Intelligence Report from 1951, relating to an aerial encounter by a United States fighter pilot:

"[Object] described as flat on top and bottom and appearing from a front view to have round edges and slightly beveled. . . ."

Quite a bit of detail there.

"No vapor trails or exhaust or visible means of propulsion. Described as traveling at tremendous speed."

And, one more quote from the early years, this one from a former head of the Central Intelligence Agency, Roscoe Hillenkoetter, speaking in 1960:

"Behind the scenes, high-ranking Air Force officers are soberly concerned about UFOs. But through official secrecy and ridicule, many citizens are led to believe the unknown flying objects are nonsense."

(00:25)

How much clearer a statement should responsible citizens, academicians, media, and political leadership require before demanding to get some reasonable answers as to what is going on behind the scenes in relation to the phenomenon of UFOs? Because the problem certainly did not end during the 1960s, or 1970s, or 1980s, but has continued to the present day.

During the summer of 2002, just outside this city, over the town of Waldorf, Maryland, dozens of witnesses reported an incredible scene:

multiple jet fighters chasing multiple, large, unknown objects that were of blue and orange coloration. All the witnesses, two of whom I interviewed personally, and several of whom spoke to national media, described the amazing performance and capability of these objects. The Air Force itself admitted it had scrambled F-16s to investigate unknowns, which it admitted it had tracked at least one UFO on radar. We also learned that the UFO simply disappeared from the radar. The Air Force conclusion: it could have been “any number of things.”

Perhaps we might like to know precisely which things? What blue object can descend at an 80 degree angle, stop, reverse course, and accelerate away from two F-16 jets near the nation’s Capital in post 9-11 America?

It is an interesting question to ponder.

Over Chicago’s O’Hare International Airport, in November 2006, there was the same kind of situation. A dozen United Airlines employees, including at least one pilot while on the ground, saw a hovering disc-shaped object below the clouds. It then accelerated away so fast that it punched a hole in the cloud. United ordered its employees to silence, but one of them reported the event anyway. After denials by United and the FAA, both agencies were forced to acknowledge that, indeed, those individuals had made UFO reports. Again, we might ask: what might this have been, over one of the busiest airports in the world, and why the steadfast silence and denial?

These are only some of the better known recent cases. There are, in fact, an overwhelming number of them. The two largest websites for collecting North American UFO reports, the National UFO Reporting Center and the Mutual UFO network, have a combined total of well over 10,000 reports every year.

Every year.

Clearly, many or most of these would turn out to be something prosaic if they were given an adequate investigation. But go through some of these reports. Many of them are truly incredible, and many of them have indeed received follow-up investigation. They are unexplained, and at least by our conventional wisdom, unexplainable.

The combination of astonishing performance, powerful statements from selected senior officials, and unremitting silence and dismissal by our political establishment point to a problem. This is not merely the problem of cognitive dissonance. It is the problem a political system in which the wheels have fallen off the machine.

It is imperative in the name of science and responsible public policy that we get those wheels back on, and begin a genuine, open, investigation of this phenomenon.

We demand and deserve answers from responsible officials who ought to be in the know. And if they are not in the know, we all need to investigate and find out just who is.

Thank you.

Cameron: My name is Grant Cameron. I am a private investigator. For the past 38 years I have attempted to focus on what the highest levels of the United States government, military and intelligence agencies know about the UFO phenomenon.

I am the director of the Presidential UFO website. As Steven Bassett mentioned, the Obama administration has come out with an official statement stating that there is no evidence for an interaction with Extraterrestrials and that there is no cover-up. What I would like to do based on my years of research is to present a few pieces of evidence which show that this statement, written by a low-level White House staffer, is ill informed and not true.

(00:30)

Mr. Dolan pointed out that President Truman had been briefed for five years, orally; on the subject of UFOs and that this does not appear in the official record. I'd like to add that President Truman on video made a statement stating, "Yes. We discussed it at every conference we had with the military. There are always things like that going on; flying saucers and other such things."

In 1969 the United States government shut down its official UFO investigation, stating that there was no evidence that UFOs were extraterrestrial and therefore they were out of the business. If this were true the government would have shut up and allowed the subject to fade into history. This is not, however, what happened. Only three years after shutting down their program, the Air Force, at the direction of the Secretary of the Air Force, contacted two documentary producers who signed an official film contract at the Pentagon to do a documentary on UFOs.

They were provided with a number of films and pictures including a film of extraterrestrials landing at Holloman Air Force Base of which they used 8 seconds in the final production. They were given another film,

marked Top Secret that was never returned and is still in their possession. The documentary was funded with \$250,000 and they were asked not to source the funder in the credits. A CIA agent was present through the entire production, and as Linda Howe can testify she saw a letter to one of the producers from President Richard Nixon thanking him for his cooperation on the project.

Former Senator Barry Goldwater wrote extensively on UFOs such as in 1975 when he wrote about his friend General Curtis LeMay who refused him access in 1964 to the Blue Room at Wright Patterson Air Force Base, where alien hardware and bodies were rumored to have been held.

Goldwater wrote:

“I made an effort to find out what was in the building at Wright-Patterson Air Force base and I was understandably denied this request. It is still classified above Top Secret.”

- As Chairman of the Senate intelligence committee Goldwater continued to answer UFO letters, stating in one letter:

“This thing has gotten so highly-classified . . . it is just impossible to get anything on it.”

In another letter Senator Goldwater wrote:

“I have no idea of who controls the flow of need-to-know because, frankly, I was told in such an emphatic way that it was none of my business that I've never tried to make it to my business since.”

And finally Senator Goldwater wrote:

“I have been interested in this subject for a long time and I know that whatever the Air Force has on the subject is going to remain highly classified.”

Those waiting for the President to stand up and say, “Extraterrestrials are here,” should know that event occurred on June 27, 1981. Steven Spielberg stated that after the screening of “ET –The Extraterrestrial” in the White House theatre, President Reagan:

“Just stood up and he looked around the room, almost like he was doing a head count, and he said, ‘I want to thank you for bringing E.T. to the White House. We really enjoyed your movie.’ And then he looked around the room and he said, ‘And there are a number

of people in this room who know that everything on that screen is absolutely true.’

Steven Spielberg added,

“And he said it without smiling.”

If you check the files of the President George Herbert Walker Bush Library you will find nothing on UFOs. However, if you look at my files, you will find an audio of Vice President Bush during the 1988 campaign being asked about UFOs in which he says:

“I know some; I know a fair bit.”

Likewise, I have a video of Vice President Dan Quayle stating:

“The alien situation is very interesting. We literally spent some time looking at this.”

- In April 2001 I asked Vice President Dick Cheney if he had been ever briefed on the subject of UFOs. This was during his appearance on the Diane Rehm radio show here in Washington DC. Instead of stating there was no credible extraterrestrial evidence Vice President Cheney replied:

“If I had been briefed on that subject, it would probably be classified and I wouldn’t be talking about it.”

(00:35)

Even President Obama has said things that run contrary to the statement put out by his own White House. This might be because neither he nor anyone in his office saw the petition on the extraterrestrial question, put in by Steven Bassett, despite the fact that over 12,000 people signed the petition addressed to the President.

During a visit to Roswell, New Mexico in 2012, President Obama referred to the Roswell crash saying:

“We’re going to keep our secrets here.”

This raises the question, in light of the statement put out by his office that there is no extraterrestrial cover-up, what secrets is President Obama referring to?

Then during a White House tour President Obama anticipated the question that actor Will Smith's son Jaden wanted to ask about the reality of Extraterrestrials.

When they arrived in the White House situation room, the President said to Jaden:

“I know what you want to ask. You want to know about the aliens. I can neither confirm nor deny that extraterrestrials have visited Earth, but if they had, and if there had been a Top Secret meeting on this subject, it would have occurred in this very room.”

Then there is the story of Area-51 covered by the 19 time Emmy award winning investigative reporter George Knapp who has spent years covering the story. Knapp stated that he has over two dozen witnesses who tell bits and pieces a story of a captured live alien and extraterrestrial spacecraft that are being back-engineered on the Nevada test site.

He has also revealed during interviews that he had a discussion with Senator Howard Cannon shortly before his death. Senator Cannon basically confirmed to him that he had talked with Senator Goldwater and that the stories were true. Knapp stated that six of his witnesses were threatened which succeeded in stopping them from going on camera.

Finally in July 2012 Chase Brandon, a 42 year veteran of the CIA, was a guest on the overnight radio talk show Coast to Coast. His words on the extraterrestrial cover-up were clear, as he referenced material he had seen at CIA headquarters. To quote Chase Brandon:

“I absolutely know, as I sit here talking to you that there was a craft from beyond this world that crashed at Roswell and that the military picked up the remains of not just the wreckage but of cadavers. One hundred percent in my heart and soul Roswell happened. There was a craft and absolutely cadavers. . . . I don't know where the stuff is but I know Roswell happened.”

Thank You.

Friedman: Thank you for inviting me here. As a retired nuclear physicist who has been studying the evidence about UFOs since 1958, and since 1967 has given well over 700 lectures in all 50 States, 10 Canadian Provinces, and 18 other countries, I have reached the following four major conclusions:

- 1) The evidence is overwhelming that Earth is being visited by intelligently controlled extraterrestrial spacecraft.

In other words, some, underline that 10 times, some UFOs are alien spacecraft; most are not and I don't care about them.

- 2) There is no doubt that a small number of people in governments both in the United States and overseas have been actively covering up the truth about these visits.

There really is a "Cosmic Watergate."

- 3) There are no good arguments against these conclusions; only people who haven't studied the relevant evidence.
- 4) Flying saucer visitations and the Cosmic Watergate represent the biggest story of the millennium.

I should say that I prefer the term "flying saucer" because all flying saucers are UFOs; very few UFOs are flying saucers. All great-grandfathers are men, but not all men are great-grandfathers. I'm interested in the flying saucers; not all UFOs.

The basis for these conclusions? there are at least five large-scale scientific studies which provide substantial evidence. These include:

- 1) Project Bluebook Special Report Number 14. This was the largest study ever done for the United States Air Force. It covers more than 3,200 cases with more than 200 charts, tables, graphs and maps. It is quality evaluation, a cross comparison between unknowns and knowns.

(00:40)

The official press release lied about the data, and I'll document that in a minute. It is almost never referenced by UFO debunkers. Carl Sagan, we were classmates at the University of Chicago, claimed:

"There are interesting UFO sightings that are not reliable and reliable UFO sightings that are not interesting; but there are no sightings that are both reliable and interesting."

This statement was not backed-up by reference to data, but is directly contradicted by the Blue Book Special Report 14 data. It was found that the more reliable the case, the more likely to be unexplainable. The United States Air Force secretary Donald Quarles in a very widely

distributed press release, when the 1955 study was completed, flat out lied. That's a strong statement, and I'm sorry to make it, but it is true. He stated:

“We believe that no objects such as those popularly described as flying saucers have overflowed the United States. Even the unknown three percent could have been identified as conventional phenomenon or illusions if more complete observational data had been available.”

The fact of the matter in the report that he was talking about is that the unknowns comprised 21.5 percent of the cases completely separate from the 9.3 percent listed insufficient information. The three percent number was a lie. Furthermore a statistical comparison of the unknowns and the knowns showed that the probability that the unknowns were just missed knowns was less than one percent.

It must further be noted that the press release did not give the title of the report, or the company that did the work, Battelle Memorial Institute. If they had given the title, surely some newsman would have said, “Hey! What happened to reports 1 through 13? We've never heard about them.”

The correct answer had it been given would have been that they were all classified.

It is a very valuable source of information, this report. It is funny how the debunkers never talk about it.

Another primary source of viable data which should be of interest to you all:

2) The Congressional Hearings of July 29th 1968 which included testimony from 12 scientists.

The most important paper was by Dr. James E. MacDonald, professor of physics at the University of Arizona. He had talked to 500 witnesses, but he presented 41 outstanding cases, including multiple witnesses, radar visual sightings; sightings by pilots, by astronomers, by meteorologists. He noted physical trace cases of which, by now, more than 5,000 have been collected by Ted Phillips from 95 nations. They get dull. Same thing was happening all over the world. Saucer seen on the ground, it leaves; they find physical changes. It happens here; it happens there; it happens everywhere.

One sixth of those cases involve reports of small beings associated with the craft while it was on the ground.

I was the youngest contributor, and I think the only survivor to those Congressional Hearings in 1968. I was the only one without a PhD. The proceedings are still available online.

One of the contributors was Dr. J. Allen Hynek, Chairman of the Astronomy Department at Northwestern University. For twenty years the United States Air Force consultant to Project Bluebook. His book, "The UFO Experience: A Scientific Inquiry" should be required reading for anybody who is going to talk about flying saucers, especially negatively.

- 3) According to a special UFO subcommittee of the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, the world's largest group of space scientists, 30 percent of the 117 cases studied by the University of Colorado government sponsored study in the late 1960s and discussed in the Dr. Edward U. Condon report could not be identified. And yet the headlines said, "Scientific Study Shows No UFOs."

Totally untrue.

- 4) There's a two-volume report called "The UFO Evidence" which has loads of data; hundreds of cases that couldn't be identified.
- 5) There's also the COMETA Report from France, which goes into numerous excellent officially involved cases in France.

(00:45)

There are a dozen PhD dissertations about UFOs; one of them demonstrates how poor the press coverage has been. I haven't seen one about coverage by the scientific community, or coverage by the political community. That's another story. Anybody need a PhD thesis topic? There are two good ones; also a third one, "The Will Not To Believe On The Part of Scientists." It is a sickness; a disease if you will, infecting people who believe they know all there is to know.

A really bad example of bias and ignorance appeared in Astronomy Magazine, this month, May 2013, by Dr. Phil Plait. He does a BLOG www.badastronomy.com. The title of the article, believe it or not, is, "The Science Behind UFOs." It is a cover story. He somehow manages to mention none of the five large-scale scientific studies that I have just talked about, or any of the 5,000 physical trace cases, or any of the multiple witness radar visual cases, or abductions, nor advanced technologies

studies at national labs, showing trips to nearby stars in reasonable round trip times using fusion propulsion are feasible. I worked on fusion back in the early 1960s. To give you a form of reference, every star in the Universe, or Multiverse, produces its energy by nuclear fusion. That's what H-Bombs are all about. A good demonstration of the atom and the UFO together, if you will, is that in a very short period we managed to go from a 10 ton blockbuster, World War Two. Took a B-29 to carry it. That was in 1944. In 1945, the first A-Bomb; 15,000 tons of TNT. Seven years later, which is nothing; cost a lot of money, we set off the first fusion device, an H-Bomb called "Mike" incidentally. It released the energy of 10 million tons of TNT.

So you go from 10, to 15,000 to 10,000,000 tons. The Russians set off one that was 50 million tons of TNT. The point is we know how to get to the stars if we want to spend the money. I'm not saying it is the ultimate technology. I am saying scientific progress comes from doing things differently in an unpredictable way. The future is not an extrapolation of the past. You have to change how you do things.

What gets to me having worked on fusion propulsion, fission propulsion, nuclear reactors for space applications and all the rest of this, is that we tend to forget that if you make enough stupid assumptions, you can prove that almost anything is impossible.

One quick example; one astronomer in 1941, who was fed up with all the science fiction stuff about going to the Moon; that was nonsense, he said. So he published a "scientific paper" calculating an initial launch weight of a rocket capable of getting a man to the Moon and back. A legitimate question, How big does it have to be? After pages of equations, he concluded it would have to weigh a million-million tons. He was off by a factor of 300 million. Proving if you make enough stupid assumptions, you can prove anything is impossible.

So we have reason to be very careful when somebody says something is impossible. I have a whole book. It is Impossible Isn't It, but When Science Was Wrong is what the publisher decided on. I liked the first one better.

Let's look at another progression, not only in bombs. Ferdinand Magellan's ship, he didn't make it but his ship did, it went around the planet in three years in 1523. Three years. Science fiction writers had going around the world in 80 days in the 19th Century. The space station goes around the world in 90 minutes. Progress comes from doing things differently.

(00:50)

I worked under security for 14 years for major companies like General Electric, General Motors, Westinghouse, McDonnell Douglas, TRW Systems,

and General Nucleonics. I believe I set a record for working on cancelled government research and development programs. Not intentionally, you understand.

Another one of the false myths is that governments can't keep secrets. Two astronomers have demonstrated this, Dr. Seth Shostak of the Search for Extraterrestrial Intelligence Institute, you know S . . . E . . . T . . . I . . . the "Silly Effort To Investigate."

(Laughter.)

That's another story of which I've written about in the book.

Dr. Shostak said, You can prove that the government can't keep secrets by how badly the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) fouled up with hurricane Katrina, and how poorly the post office is operated.

Now if you can see a connections between either of those things and governments keeping secrets, I sure can't. He didn't mention the CIA, the DIA, the NRO, the NSA and all those other alphabet soup agencies.

Another great astronomer, Dr. Neil DeGrasse Tyson, head of the Hayden Planetarium, said that, The proof that governments can't keep secrets is shown by how much we know about President Clinton's genitalia.

Now if you can figure that one out . . .

(Laughter.)

The proof about government cover-up, and I will show more of this in my lecture Tuesday night, is not just an idle concept. If you wish you can get ahold of 156 top secret "UMBRA" National Security Agency UFO documents. They are not very interesting because all you can read is one sentence per page. Everything else is whited-out, not "blacked-out," they said that was "too impressive on television." They told me this when I asked. The reason is that everything else, 98 percent, is sources and methods and 2 percent UFOs, but it is filed under UFOs.

Come on; it makes no sense.

You can get a bunch of CIA blacked-out UFO documents, and I'll show some of these tomorrow night too, so highly redacted that you can read about six words per page.

My favorite thing, and it took me three years to get this, was one of the CIA UFO documents that says on the top, "DENY IN TOTO." They couldn't find even six lousy words to declassify!

Something is wrong here.

One more thing, Air Force General Carroll Bolender when asked what we should do about Project Blue Book stated that, UFO reports which could affect national security are not part of the Blue Book system. UFO reports which could affect national security are NOT part of the Blue Book system!

An incredible statement.

I located him; I spoke with him on the phone and he verified that there are two separate reporting channels! You never heard that from the Air Force. His job was to decide what to do about Project Blue Book and it was cancelled because of this memo, but the public never heard that he said that:

"UFO reports that could affect national security are not part of the Blue Book system."

I have won several debates on UFOs. I've only had 11 hecklers in over 700 lectures. It is past time for the media, scientific and political communities to do their homework.

Finally, at least three UFO crashes have occurred. You will hear more about those later in these hearings.

Thank you very much.

(Applause.)

Howe: Chairman Bartlett and distinguished Citizen Hearing on Disclosure panelists and my Hearing colleagues, my name is Linda Moulton Howe. I grew up in Boise Idaho where my father, Chet Moulton, was Idaho's Director of Aeronautics from 1946 to 1971. One of his friends was Kenneth Arnold, who reported the Unidentified Flying Objects over Mount Rainier in June 1947.

(00:55)

A local reporter then coined the phrase UFO, Unidentified Flying Objects.

I remember my father dismissed the idea of extraterrestrials altogether and that is the bias with which I grew up.

I attended the University of Colorado in Boulder where I earned a cum laude Bachelor of Arts in English literature. I went on to Stanford University in California to earn a Master's Degree in Communication, producing documentary films for the Stanford Medical Center.

My Master's thesis film was about the Stanford's Linear Accelerator's first efforts to have computers analyze subatomic particle bombardments. From Stanford, I went to television news at KNBC in Los Angeles, where my beat was science, environment and medicine. Later I was honored at WCVB in Boston as a producer sharing the station's Peabody award for science and medical programming excellence. Then I became Director of Special Projects at the Denver CBS affiliate KMGH-TV.

I produced, wrote, directed, edited and reported a dozen television documentaries about several live issues in the Colorado area, and produced studio shows about science, medical and environmental issues that earned three regional Emmys, one national Emmy nomination and several other documentary film awards. I stress this only to say that when people address the mainstream media and those that are professionals not paying attention to this very important issue that is not true. Many of us have tried.

One of my documentaries was an investigation of the strange bloodless trackless mutilations of animals ranging from cattle and horses, to goats, sheep, pigs and rabbits, and even wild animals such as deer, elk and a marmot. Many of you may not know that the United States Forestry for decades has had photographs, I've seen them with my own eyes, of deer and elk with the ear, the eye, the tongue, the jaw flesh, the genitals and the rectum cored out . . . all bloodless. That is also kept as top secret unless a reporter, like me, ends up getting a leak from somebody who is inside the Forestry Department and shows me the photos.

These mutilations at the time that I was in Colorado in 1979 have been appearing in a series of repeated cycles since the early 1960s. I came to learn that they were not confined to Colorado, but they were all over the United States, Canada and other parts of the world.

The first research interview that I did for that animal mutilation documentary was with former Logan County Colorado Sheriff, Tex Graves. He told me, bluntly, on my first meeting with him:

“The perpetrators of animal mutilations are creatures from Outer Space.”

But he would not go on the record in front of a camera and say those words for the public to see. He said, "You will have to get somebody else to tell you that truth." In fact a few ranchers told me about beams of light from round or glowing objects in the sky that they had seen with their own eyes pick up cattle from a pasture, or lure an animal to a pasture; dead with the same bloodless incisions. The beam transport would explain why there are no tracks. Not even the animal's own tracks around bodies that are found in dusty pastures that have no grass. The animals are found in what is equivalent to face powder with no tracks around a 2,000 or 1,800 pound animal that has an ear, eye, tongue, jaw flesh, genitals, rectum removed without any blood.

The documentary that I produced, "A Strange Harvest," was awarded a regional Emmy. Home Box Office (HBO) in New York City later contacted me to follow-up on "A Strange Harvest" for a one hour HBO special with the working title, "UFOs: The ET Factor."

(01:00)

A contract was signed and New York attorney Peter Gersten, who filed the first Freedom of Information Request, back at the end of the 1970s, for information about UFOS. His filing went to the CIA, the NSA, the DIA, the NRO and other military offices. He arranged for me to have a meeting at Kirtland Air Force Base in Albuquerque. Mr. Gersten had received correspondence from an agent of the United States Air Force Office of Special Investigations (AFOSI) about a dramatic landing of a disc and humanoid entities at Ellsworth Air Force Base in South Dakota. I was to go to a meeting at Kirtland Air Force Base and get the names, addresses and phone numbers of some of the eye witnesses of an exchange between our military security and something from this landed disk.

But instead the agent took out of a desk drawer pages, a document of sorts, and handed them to me saying, "My superiors have asked me to show this to you. You can read it. You can ask me questions. But you cannot have this paper." He ordered me to sit in the middle of the room in order to read the document that he was handing me.

Later I learned that I had been videotaped, audiotaped and photographed sitting in that chair reading that briefing paper as well as the responses and questions that I had to the AFOSI agent at the Kirtland AFOSI office that day, April 9th 1983. The document had a title all caps on the front page:

"BRIEFING PAPER FOR THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES ON THE SUBJECT OF UNIDENTIFIED AERIAL CRAFT."

In this document the phrase, "Unidentified Aerial Vehicles was also used; UACs and UAVs instead of UFOs.

The document gave a history of the United States government's retrieval of crashed or landed craft in Roswell, Aztec and Magdalena New Mexico, northern Arizona, and northern Mexico south of Laredo Texas. A second series of one or more crashes in the Roswell area in 1949 was also included.

The alleged Presidential Briefing paper also described information from a live extraterrestrial biological entity, EBE, who was taken from a crash site near Roswell New Mexico in 1949. This was two years after the famous July 1947 crashes of not discs, but wedge shaped craft, that contained small humanoid bodies both dead and alive.

I was told that one of the first scientists brought in on autopsies of dead alien bodies was a herpetologist, who studies snakes and reptiles. The skin and eyes of the nonhumans were described as scaled like a snake's body and the eyes had vertical slit pupils beneath dark protective lenses. Since April 9th 1983, I have witnesses and physical evidence that support that alleged Presidential Briefing Paper that was shown to me at Kirtland Air Force Base and I have been reporting about the hardest facts and evidence that I have been able to muster as an investigative reporter since then, for television, for radio and for books that I have done.

Today on April 29th 2013, thirty years later, as a long-time television producer and investigative reporter who produces the science and environment website, www.earthfiles.com and also reports monthly for Premiere Radio Network's broadcast of Coast to Coast in Los Angeles, I can before you today assert that the pressure of facts accumulated from military and intelligence agency eye witnesses, from ranchers and pathologists, from pilots and astronauts, from fellow human experiencers in the UFO abduction syndrome, and from leaks by scientists and computer experts who have worked on back engineering extraterrestrial technologies extracted from nonhuman craft, there is no doubt that the United States government since World War Two, and the FDR and Truman has known about the extraterrestrial interactions with Earth, and that their policies of denial in the alleged interest of national security are still in effect.

(01:05)

My greatest challenge as a journalist is to get what I am told by military and intelligence agents and other eye witnesses on the record. National security in what is supposed to be a Democratic government of, for, and

by the People is not served by lies and secrecy. Every American and everyone in the whole world deserve the truth about nonhumans, past present and future interacting with this planet.

Thank You.

(Applause.)

Buchman: If I have done the time calculations correctly, we now have five minutes for each of the six members of the committee to engage in questions with the witnesses.

Bartlett: As is my custom as the co-chair, I will reserve my questions until the end. I have always done that in the United States Congress. If my questions have been asked by my colleagues, I will simply thank the witnesses.

Woolsey: Thank you very much. Mr. Dolan, have you had any personal experience?

Dolan: That's a fair question. I don't know if any personal experience that I have had had is particularly noteworthy. On two occasions in my life I saw what I think might be vaguely considered a UFO. Most interesting, many years ago with my son who was a small boy at the time, I was holding his hand and in a bright perfectly blue sky I saw an object that was quite unusual. Brighter than anything I'd ever seen in the sky other than the sun. He was very small; he watched me staring at this thing, silent, wondering, what happened to dad?

I turned to reassure him for one moment. I looked back literally one second later and that thing was gone. I have no idea what it was. That has really never been my motivation for studying this. I fell into this topic about 20 years ago when doing PhD dissertation work on United States National Security circa 1950 and stumbled into the topic of UFOs. I guess you could call it an obsession ever since.

Woolsey: Why this steadfast silence and denial? What is the risk?

Dolan: I think the implications are very profound. If we try to rewind the clock 60 plus years back to the 1940s and just imagine; just pretend for the moment that it actually happened. That an event at Roswell or maybe elsewhere happened. Or maybe other types of grave violations of airspace were going on.

Think back to the post-World War Two era. The world was flat on its back; millions of homeless people around the world and people on the brink of starvation. The early Cold War was not a stable time. One would have to assume that the last thing any President would be interested in doing would be to say to the world:

“Well, we have this other phenomenon. We don’t know who these people are. We don’t know if they are friendly or hostile.”

How can you tell the world that you have this under control when you yourself don’t know the full implications of what this is?

So I think the logical thing that would have been done, in particular if anything was recovered . . . and this is key; if one of your top scientists or generals approaches you and says,

“Sir, we have recovered this exotic technology that is not from our civilization.”

If you tell the world that you have it, you have the specter of the Soviet Union, and it becomes very difficult not to share this technology. The United States did not want to share atomic technology in 1947. Clearly, why would they want to share something as exotic as extraterrestrial technology?

Woolsey: Okay, but this is the 21st Century now.

Dolan: Right. I think what happened is simply that secrecy becomes profitable; it develops its own reason to be.

(01:10)

It develops its own kind of logic. I also think that much of it has gone away from formal government channels and seems to have become increasingly privatized.

Woolsey: Mr. Cameron, six witnesses threatened? How were they threatened? Who threatened them?

Cameron: One witness was a woman who was a stenographer for a military contractor who had been involved in discussions when it appears that materials were being moved from Wright Patterson Air Force Base to Area 51. She was in on these meetings and she had agreed to go on camera with George Knapp. After the story started to break in 1989, she was visited by two officers on the same day she was going to go on camera. They said, “We just want to remind you that you have a security

clearance. You're still under oath. We know that you and your daughter travel back and forth between Las Vegas and Los Angeles. There's a lot of desert out there. We would not want to have something happen in the desert."

George Knapp told me personally that this woman is so scared that twenty years later she will still not talk about it.

They had witnesses at Nellis Air Force Base; the golf pro; the guy who did the taxes for the generals. They had been told the stories about the fact that the crafts had been at Area 51. One of the key witnesses is a guy by the name of Alfred O'Donnell. The Appropriations Committee of the United States Congress tried to talk to this guy. He was one of the highest ranking people at Area 51.

George Knapp had a number of witnesses and wanted to track down this Alfred O'Donnell. Figured that if anybody knew if the Area 51 crashed saucer stories were true it would be Alfred O'Donnell. He got to know him; He got to be sort of friends. George Knapp said he basically stalked this guy because this guy would know. George went to the guy's house. He's an expert on nuclear weapons from 1947 on and wired a lot of the first nuclear weapons. He was showing George Knapp test site photographs for the nuclear stuff and then he closed the book and said, "You didn't come here to talk about that did you?" And George said, "No. I didn't come here to talk about that." He said, "You came here to talk about UFOs didn't you?" And George said, "Yeah. That's what I'd like to know about."

George said it took six months to get this guy to talk. He wasn't allowed to tape or take notes. In the end, he basically said it is true. That at Area 51 we have the saucers. George said, "Aren't you afraid that this story would get out?" And he said, "No. We were afraid it would get out." George said, "What do you mean? You had an alien that came all this way and you kept it captive?" He said, "We didn't know what to do with it; we couldn't communicate with it."

That is one of the highest-level guys at Area 51; he's still alive and he is a man who knows the whole story.

Bartlett: Thank you. Ms. Kilpatrick.

Kilpatrick: Thank you very much. One thing about Congressional Hearings is that we always have testimony ahead of time. So I am requesting of the Co-Chairs that all of the witnesses provide the Committee with written testimony. If you have them today, we would be happy to take them today as well.

Friedman: I turned mine in!

Kilpatrick: No back-kick on anybody. That's just the process. This is a pseudo-Congressional Hearing and we've been prepared as such.

Thank you for your testimony; Very, very good; very good.

Mr. Friedman, you wanted to add something to what Congresswoman Woolsey said. I'll give you that opportunity for a minute of my time if you'd like.

Friedman: I was going to recite six reasons for . . .

Kilpatrick: You can't do six in a minute. Give me a minute.

Friedman: Sure you can. You want to figure out how the saucers work. You worry about the other guy figuring out how the saucers work. If an announcement were made that the younger generation would push for an Earthling orientation if we're told the planet is being visited. No government, including ours, wants that. We have the problem of Pat Robertson, for example, saying, "All the UFO stuff is the work of the Devil and all of the intelligent life in the Universe is here on Earth." I take issue with that. If they are coming here, some people say it is going to upset our whole technology; get rid of the oil, car, and airline industries. It would be economic chaos. . . .

(01:15)

Kilpatrick: Reclaiming my time, thank you sir. Mr. Cameron, you mentioned that you are the Director of the President's UFO website. Are you currently that?

Cameron: Yes.

Kilpatrick: That means for President Obama?

Cameron: No. I have a website. My experience was that I had a sighting. I wanted to find out who knew what was going on. I went up the chain-of-command. Figured the President is the most powerful guy and this is one of the most important subjects. What does the President know? So what I have done is I have a website that accumulates all the stories of what the various Presidents have said.

Kilpatrick: What year did you establish that website?

Cameron: It goes back about 15 years.

Kilpatrick: Okay, so President Clinton, Presidents Bush one and two.

Cameron: I have the stories from all of the Presidents.

Kilpatrick: Do they acknowledge the website? Have they given you any support?

Cameron: I've gotten no interaction with the White House. They have been on the site a few times, but no interaction.

Kilpatrick: So it is information for people of the world to access?

Cameron: For the public. Because there are a lot of rumored stories about various Presidents who have seen UFOs and what they have said about UFOs. I just put it on the website so everybody can look at it.

Kilpatrick: Okay. That's good information. We need that.

Thank you Ms. Howe. There's always one woman who comes through for everything that we do. So we thank you for your different point-of-view. What do you do with all that information? You've seen it. You've read it in the middle of a room. Are you frustrated? What are we going to do with this? It is a world phenomenon. We're kind of neophytes here except for the scientists here. What do you do with it? Things like we are doing today to urge the Congress which has not had a Hearing since 1968? Comment?

Howe: My beat has always been science, environment and medicine and it never changed. It was getting into the animal mutilations and having the shock of law enforcement tell me that the perpetrators were "Creatures from Outer Space" that was quite stunning. I did feel like I was Alice in Wonderland going through a mirror into a completely different reality than what we live in our 9-to-5 daily lives. I produced the documentary that had a large broadcast in Colorado and the surrounding states on May 25th 1980 called, "A Strange Harvest." Then, as a professional working as Director of Special Projects at the CBS station, I went on to do astronaut training in Colorado, Martin Marietta and a thousand other subjects over the last thirty some years.

Kilpatrick: The documentaries that you have mentioned, are they available to the Committee?

Howe: Yes. All of my work is at my news website, www.earthfiles.com. It is always there; it is always available. I've done four books, several documentaries . . .

Kilpatrick: Which one or two would you give us, we can't read ten?

Howe: A Strange Harvest and A Strange Harvest 1993. Those are the videos. The books are: An Alien Harvest, Glimpses of Other Realities Volumes One and Two. . . .

Kilpatrick: The only reason I'm rushing is that five minutes goes quickly. Thank you for coming.

As I've been working with Steven Bassett to take on this assignment, I've been doing a lot of research, reading, videos, preparation and all of that. I am honored today to have one of the leaders in our nation on this topic and many others, a spiritual man, a righteous man, a witness of many generations of his own religion of the truth in UFOs; I am honored to present to you Minister Louis Farrakhan.

Please stand and be recognized sir.

(01:20)

(Applause.)

Thank you. Thank you for coming. I yield back, Mr. Chairman.

Bartlett: Thank you. Senator Gravel.

Gravel: I want to associate my thoughts with Chairman Bartlett when he made the statement that it is height of human arrogance to think that we are the only sentient beings in the Galaxies that can think. That is human arrogance at its worst.

What I am struck with from the testimony of all four of you and, of course, the readings that we had in preparing for this Hearing, is the fact of secrecy itself. Why do we need this secrecy? I am asking this question to all four of you:

What threats do you think exist to the national security that warrant this kind of approach?

Friedman: I started to say before that I can see six reasons for our government and other governments to keep things classified.

- 1) You want to figure out how they work because they make wonderful weapons delivery and defense systems. With the Cold War going on and if you do have wreckage and you want to figure out something, you're not going to want to tell your enemies.
- 2) What if they figure out how they work before you do? You don't want them to know that you know that they know. Weapon, counter-weapon, counter-counter weapon; we've been playing this game for a long time.
- 3) If there were an announcement, I say by highly trusted individuals, it is a little hard to find them around the planet, but my favorite couple is the Pope and the Queen . . . if they were to make an announcement that indeed the planet is being visited, what would happen? Church attendance would go up. Mental hospital admissions would go up. The stock market would go down.
- 4) I think, based on 600 college lectures, that the younger generation which unlike me and probably you wasn't alive when there wasn't a Space Program . . . there was a strong sentiment against space travel back not too many years ago. . . . The younger generation would push for an Earthling-orientation. Let's face it, from an Alien-viewpoint we are all Earthlings, or Terrans as the science fiction writers would say. I know of no government on this planet that wants its people to owe their allegiance to the Planet instead of that individual government. As far as I can tell, nationalism is the only game in town.
- 5) There are some religious problems. For example extremists who say, "We are the only intelligent life in the Universe." Their followers don't want any part of visitors from outer space. The planet was created in 4,004 BC instead of four billion before BC.
- 6) I have seven times heard of cases in which pilots were scrambled to chase UFOs; there was a regulation issued to shoot them down if they don't land when instructed to do so. When seven pilots didn't come back. These were quiet discussions. These were not big public things. If I have heard of seven then there are a lot more cases like that. There were 200 military plane crashes between 1951 and 1956 including five where the pilots had over 100 missions in Korea where there were MIGs trying to shoot them down. That's a pretty good pilot. They come back, no MIGS, and his plane, as the New York Times said, "disintegrated" or "disappeared," two strange terms to use in this context. No families were told anything about that. It won't come as a shock

to you, but there are 166 Air Force guys on board reconnaissance planes that were tickling North Korea, Russia and China right after the war. See how quickly their radar came on, what frequencies used, and that sort of stuff. 166 wind up in planes that were shot down. Not one word in public about that. Families were not told until 2001 where a meeting was called and the medals were given out and an explanation was given.

(01:25)

I say that only because a lot of people think that certainly they would have told the families of those seven pilots. So that's an area of concern, to admit that we can't do anything about these guys. Powerlessness is not something that attracts people to government.

Cameron: May I add a very quick follow-up? I talked earlier about why I think that the possession of certain radical technology would have initiated some of this secrecy. Also to maintain some level of social control not knowing what these other beings were, at least in the early years. I think what happens is that once a secret goes on for long enough, it becomes a real nightmare to contain. I can only imagine the difficulty that President Obama would face if he were to make this announcement. If something were to force him to make the admission that these UFOs apparently, at least some of them, are real. What does he then say at this Press Conference?

I know what he would like to say which is,

“Well thanks everyone. I'm going on a vacation for the next six months.”

Because there are a lot of follow-up questions that would be very uncomfortable to deal with. One of the questions that most responsible journalists would want to ask is,

“How on earth were you guys able to keep this secret for sixty years? How did you do it?”

And the implications for getting into that whole issue are a nightmare. Because you're starting to deal with has there been some level of control over the mainstream major media or the academic community?

In fact there are many off-the-shelf academic studies that show intelligence influence over our major journalism and major academic

communities. This is not a big secret; this is a long-standing relationship. But there would be some very deep questions to ask about that, the nature of Special Access Programs and Unacknowledged Special Access Programs. It is a primordial black budget. All of these would now become the target of citizen inquiry. I believe firmly that any admission on this topic in addition to all of the scientific and existential-issues that this raises, it also gets into some nasty concrete political issues that I don't think any presidential administration would want to have to deal with.

Howe: I could add that from leaked military and intelligence sources who I have encountered in my career, that there is a complexity that as you go deeper beyond lights in the sky or craft, a government interest in back engineering technology. . . . There is testimony from, for example, someone who had worked at Wright Patterson in the medical department who has told me and is a historic fact, as far as I know, that on one of first autopsies that was done from one of the crashes in Roswell in 1947, and that was not the beginning We have been retrieving craft and bodies from at least 1941 in Cape Girardeau, Missouri, but in terms of Roswell One of the bodies, when the surgeon took a scalpel to start a T or a Y section on the autopsy, the report was that this is not tissue. It was fabric. And that in 1947 our government was discovering that they were not dealing with the prime intelligence that was behind the craft, but that they were dealing with something that would fall into the area of androidism. Today we have drones that are overflying the world. Back in 1947 our government came to understand that some of the bodies that they were taking from these craft were not even biological tissue as we understand it. And then you combine that with the sentence that I read in the alleged briefing paper that I was shown in the AFOSI office at Kirtland Air Force Base, that quote which has never left my mind,

“These extraterrestrial biological entities manipulated DNA in already evolving primates to create Homo sapiens.”

Then that suggests that the relationship between what is in the skies, on the ground, underground and interacting with humans and animals and plant life on this planet, as one man working for the DIA in retirement told me,

“Linda, we are convinced that the intelligence interacting with this planet has been here for more than 270 million years, predating the dinosaurs.”

(01:30)

If the size and the scope of this is that large, and if our government began to stumble onto these facts piece by piece during World War Two and after, then I can understand why President Truman, and Churchill and Eisenhower and that group of people would have said,

“Let’s wait two generations until we find out what we are dealing with.”

What makes me nervous and what bothers me is,

Why in 2013 is the policy of denial not only in effect, but the people that I am talking to on the phone, have visits by somebody from the government saying, you are not to talk to Linda Moulton Howe ever again?

Something is very wrong in the underbelly of this country that I think is related directly to a policy of denial from World War Two about the fact that extraterrestrial biological entities, to use our government’s own phrase, in its own alleged documents, are interacting with this planet, have been for centuries and that there is an intimate relationship between what we are and what they made. That might explain why there is no wholesale evidence anywhere that the nonhumans want to kill humans. They want; it appears from my point-of-view as an investigative reporter talking with so many people, that there is an ongoing research program about what is happening on this planet and the surface life on Earth.

(Applause.)

Bartlett: I understand that time is slipping away. Several of my questions have been asked. I hope that is true for the next two members so their question period may be shorter. Congressman Cook.

Cook: I would like ask Grant Cameron my first question. You’re the one who talked about five years of briefings for President Truman?

Cameron: We figure between 16 and 20 briefings of President Truman.

Cook: Is it possible that the Truman administration was concerned at the time more about either the Soviet Union or Nazi Germany as the source of some of the Unidentified Flying maneuvers they were watching and being reported on?

Cameron: I think that the minute they looked at the Roswell crash, if that was the first crash, they saw technology, it is classified and end of the game. You’re not giving this to the Soviets; you’re not giving it to the Chinese;

this is our technology. On Thursday I will refer to a Canadian government document from 1950 where the Canadians went to the United States, spoke with American officials, and one of the things that they were told was that mental phenomena was involved with the saucers. So there is a lot of technology here and you just have to think, are you going to give the technology that you will hear about here this week to Al Qaeda, the Chinese or others? To me it was simple that Truman and the people around him saw technology and said, We need this, it is unbelievable technology and whoever gets this technology will rule the world. We're not giving it away. It is classified. End of the story. That's how it was started and that's how it is been maintained.

Dolan: Let me just add, to answer your question, in the early era of this, these types of questions were being asked. Absolutely were. The question is, because you have different levels of security. . . . In the declassified documents that we have, the Top-Secret and above, we have very few of those. So the declassified documents that are available to citizens are at the level of Secret, Confidential and Restricted. Lower levels, while still important, are not typically. Very few that are Top-Secret.

(01:35)

I think that this is significant because what have come to us are some lower level classified debates over the nature of these. Many of those did focus on; did the Soviets create a flying saucer with their cache of German scientists? Did we do this? In those documents I've seen no significant evidence to point to the Soviets or our own German scientists as the source of that.

Cook: Okay. Before I invite maybe fifteen seconds from both Mr. Freidman and Ms. Howe, could you also comment on the testimony here that hasn't said a whole lot, it was mentioned briefly, about sightings before the 1940s, before the Second World War. I know that you have mentioned that there had been credible sightings for many, many years before. But we don't get a whole lot of interest on the part of a United States Presidential administration until the 1940s? Doesn't that add a little bit of question in terms of what we've just been talking about? Might it have been a real concern for the number of briefings being held?

Howe: I can add one thing. What happened in July of 1945, we tested an atomic bomb at White Sands New Mexico, and then we dropped two in August of 1945 on Japan. I believe that nuclear event became a focus to this intelligence and therefore that's how the government became so intensely aware.

Cameron: I spent a lot of time trying to find stories of UFOs back in history through presidential administrations. It starts in Franklin Roosevelt's day and ties into the atomic bomb. Presidents are watched very closely. All of their records are kept. I can find nothing before Roosevelt. It is tied into the atomic bomb.

Cook: Are any of you saying that, for example, President Wilson would have never have been interested in the phenomena that might involve extraterrestrials?

Cameron: I think that the difference is that we really only in our society developed much of a capability of detecting in World War II when get radar. So we developed electronic means of detection. For the first time ever we have a lot of people in the air. So I think that in earlier times, it is not that this phenomenon didn't happen. There were fewer stories and not really in front-row center of our consciousness.

Friedman: By the end of World War II there were three things that told our visitors from "out there" that soon we would be going out there which would scare the heck out of them because we are such a primitive society: atomic bombs, powerful rockets used to kill not to deliver the mail as some people said they would, and powerful radar, the beginning of the electronics revolution. The only place in the world in July of 1947 where you could check out all three of those was Southeastern New Mexico, which is where Roswell is.

Cook: I'm going to reclaim just the last 30 seconds if I can, if you'll take just a quick yes or no; in your views is it possible, if there is indeed is such a thing as extraterrestrial unidentified flying objects, that they would be likely to be artificial intelligence given the time scales of travel? The nearest star is 2 to 3 light-years away for example.

Dolan: I think artificial intelligence is an extremely valid hypothesis. I've been entertaining it for many years. We are less than a generation away from having our computers talking to us and claiming to be sentient themselves. This is what artificial intelligence theorists, all mainstream people, have as a very common belief. So you have to assume that some other civilization will have gotten to that point.

Howe: A physicist working on ring lasers at Wright Patterson told me in the 1990s that he had first-hand knowledge about the extraterrestrial story and that he as a physicist in the work that he was doing that they knew, meaning the people that are involved with studying the phenomena in the government, that we are dealing with technologies so advanced that they can literally bend space and time and move point to point in the Universe. And that physicist at a table used what is now a metaphor

generally in this whole subject, he too the napkin on the lunch table and said, "Linda this is what they do." This is space-time.

(01:40)

We are dealing with technologies that can bend space time and put the corner to the corner. That is why Euclidian geometry and time as we know on this planet have no relevance to the phenomena and the intelligence that we are dealing with.

Bartlett: Congresswoman Hooley.

Hooley: Thank you Mr. Chair. Thanks to all of you for testifying. Question: Has there been a decrease in the number of incidents that have happened in this country? Because it seems like there were a lot of sightings at some time, whether it was over the prairie states, but you don't read about it very much today.

Friedman: That's the kicker. It is not a question about how much is going on; it is how much do we hear about it. I check most of my audiences at the end of my lectures, I don't have the guts enough to do it at the beginning, and find that ten percent of the attendees believe they have seen a flying saucer. But then I ask, how many of you reported what you saw? Ninety percent of the hands then go down. The MUFON, the Mutual UFO Network, is getting over 600 reports a month. You don't hear about most of those cases. The public has grown accustomed, or the media is unwilling to get involved. How many UFO reporters do you know? I know of two competent journalists who are really in to UFOs. That's an awful small number.

Hooley: What about in other countries? Are they reported?

Friedman: I find sightings everywhere.

Dolan: The sightings are very common, but what is not uniform are proper distribution networks, or reporting systems that are in place. So what we have developed here in the United States are a number of website that collect reports. As I mentioned earlier my estimate is a rough one, but it is not less than 10,000 reports written by witnesses per year. 10,000 per year just in North America, that's the United States and Canada. Elsewhere in the world there are obviously many sightings. They are increasing; they are not decreasing because people now have better capabilities of reporting these. One of the problems with less developed nations in years past is that if you saw a UFO, who would you report it to? There was really no mechanism to do it. That's improving now. So now we are seeing reports out of China, India, Africa and around the

world. But still there is not a lot of infrastructure among civilian groups, for example, who would really be the ones to publicize this to get the world out. We have YouTube channels and people doing this on their own. What I think is needed is a coordinated global organizational effort. Hopefully we will get that.

Howe: Another problem is language. I am constantly confronted with the issue that something happens in China or South America or a country that does not speak English. I'm an American journalist trying to report so that an American audience can understand. I have worked sometimes for an entire week just trying to find a reporter in China or someplace who could help me do a translation so that it could get closer to first-hand facts. It is so difficult. We're on a planet where communication is basically blocked whether it is country-to-country, or even neighborhood-to-neighborhood in China, because the syntactical differences in language there are so overwhelming. A man I know who lives there, works there from England, who tries to help, you still have translation problems there within very short distances. And so you take that to this complex phenomenon. I know for a fact that every month across my desk and in my computer world, there are hundreds and hundreds of reports coming from around the world. How do we follow-up unless we have translators and an open government policy around the world where people feel that they can report honestly what they have encountered without some sort of retribution by the government, which is distinctly the way it is working in the United States, or criticism from fellow human beings. Humans are more afraid of ridicule and criticism from humans than they are of non-humans.

(01:45)

(Applause.)

Cameron: The problem is the coverage; it is not how many sightings there are. The more people who are covering it, the more sightings you are going to get. Basically it comes down to the story of Chase Brandon.

Chase Brandon identified himself as the second most powerful person in the CIA, outside the director, to speak publicly on behalf of the CIA. He was the liaison for CIA to Hollywood. He could speak publicly on behalf of the CIA. He comes out in front of 2 million people and says,

“I saw the files; Roswell was real. There were aliens. This was real.”

Nobody covered the story. It is as simple as that. It is just not being covered.

(Applause.)

Hooley: Thank you.

Bartlett: Thank you very much. As I anticipated, most of my questions have been asked. It was lots of discussion on why a cover-up. Congressman Cook asked a question; there wasn't a lot of discussion of it, and that is, that there have been a number of sightings before anybody had an airplane or a lighter-than-air craft in the air. I think that people need to understand that this is not just a current-day phenomenon. As our technology developed, the sightings become more frequent, but there have been sightings way back in history. Am I not correct?

Howe: Correct.

Dolan: That is absolutely correct.

Friedman: They are in the Bible.

Bartlett: I wanted to put that in the record. By the way, extraterrestrials are not anti-Biblical, if you read the Book of Job. You should expect them. Maybe they can't get here, but they sure got to Heaven as far as the Book of Job is concerned. You can read about them there.

The one question I had which wasn't answered, you don't have to give an answer now because time is up, but I would like for the record for you to tell us, how many unexplained sightings. . . . Now lots of these things can kind of be wished or explained away. . . . Two people who get on a craft could rehearse their lie, so that they could under hypnotism tell the same lie, but there are some things that just can't be explained away.

How many unexplained sightings have there been that have been accompanied by radar. Sightings are easy. Lights on clouds and so forth that can behave in very erratic ways. But how many of these sightings have been accompanied by radar tracks? If you could provide that for the record. It is not just a few, I understand. It is a great many of those. I think the public would be interested in how many unexplained sightings out there have been accompanied by radar where these objects were doing things that no known Earth craft can do. You can provide that for the record?

Dolan: We can try.

Friedman: We don't know. We also know that such reports are carefully covered-up, to use the expression.

Bartlett: But I have seen some reference to those. Do the best you can. I think the public would like to know.

Dolan: Of publicly known, there would have to be more than 100. Probably several hundred if we were to tally them together. There are presumably many that are classified. But there are quite a few that we do know about that have been declassified or that came out one way or another. There are quite a few. I don't know about thousands . . .

Bartlett: If you could give some indication of those. This has been a very interesting Hearing. Thank you very much. The Hearing will now stand in brief recess.

(01:48)

DAY ONE

Session Two, History Two (11:00AM to 12:45AM, Monday, April 29, 2013)
Dolan, Huneus, Sheehan

Buchman: It becomes my distinct honor, once again, to administer the oath to the second panel of the first day of the Citizen Hearing on Disclosure. This panel will run until approximately 12:45PM. We will have opening statements of 10 to 15 minutes maximum and then questions of 5 to 7 minutes.

Mr. Dolan, you've already affirmed the oath so it is your option whether to do it again, but if the rest of the panel could repeat after me.

I do hereby affirm that I will tell the truth to and nothing but the truth to the members of this committee today.

Thank you.

It appears from the schedule that we are, for the second time this morning, honored to begin with Mr. Dolan.

Dolan. My goodness, thank you esteemed members of the committee. I will keep my remarks more mercifully brief than the last time. I apologize for not having this statement in writing for you; I will provide that for you for the record before we are done here.

I want to point out that researchers of the UFO phenomenon have long argued with each other over many aspects of this phenomenon, but one conclusion that I think all serious researchers share is that UFOs have become a central, although covert, component of United States history in the modern area, and indeed of world history. But as everyone knows, you can't have much of a reliable, factually based history, without access to documents. It is the documents of the past that enable us in the present to try to puzzle through the complexities and to try to find solid ground.

(01:50)

It is easy for us to forget that access to most of the key UFO documents that we now have come to us by way of historical accident. It happened to be a fleeting one at that.

All through the 1940s, and 1950s and 1960s and well into the 1970s, there were many thousands of classified pages written about UFOs. Of course the general public did not know about this. Neither, it appears to many or maybe even most members of the United States Congress, but

then came the end of the war in Vietnam and Watergate. This was a key moment in American history; a moment in which the United States Congress investigated the intelligence community, for example. When it reopened the investigation of the assassinations of President John F. Kennedy, Dr. Martin Luther King Junior and others. There was a moment in which the United States Congress dramatically strengthened the United States Freedom of Information Act.

It enabled United States citizens to petition their government for documents not relating to them personally, but to the nation in terms of broad issues of public policy.

Little did members of the United States Congress realize that the of all subsequent Freedom of Information Act requests, the most popular category would be related to UFOs. Indeed during the late 1970s over ten thousand pages of documents relating to UFOS were released. The party lasted until about 1982 when an order by President Ronald Reagan made the Freedom of Information act substantially less user-friendly. It did not require many agencies to reply in a timely manner. The result was a major ballooning in costs to those people making Freedom of Information Act requests. The glory-era, at least pertaining to UFOs, came to an end. To this day more than half of all United States declassified UFO documents come from that period of time, over thirty years ago. Great for the Carter administration; shame on the subsequent ones.

We remain fortunate because the documents that we have, thank goodness, give us enough of a history to hold on to. They give us enough “solid ground” and their aggregate message is startlingly clear: UFOs have been the subject, not merely of interest to our nation’s military and intelligence community, but at times a subject of concern and even alarm.

How could it be otherwise when we have report after report of violations of sensitive airspace by objects that defy any logical or conventional explanation? Whether the scene was Los Alamos during 1948 and 1949, or Oak Ridge Laboratories in 1948 through much the 1950s, or the many military bases during the 1950s, 1960s and 1970s that reported such events. The question remains: How could this not be a matter of great concern to those parties responsible for maintaining the integrity of the airspace? Not surprisingly we find the tone of their memos and requests for information to be appropriate to the matter at hand.

There is one CIA memo from 1949 that puts the matter in this very succinct way:

“Information is desired if this was some new or experimental aircraft, or for any explanation whatsoever.”

These statements are in fact typical. The situation became so stressful to the CIA and elsewhere that on December 2nd 1952, this is right at the very end of the Truman presidency, the CIA's Chief of Scientific Intelligence, H. Marshall Chadwell, wrote a classified memo to his boss, that was the Director of the CIA Walter B. Smith. A key paragraph in this memo is as follows:

“At this time the reports of incidents convince us that there is something going on that must have immediate attention. Sightings of unexplained objects at great altitudes and travelling at high speeds in the vicinity of major United States defense installations are of such nature that they are not attributable to natural phenomena or known types of aerial vehicles.”

(01:55)

This is a statement that bears close scrutiny. Here is yet another comment by a high level United States official that UFOs were real, probably artificial, probably intelligently operated and not, apparently, ours. Nor was there serious consideration that these were Soviet. So I would ask: If not American, if not Soviet, if not natural phenomena, and if they appeared to be technological and under intelligent control . . . we begin to run out of viable options.

It is access to documents such as these that enable us to know with certainty that UFOs were a matter of serious concern to individuals at the highest levels of United States national security. I want to stress that I do not think that any of the formally declassified documents that we have, by themselves, prove that UFOs are extraterrestrial . I don't think any of them do, but I would argue that if you take the top fifty, or even the top ten, that they make a very powerful case, not even a matter of speculation, but a matter of proof, that the phenomenon was considered real by the responsible members of our national security community. That, I would say, is not a matter of debate or speculation.

The question that we need to ask is:

Why were they considering it and did they form any opinions themselves?

Getting at that answer is a little more difficult. Nonetheless, the bottom line is that these documents do prove this. It is important because all of these levels of concern were consistently voiced within the classified world, but they were not given out publicly. All through the 1940s and 1950s and right up to our own era, the formal public statements are always the same; that there's nothing to this, that it may be interesting or not, but that we are not interested; we don't do UFOs. Fortunately, as the historical accident of the Freedom of Information Act turned out, those documents proved the lie to those statements of denial.

So today official pronouncements about UFOs by the government and military follows the exact same tone. There's really no difference between what they say now and what they said fifty years ago, except they are a little less insulting about it. Back in the early years there were a number of statements that talked about people just kind of being crazy or psychopathological. You don't hear that anymore. But other than that, it is really the same type of tone.

Unfortunately we don't have the kind of access to classified information on UFOs as we once briefly obtained in the past. Yet enough genuine and recent UFO accounts have become known to us, many of which involved the United States military. We can see that very little has changed. Something important is happening behind the veil of the classified world. My question to you is:

How long will current members of the United States Congress and the public at large, be content to roll over and be spoon-fed nonsense by responsible officials when, in fact, they deserve the truth?

Thank you.

(Applause.)

Huneus: Good afternoon, distinguished members and panelists of the Citizen Hearing on Unidentified Flying Objects, UFOs. My name is Jose Antonio Huneus and I am a Chilean-American journalist who has been actively involved in covering the mystery of UFOs and its hypothesis of extraterrestrial, origin; other theories are also possible and should be considered; for the past 37 years.

My very first article on this topic was published in a small New York newspaper on July 4, 1977, coinciding with the beginning of my professional career as a journalist in the United States. I was born in this country but my family was from Chile. My father worked for several

years in the United Nations, in the early formative years of this international body, during which time I was born in New York in 1950. After graduating from high school in Santiago in 1969, I went to Europe and took a semester on French language and civilization at the Sorbonne University in Paris, and later studied journalism at the University of Chile in Santiago.

(02:00)

I worked for a while as a science journalist for a weekly magazine in Santiago and also wrote regularly for a daily newspaper in the mid-1970s, where I was one of the first journalists in Chile to cover ecological, or green issues, on a regular basis. This was, however, a period of great turmoil in Chile following the military coup of September 11, 1973, the first time that fateful date shows up in modern history, I might add.

So I took the decision to claim my United States citizenship and move to this country, where I have lived ever since, first in the Washington, DC area, then New York for some twenty-five years, back to the Beltway where I lived in northern Virginia for about three years, and now in the Phoenix, Arizona, area, where I moved in 2009 after I was hired to work as a full time paid journalist and editor of Open Minds magazine, and also a writer for our website, www.openminds.tv and other production and research activities in ufology for the company Open Minds Production.

The subject of UFOs is a contentious one where many different views and attitudes coexist, sometimes in a friendly manner, sometimes in a more acrimonious way. But there is no doubt in my mind that there is at least one conclusion we can and should all agree:

UFOs are definitely a global phenomenon. They are sighted visually, on film and on radar, reported, investigated sometimes officially, sometimes by private organizations, and covered by both the local and international media all over the world.

It doesn't really matter what is the culture, language, religion, ethnic origin and level of development and technological capabilities of a country; UFOs have been reported everywhere, from Alaska to Chile and Argentina in the Americas, all over Europe, Asia, the Middle East, Africa, Australia and the oceans.

There are well documented cases in many parts of the world, including Brazil, France, Iran, Russia, Zimbabwe, New Zealand, and many other countries. Regardless of what turns out to be the final origin of this

mystery, it has a great potential of unifying the different and often divided parts of our world.

The reason is simple: No matter how big our ideological, religious or cultural differences are, we would all tend to unite in the face of an unknown, possibly extraterrestrial presence. It is for this reason that some efforts have been made over the years to bring this subject to the attention of the United Nations. This international body, despite its flaws, is the only organization that truly represents all the nations of the world, and so it seems to be the logical place where this issue could be dealt with in a global formal and legal manner.

I will outline briefly the history of UFOs at the United Nations, a subject that I know very well first-hand since I personally attended as a journalist the famous historical UFO Hearing before the United Nations Special Political Committee on November 27, 1978.

This was the result of a two-year lobbying effort by Sir Eric Gairy, the Prime Minister of Grenada, a small Caribbean island which had gained independence from Great Britain in 1978. I am submitting for the record a long article detailing the history of UFOs at the UN, which I published in the third issue of Open Minds magazine in 2010. Grenada's UFO proposal was first raised officially by Prime Minister Gairy and Grenada's UN ambassador, Wellington Friday, at a meeting of the UN General Assembly Special Political Committee on November 28, 1977.

Grenada proposed the,

“establishment of an agency or a department of the United Nations for undertaking, coordinating, and disseminating the results of research into Unidentified Flying Objects (UFOs) and related phenomena.”

Grenada made further statements on November 30 and December 6, 1977. In an earlier speech in October, Prime Minister Gairy disclosed his own sighting:

“I have myself seen an Unidentified Flying Object and I have been totally overwhelmed by what I have seen.”

As a result of all this effort, on December 13, 1977, the General Assembly adopted Decision 32/424, which acknowledged, “the draft resolution submitted by Grenada.”

(02:05)

Secretary-General Kurt Waldheim duly forwarded “Decision 32/424 to the member states by a “note verbale” on March 13, 1978. However, only the following three governments responded: India, Luxembourg, and Seychelles, and only two specialized agencies, the International Civil Aviation Organization and UNESCO, replied with a flat, “No comments to offer.”

Undeterred, Grenada launched a new offensive in 1978 with the help of one of the original NASA astronauts, Gordon Cooper, among others. A group of recognized experts was assembled by Gairy to testify at a Hearing before the Special Political Committee on November 27, 1978, which became the high point of the Grenada Initiative. Besides Sir Eric Gairy and Ambassador Friday, who was now Grenada’s Minister of Education, the Hearing included testimony by astronomer Dr. J. Allen Hynek, the former scientific consultant for the United States Air Force Project Blue Book, who went on to found the Center for UFO Studies (CUFOS), Dr. Jacques Vallee, Stanton Friedman, who is present at this Citizen Hearing, and a first-hand witness account by Lieutenant Colonel Laurance Coyne of the United States Army Reserve on the famous October 18, 1973 UFO-helicopter near-collision in Ohio. A letter of endorsement by astronaut Gordon Cooper, who was then working for the Walt Disney Company as Vice-President of Research & Development for EPCOT, was read into the record. Besides mentioning his own sighting and views on UFOs, Cooper wrote that:

“We need to have a top level, coordinated program to scientifically collect and analyze data from all over Earth concerning any type of encounter, and to determine how best to interface with these visitors in a friendly fashion.”

At a meeting of the UN General Assembly on December 18, 1978, Decision 33/426 was adopted with the same heading to the previous Decision 32-424, “Establishment of an agency or a department of the United Nations for undertaking, coordinating and disseminating the results of research into unidentified flying objects and related phenomena.” The Grenada Initiative was gradually opening the door to UFOs at the UN, but unfortunately, the effort came to an abrupt halt when the Gairy government was overthrown by a Marxist revolution led by Maurice Bishop of the New Jewel Movement in March 1979. Ironically, Gairy was in New York to meet with Kurt Waldheim regarding Decision 33/426 when the coup took place.

Some minor efforts to rekindle the UFO initiative at the United Nations have been attempted by civilian investigators since the Grenada coup of 1979, but with no success whatsoever because only a sovereign member

country can bring this issue back officially to the UN and no government has done so since the Grenada initiative of the late 1970s.

The good news, however, is that we don't have to reinvent the wheel. The UN Decisions 32-424 and 33-426 are already on the books and could be revived if there was a political will.

In closing these opening remarks, I want to make a few important points. While it is true that the majority of UFO sightings have mundane explanations, a fact recognized by most competent investigators and scientists, if you really study with an open mind the extensive data bank of UFO cases collected worldwide during the last six decades, you will find a small percentage of truly puzzling incidents that defy any conventional explanation. The prevailing view among most researchers and the media is that these cases have an extraterrestrial origin, but this is not the only explanation. Other theories including inter-dimensional or multiple universes have also been advanced. Even time travel has been proposed. In other words, UFOs could be our own devices from the future coming back in time for unknown reasons. I realize all this sounds like science-fiction, but think for a minute. Didn't you see people talking to each other at huge distances while viewing themselves on a screen in lots of sci-fi movies from the 1950s and 1960s? Well, this happens now every day through Skype in the Internet. Similar examples can be multiplied ad infinitum.

(02:10)

What is important is to look at all the data without any preconceived ideas or beliefs. The late Dr. Hynek used to say that UFOs were likely signaling the next scientific revolution. But we will never get there if we don't study the phenomenon in a truly comprehensive and unbiased way and, I might add, with the proper resources to do so.

The political implications, or exopolitical as it is now referred, are even bigger. The alien can become a catalyst to unify the people of Earth, to realize that mankind must grow way beyond our current limitations if we are going to survive and prosper in the future. Many believe that open contact will never happen in an open way unless mankind's level of consciousness makes a significant jump.

Let me finish with an appropriate quote from Shakespeare's Hamlet:

“There are more things in heaven and earth, Horatio, than are dreamt of in your philosophy.”

Thank you very much.

(Applause.)

Sheehan: Good morning Congressmen and Congresswomen and Senator Gravel. My name is Daniel Sheehan. I am General Counsel for the Citizen Hearing Committee. I've been asked to speak briefly here today to address a few incidents that have occurred in my career as an attorney that bear upon this subject, that have led to me being General Counsel. I was also General Counsel for the original Disclosure Conference that we had in 2001. So I've been around for a while in this particular area. This arose because I was Chief Counsel for the United States Jesuit Headquarters in Washington, DC in 1976 when President Carter was elected.

Immediately after his election in November 1976, President Carter called into his office the then Central Intelligence Agency Director, George H. W. Bush. President Carter asked to be briefed by the Director of CIA on the issue of UFOs. In that meeting CIA Director Bush asked the President-elect if the President-elect would leave him in as the CIA Director and that he would in return promise not to run for political office if President-elect Carter would promise to keep him in as Director of CIA. Bush wanted to become, more or less, like J. Edgar Hoover had become at the FBI. He would remain, that it would be above politics and that he would remain in that position. President-elect Carter reiterated his request for the UFO information saying that he had his own person in mind to be the Director of CIA, which happened to be Teddy Sorenson. Bush at that time then refused to provide the information to President-elect Carter saying that he did not have adequate clearances, that he was not the President yet and that he should ask his own CIA Director for that information, but if he wanted to have the information ahead of time that he could contact the Science and Technology Committee of the United States House of Representatives and that they had an ongoing relationship with the Science and Technology Division of the Congressional Research Service that could go about getting things declassified to show him.

That is what generated the request from the Congressional Research Service to me as General Counsel for the Jesuit Headquarters in the United States asking if I could gain access to the Vatican library to obtain the information that the Vatican has in its library's classified sections, to deliver to the President for his review. I contacted the Jesuit who happens to be the head of the Vatican library requesting this information and much to my surprise, I was denied.

I wrote a second letter then saying, "I guess you must not know who we are here. This is the Jesuit Headquarters in the United States, we have

ten provinces here in the United States, more than any other country in the world, and we are requesting this information to share with the President.” They still declined. So I reported that to Marsha Smith who was the head of the Science and Technology Division of the Library of Congress and the Congressional Research Service. She asked if I would be willing to become Special Counsel to this investigation that was being conducted by the Science and Technology Committee. I agreed to do this and I told her that I would need to have access to certain kinds of information that I would like to have declassified. She said, “What would you like to see?” I said, “The first thing I would like to see are the classified sections of Project Blue Book.” Those are the same sections have been referred to by Mr. Dolan earlier and by Gordon as not available.

(02:15)

Much to our surprise, I was granted permission to see them. They brought them to Washington, DC; the Jefferson building, the extension to the Congressional Library, before it was even open. It was a brand-new building that they had built across the street. I was invited to come over there; no one else was over there, they had security people at the door. I was brought downstairs into a room where they had these shoeboxes with microfilm and documents in them. In my review of those documents I came upon photographs of unquestioned UFOs. There wasn't any question about what they were. It wasn't a light in the sky; it wasn't a vague report about having something going very fast. These were official United States Air Force photographs some of which had been taken through gun sights. Another was of a crashed saucer on the ground. There was snow in the photographs. The saucer had hit into and plowed across a field and was stuck into a snow bank at about a forty-five degree angle. I could see in the photographs United States Air Force personnel dressed in heavy parkas filming the crash with two cameras with the great big film circles on top. So this must have been in the 1950s. I could see in one of the photographs symbols that were on the dome of the UFO that was sticking out of the snow bank. I had a microfiche reader with the handles that you crank. This was very “high tech.”

(Laughter.)

The two security men at the door had told me that I was not allowed to take any notes. But I had a yellow pad under my arm when I went in. So when I saw these photographs, I cranked down the focus on the machine so that I could get a close-up view of the symbols that were around the dome of the UFO. I put the yellow pad in there and I traced

the symbols that were along the base of the saucer. I closed it up and said, I think I probably better leave now because I have this.

I put all of the stuff back into the boxes, put the yellow pad under my arm and walked back out. I said to the two security guys that I'd like my briefcase back. They handed me my briefcase, I started to walk away and one of them says:

“What’s that under your arm?”

I said:

“This is a yellow pad.”

He opened it up, leafed through all of the pages and there was nothing there because I had put it on the inside of the cardboard. I took that back to Jesuit Headquarters. I brought it to Father Bill Davis, who was my superior at the Jesuit Headquarters. We gathered all fifty-four of the major religious denominations; their Washington, DC offices. We brought them into a meeting and proposed to them from the Jesuit National Headquarters that we establish as a priority having the churches try to ascertain what information we could get. We would get the backing of the churches and then go to the Vatican library and see if we could start to address this.

That is what we did. But when I first asked Father Bill Davis about this, he reached down and pulled out of his desk drawer, at the Jesuit Headquarters, right over by DuPont Circle, 1717 Massachusetts Avenue; he pulled out a photograph and he said: “This is a photograph that I got from my sister. Her husband works as a flight controller in the Seattle airport. His best friend is a commercial pilot who took this photograph out of the window of his commercial aircraft.” He handed me the photograph.

So we showed this at the Washington Interreligious Staff Counsel that we had organized and asked them if they would make this a priority. They said, “Are there any other subjects that you would like to discuss instead of this?” They did not want to address this at that time.

(02:20)

I was contacted then by Marsha Smith, who was directing the investigation for President Carter at the Congressional Research Service, and she asked me if I would go meet with the people at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory in California in the Search for Extraterrestrial Intelligence, SETI, program and give them a briefing. So I was privileged

to deliver a 3-hour seminar to the top fifty scientists in the SETI program on the theological implications of contact with an extraterrestrial intelligence.

Then in 1994, I was contacted by Dr. John Mack, who was the chairman of the Department of Clinical Psychiatry at the Harvard Medical School, because I had graduated from Harvard College and Harvard Law School, where I was one of the founders of the Harvard Civil Rights Law Review. I had gone on to Harvard Divinity School. John Mack contacted me and asked me if I would represent him because he had written a book based upon his clinical assistance to high level military officials and others who had been said to have psychological background on them, who had reported these direct contacts with extraterrestrial vehicles.

When I met with him, he had written this book, and the faculty committee at Harvard University had called him into a session with the legal counsel from Harvard University and the dean of medical school, getting ready to challenge his tenure for his having written this book that was undermining the worldview of Harvard University. We met with Laurance Rockefeller who agreed to fund what would amount to a "Grand Rounds" to present to the Harvard faculty all of the basic information we had on the existence of UFOs. They dropped the hearings and said that they didn't want to have this Hearing turned into a "circus."

I have delivered a number of presentations to the international UFO Congress over the years on the theological implications of contact with an extraterrestrial civilization. As you may know, the Vatican has now started to issue press statements; they held a major press conference about the fact that, in light of the more recent discoveries of the increasing number of planets that have suns and an atmosphere and are in what they call the "Goldilocks zone." They are close enough to their suns in their solar systems but not so far away, that they are likely to have potential life on them. As these planets began to multiply, the Vatican has now held an official press conference announcing that they, in fact, have now taken the position that the discovery of a highly technologically developed extraterrestrial intelligence is not inconsistent with Catholic theology. There are very high level meetings going on right now with the new Pope to discuss what positions are going to be taken by the international Catholic Church with regard to the theology. My understanding, as former Chief Counsel for the Jesuits, is that the Catholic Church does not want to be behind the power curve on this issue. They realize that with the increasing contact and the discovery of additional planets that it is going to become clear within our lifetimes that highly technologically developed extraterrestrial intelligence actually exists.

The findings of the Congressional Research Service that were delivered to President Carter are that, based on all of their analysis, their official conclusion is that there is a 95 percent probability that there are from two to ten highly technologically developed extraterrestrial civilizations within our own galaxy.

Remember, in closing, that in our galaxy, the Milky Way, there are 50 billion star systems and there are 50 billion, trillion galaxies that are now know. The abiding question, one that you and I discussed last night Congressman Bartlett, is: Have these vehicles developed the capacity to transport vehicles beyond the speed of light? Because if they have then all of these questions now become focused. That means that they would be able to be here, to come here and go. If they have then all of these sightings, all of these hundreds of witnesses that we have talked about; then are talking about a very real phenomenon.

(02:25)

I'll close with this one observation. When I was legal counsel for the Iran-Contra investigations, we were the ones that initially discovered the Iran-Contra weapons supply trafficking, when I delivered this information to Peter Rodino who was at that time the Chair of the Judiciary Committee of the United States House of Representatives, through Scott Armstrong, who was the staff person during the Watergate investigations who discovered the taping system in the White House that Alexander Butterfield revealed to Scott. So I brought this information to him and because of his close relationship with Peter Rodino he delivered all of the information we had about the off-the-shelf enterprise, the involvement of Poindexter and everyone else. The response of Peter Rodino was: My God, Scott, if what you are telling me is true about this off-the-shelf enterprise going on, delivering these weapons contrary to the orders of Congress. . . . I've been telling my constituents that if they didn't like the way American policy is going, that they should write a letter to their Congressperson and if their Congressperson didn't do what they wanted to do, they should vote for someone else for Congress. But if what you are telling me is true, Scott, my God, we haven't even been in control and I'm not going to allow the Congress of the United States to investigate anything like that.

That's the issue that is before us now. Because if, in fact, we are not in ultimate control, and there is an extraterrestrial intelligence of this type of sophistication, that's been visiting our planet, entering our airspace and out maneuvering our aircraft, it is perfectly clear that, from a political consciousness that abides inside our executive branch and inside our legislature, that is not something that they want to share with

the American people, because it would ultimately undermine the confidence of the governed in their governors.

(Applause.)

French: My name is Lieutenant Colonel Richard French. I am one of the authors of the original Air Force Blue Book. I was a student at Oregon State University starting in 1947. In my junior year I was asked what specialty I wanted to be assigned when I graduated. An advisor told me about the Office of Special Investigations. It sounded like an exciting and glamorous job, so I volunteered. Brigadier General Carroll, a former FBI director of the Washington DC, District was commissioned as an Air Force General and assumed command of the Office of Special Investigations.

The OSI is also given the added responsibility of investigating the phenomenon of Unidentified Flying Objects. The OSI assignment required that I have final Top Secret clearance granted before I could even report for duty. That caused a delay in my reporting for duty because I was adopted and all of my medical and birth records were sealed. After a year they straightened out that mess and I reported to D. O. 20 at McChord Air Force Base in Washington, DC. There my training officer was a special agent named John Greyhose.

(CHECK SPELLING of "Greyhose").

Under his supervision I began investigating UFO reports and then reporting those incidents in the Air Force's Blue Book. The most controversial UFO incident that occurred in my early training deserves special mention because of its unique factors. Normally they'd launch the F-100 Ds in just twos, rather than in fours like in tactical fighters, An F-100 D pilot pulled up on this UFO and was approximately thirty yards directly behind the thing. The F-86 today carries a total of 36, 2 ½ inch rockets in a pod that drops and then automatically fires them.

(02:30)

He got the clearance to fire, which kind of surprised everybody. The UFO intercept violated the prohibited zone at Hanford's atomic energy facility. He was a wingman and pulled out straight ahead and was given a clearance to fire. When the weapon fired the pod dropped but his airplane exploded. They drug the Columbia River in a sixty mile area and never found a piece or part or anything even pertaining to it. I mentioned that I had just a very fast look at the MAJIC report. I hope you people are familiar with it, but if you are not it is the original report that was prepared. President Truman was the chairman. The people

that were on that committee, and I did just get a very quick look at it but I can easily remember who they were because of who they were, they included the President, of course, and also the Chiefs of Staff of all of the armed forces of the United States; in other words, the chief of naval operations and the head of the coast guard and on and on.

That terminates my presentation.

(Applause.)

Buchman: We have about 10 minutes for each member and that will take us to 12:50. I've been asked by both the chair and the co-chair to give time reminders as we approach that ten minute limit for each of you. I'll do that as gently as possible.

Bartlett: Thank you very much. My understanding is that there will be time cue cards visible to the panelists here and to the members back here. So we will watch those and time ourselves.

I remember a number of years ago I was travelling by car and had my car radio on. There were two news accounts. One was that there were some UFO sightings over New Mexico, and the other was that there had been two mysterious deaths in New York City that might have been psittacosis. And if it was psittacosis it might have come from dried pigeon manure. So there was a serious suggestion that we ought to kill all of the pigeons in New York. I listened to those two news reports and I thought:

“Gee, if these flying saucers are extraterrestrial I think I'd wander around a bit up there and watch for a while before I landed.”

(Laughter.)

I said when we started this that it is enormously arrogant and presumptive to believe that we are the most advanced civilization in the universe. We still fight stupid wars and kill each other. There just has to be a better way, doesn't there?

(Applause.)

I will reserve my questions to the end.

French: I wanted to mention one other thing. All of the time, and there is a period there of about twelve years, and during that twelve year period my primary job as a member of the OSI was to debunk. In other words, I'd come up with any kind of explanation. We'd say it was swamp gas or

anything we could come up with to convince the general public and maintain the secrecy. Some of my answers, I swear, were absurd. But the swamp gas, for instance, at that time there was an average of about three a week. UFOs had descended into the Gulf Breeze Florida area, where the panhandle is in Florida. I went down there and we observed them regularly. Just sit there every evening with a cocktail and in they would come. But what was it? It was swamp gas. That was my line and I made good on it. That was my actual job, to be the most artful liar on Earth.

Thank you very much.

Woolsey: So Colonel French, you did a good job of it obviously.

(Laughter.)

(02:35)

For all four of you, this is starting to weigh on me, if transparency would reveal that we really aren't in control, that there are actually as-intelligent, or more likely, more-intelligent life-forms than humans, and that so knowing and admitting would be dangerous; that's a closed-circle folks. So how do you recommend we handle this? Let's start with the Colonel who won't tell is now that it is swamp gas.

French: I see no other way to attack than to come up with some kind of international organization, something along the line of what we already have here or some similar governmental way. If you think about it, this confronts religion directly and very firmly. We need some time of organization. Something that can, if need be, to contact these people from another universe. You know it is a lot better if you say, "Hello Buddy!" and not try to shoot their tail end off.

Woolsey: How will the United States give up our national security rights if we were to cooperate with the United Nations on this?

Sheehan: This is a worldview issue. One of the things that I discovered after a number of years in practice, and the reason I ended up going back to Harvard Divinity School to study spending after a number of years out practicing law, was that this is a worldview issue. People don't think about this very often, but the reality is that there is a spectrum of worldviews that are shared by our human family. The political philosophy of which is that you have people who authoritarians, reactionaries, conservatives, moderates, liberals, progressives and then you have people who are utopianists, who tend to be theological for the most part. But there is a whole eighth worldview. There is an octave of

worldviews. There is a new worldview that is in the offing right now. That worldview is going to have to be addressed by our human family once we come to acknowledge that there is a super sophisticated, highly intelligent extraterrestrial civilization and that there may be more than one of these species.

Woolsey: You're suggesting that we're going to have to take on a new worldview. If that's what it takes to happen then we are a long way from that.

Mr. Huneeus?

Huneeus: Every so often things happen in the history of the world that lead to complete change and that was the case when America was discovered, and it was called the "New World" even though it was part of the same world. At that time it completely changed the paradigm of the late medieval period. There were even discussions whether an Earth paradise was in America, or whether the Native Americans had a soul. It was a huge discussion. Eventually it worked out. Same thing when the atomic bomb was dropped in Japan. That changed the paradigm of that period. I think this is the next frontier. I do not think it is going to be as difficult as it seems because we are kind of conditioned already by the media and entertainment industries.

(02:40)

Even when I started this many years ago, the attitude of people was very negative. UFOs were considered a kooky subject, but now if you ask the young generation, for them it is:

"What's the big deal? It is obvious that there's life in the Universe."

I don't know exactly how it will happen, but it will. We will have to adjust and move forward.

Woolsey: So I guess it is a good thing that we have the entertainment industry to turn on people's imaginations. Richard?

Dolan: Thank you for this question; it is very important. No parent is ever ready for their first child but they happen anyway.

(Laughter.)

Disclosure of this reality? We may or may not be ready for it; we probably won't be ready for it. Our society is going through such tremendous revolution. This is not 1990; it is certainly not 1950. We have capabilities today that are forcing this issue out. WikiLeaks didn't

exist ten years ago because it couldn't exist; there wasn't the global infrastructure. Cell phone cameras; this is all new. So I think something is going to happen that is going to force this out to the front and center. My suspicion, and I can only guess, is that those people who have knowledge on this, and I think there are such people, must be aware. They must be aware that they cannot keep this secret forever. The wise thing to do would be to judiciously and responsibly begin allowing some of this information out. Maybe there are controlled leaks today.

I do think that when this matter is on the table, that doesn't mean that all of the answers will be there. It just means that we will be able publicly without all of the joking and ridicule to be able to talk about it as a public policy issue. I also think that this will necessitate a geopolitical revolution. You mentioned the issues of United States national security; there are other countries in the world and they are all going to be affected by this. So it will be necessary for there to be a true United Nations, something that actually works, that people can believe in.

(Applause.)

French: May I comment? My background is that I have more combat time than virtually anyone you'll ever meet. I have more than 860 combat missions in jet fighters. So I am not afraid of battle. I think that the dumbest thing we could possibly do is weaponize space. It would be absurd to do that.

(Applause.)

Woolsey: What about cyberspace?

Sheehan: It is quite clear that the technology that seems to be at the disposal of this civilization is able to access. . . . If the FBI can tap into your Internet then clearly they can too. So they are undoubtedly monitoring all of the communications that are going on and I would daresay that there is a process of feathering into our culture information and technology that is going to soften this blow. There's a process going on of released information, of contact information, etcetera. I find that based on forty years of doing these kind of investigations; Watergate, Iran-Contra and others that I have been directly involved in, that there is clearly going to be a decision made by very high-level people above all of our pay grades here, I say that with all due respect to Congress people, that there will be a decision made to start feathering information into the

culture to prepare our people for this, rather gradually, which has been going on now for the last sixty years.

Woolsey: Thank you.

Bartlett: Congresswoman Kilpatrick.

Kilpatrick: Thank you very much. I would like to reiterate how important it is that we get your written testimony. Mr. Huneus thank you ever much for what you have provided, but we need it from all of you. I know you are so expert at it that you can do it from the hip, but it helps us as we make intelligent decisions if we have the written testimony.

I think I want to start with the Colonel. I served on the Air Force Academy Board for about six years in my fourteen years in Congress. I also served on the Appropriations Defense Committee. I was the first African-American woman to serve there; there have only been three in the history of our country. It is outrageous. We really believe that women have something to offer. So I really appreciate your service.

(Applause.)

We come from a, "Let's work this out" kind of thing, so we won't have this kind of problem when we control the world.

(Laughter.)

(02:45)

Having said that, Colonel, I really appreciate your service, your time and your dedication. 800 plus. . . . I've been all over the world with the Defense Department and it is been quite an experience for me and has really captured my tenure in Congress.

Why is it that when you were there, you couldn't talk about it. Everything I've read and seen, everybody hush-hushed it. I know a lot of it from the Presidential to the Joint Chiefs of Staff, and one of you all spoke to that. You didn't call it by that name, but that's kind of what it was. Truman had exactly. Why is it now that you are at the table discussing this with us, acknowledging that it is?

French: It is because I'm in my eighties.

Kilpatrick: Praise God!

French: Here is the problem. If you had a government job, you need to be what they called, “humanly reliable.” In other words, you if they thought you were a maniac or something you would lose your wings and lose your job. I think it is because of that, the human reliability problem. There are some real lunatics in various places and you can’t identify them well enough. Then they won’t submit. You have a problem with next-of-kin. They have a child that is ill mentally, what do you do?

Kilpatrick: Do you believe today that, as you sit here with us and former members of Congress, eighty plus years of experience having now before this Committee whose time has come. . . . Since 1968 there’s been no hearings, we’re moving to that. I don’t know that the answer is another Hearing right now; I’m afraid for that. I heard discussion this morning that there’s a lot of private things going on. I like to see private-public partnerships. I think that’s when Americans get our best bet, when we come together as a nation in a private-public kind of atmosphere.

When you talk about what you just said, and I agree that it is urgent, I think somebody at the table mentioned “coming together and acknowledging it,” which we have not done in this country. Other countries are beginning to do that and some were mentioned this morning. Do you think the Air Force will ever come to that point? And is it a domestic-international partnership that we need? How would you begin it? You come with the credibility.

French: I think it is necessary and I do not think that we have much choice. Something’s got to be done.

Kilpatrick: And you all have to help us formulate that. Thank you very much for your service. Mr. Sheehan, you talked about which is worse. That’s how you ended that dynamic presentation that you had. Which is worse? Do we try to put this together and save ourselves with the world, or do we continue to put our heads in the sand that it doesn’t exist, and you know that it does? I am at a loss. You all are giving us good information and I think Mr. Bassett and others in the audience want us to come up with some kind of recommendation. I can’t have it both ways. You’ve got to be solid with this.

Sheehan: It is a very difficult issue because of the job assignments. When a Congressional representative has the duty of representing his or her district and their interests, it is a job. As you know a significant number of members of the members of the United States Congress are lawyers. They view themselves as representing a certain client base. So the problem is that if we represent a nation-state and we insist on maintaining the special privilege our citizens have to resources in the

world, even to the detriment of other nation-states, then we're still at a level of consciousness that is very dangerous. . . .

Kilpatrick: Okay, stop. You're talking too much. Break it down for me. Give me two or three points. I love everything you've said, but don't give it back to me again. We've got to have something to hold onto.

Sheehan: We're going to have to yield some of our national sovereignty to a global body like the United Nations.

Kilpatrick: Okay, right there; we're not doing that. We have leadership now who don't even acknowledge that it exists. So we're not going to yield anything. Maybe we do this better because we're former elected officials, public policy makers. You can't say it like that because a wall goes up and you won't get past that. There's got to be a better way to say that and begin to do some of what you suggest.

Sheehan: That will come probably through religious communities. The altering of consciousness with regard to an angelic realm, another dimension to reality; that's where most people categorize these types of discussions. They are theological.

(02:50)

Kilpatrick: That's where you worked with the Jesuit coordination collation. I think that's a good start. I think you can't leave out private industry; you can't leave out the universities. It is got to be a major collation of institutions in our country and around the world that would come together more than one time, with experts like yourselves, laymen like us, and others who have interest in the issue because it is a world phenomenon. If we keep acting like it doesn't exist, then we all lose. The world loses.

Sheehan: That's right.

Kilpatrick: I think time is urgent. I've very new at the issue so I'm just learning it even as a member of the Appropriations of the Armed Services Committee, we tacitly touched it, but then it goes away. Even at the academy where we have outstanding young men and women who dedicate their lives to this country. They have some things that they look at and then it is put away.

Sheehan: It is in an Air Force Academy textbook talking about how to address UFOs.

Kilpatrick: Exactly.

Sheehan: I was going to go to the Air Force Academy. . . .

Kilpatrick: Thank you very much Mr. Sheehan. Is there urgency here or are we all just here talking?

(Applause.)

Huneus: Normally people have put it away, officially; governments. I think in a way there is because there's a very good potential. Like I said in my statement, it could do the trick that everything else has failed. What do we do on this Earth? We have tremendous problems besides this issue. Environmental problems, hunger, and so on. Somehow we don't seem to be able to deal with them as a world society. There is just too much fighting and ideological and religious differences. The issue of religious differences is very important. Look at all the recent problems we've had internationally with certain factions of the Islamic religion. I wrote a magazine article about UFOs and Islam. It was quite interesting to research that. Like all religions you have many factions within a religion.

I do agree with Daniel; the Pope and other religious figures might be the way to do it rather than the political will, because you know how politics are. You know better than we do.

Kilpatrick: In summary, trying to understand this, as an appropriator, twelve years on the federal appropriations committee with a budget of nearly 3 trillion dollars, the biggest being the Armed Services of 700 billion dollars, now we're on our knees. We don't have the money for this or that. Could this possibly be something that could save our budget? Get new resources; make it universal? I'm looking at it from an appropriations point-of-view. There's got to be some coming together; we spend a lot of money doing a lot of things that never happen. I sit here as an appropriator looking for dollars to help save America first and incidentally help the rest of the world.

Dolan: Anything that can allow an object to loiter indefinitely and then suddenly accelerate and do it all silently is using something better than petroleum.

(Laughter, applause.)

Whatever that answer is, there must be something implicit in the reality of this phenomenon that we will be engaging here. That's one hope. Everything is so messed up in every other way looking at the world. We're not cooperating; we're fighting. But if this is real, if there is an

energy paradigm solution here in the UFO phenomenon, that in itself is worth every single effort that we can make to get that out in the open.

Kilpatrick: Thank you.

(Applause.)

Bartlett: Thank you very much. Senator Gravel.

Gravel: It is not only the problem with the Congress; it is the problem of the American people who have been propagandized by the Congress and its leadership, that we are superior as Americans to anybody else in the world. That's the problem. If we're going to have a global community, we must put our humanness first, before our nationality.

(Applause.)

(02:55)

What the testimony that I read is that you're talking about a paradigm change in global governance. Now let me ask this, as a favor to us for this immediate Hearing, would you Antonio get together with Daniel and the others and draft a resolution that we could decide upon at the end of the Hearings on Friday to recommend the creation of a United Nations Agency, using the Grenada prescient and already the resolutions that have been adopted. So you draft that; it doesn't have to be twenty pages, it can be one page, maybe two paragraphs. You draft this so that we can get our hands around something in this regard. Then we will have to make a decision: Are we prepared as former public officials, as private citizens, to put our money where our mouth is; and that is to be globalists before we become nationalists. That's the answer.

(Applause.)

If you could provide us with that document, we'd be deeply in your debt on this side of the table.

Sheehan: There's a provision in the United States called the "Uniting for Peace" resolution. Henry Kissinger told us about this in Government 180 at Harvard College. He said, "If world's population ever finds out about this, we're all in trouble."

Gravel: He's right. The government and its leadership are going to be in trouble once the people wake up. You need a device and right now the device is the knowledge that you are bringing forward that we are trying to observe here. First, would you bring that resolution as soon as you

can? We will circulate it among the members and the leadership of the Paradigm Research Group to seek their acceptance.

Now the question I would ask Mr. Dolan, you mentioned that the compendium of documents that you are aware of has come about through the Freedom of Information Act and has come about by accident in many regards. Is there anything out there that document-wise that backs up the Roswell incident, the crash and the humanoids? Is there any document that we can put our hands on?

Dolan: Yes sir. There are a few very interesting documents, even as early as July 1947. The FBI, which was involved in investigating the so-called flying saucers very actively, had a memo indicating that the debris, or whatever was recovered at Roswell, was being transferred to Wright Field in Dayton, Ohio; United States Air Force Headquarters. I think that's rather interesting. Whatever this was, if it was some kind of balloon apparatus, we could ask, "Why would that be transferred to Wright Field?"

There are a number of other documents that talk about rumors within the military.

Gravel: That's not good enough; that's hearsay.

Dolan: Back in 1994, the General Accounting Office of the United States Congress was tasked with looking into the Roswell incident. This was on the initiative of the late United States Representative Steven Schiff of New Mexico whose constituents asked him to do this; and he did. Schiff talked at length about how the Air Force and the Pentagon just stonewalled him; gave him nothing; gave him a lot of expletives as well in the process. But the GAO's investigation was that the relevant records at Roswell, from the end of 1946 into 1948/1949 were gone. All of the records that they were looking for, that they expected to find, were, "surprise," not there. There was no explanation given. These were records according to the GAO that should not have been ever removed; they were to have been permanent, but they were gone.

The Air Force, by the way, was very, very deft in their handling of this, because while the GAO investigation was going on, the United States Air Force did their own "study" in which they beat the GAO to the punch, in terms of publicity. They got their own thing out there and said it was a classified balloon project known as Mogul which was designed to see whether the Soviets had any atomic devices. It is a big, big fat report, but when you actually read it, it is a long-fluff, in my opinion. But that's their explanation and they beat the GAO to the punch, so they won the public relations war.

Gravel: Thank you. Mr. Sheehan, would you address briefly, what is the theological implication?

(03:00)

Sheehan: Coming to grips with the full import of the theological reality is what undergirds all of this. For each of us to recognize that we are incarnated in a material form, but that there are other vibrational frequencies at which there are other dimensions of reality going on at the same time. Our theology is the realm in which we deal with it. It is a category of knowledge in the human family in which we deal with other dimensions of reality.

What happens after death?

How did we get here?

What are we doing here?

What's our ultimate purpose?

These are things that are raised by the UFO issue. That's why I reiterate that it is in the realm of theology that we have to come to address this. When we do, what we are going to discover is that we are going to draw down into our culture a lot of those same realities that, for example, the Native American culture and some of the aboriginal cultures understand that we are in a dynamic relationship with other dimensions; other vibrational frequencies. Our culture has closed itself off from that from the time of The Enlightenment in trying to define ourselves as separated, individuated, intellectual beings; and that we are not in harmonious, balanced relationship with the rest of reality. The consequences, of course, are falling upon us now because of the global climate change that we are experiencing; the contamination of our environment by ourselves. This is one of the crises that is going to confront us. My sense is that, if we probe into the theology of all of this, we're going to come to have a much more profound appreciation for the Native American culture and the theology of the Native American culture and the faculty of intuitional perception that our human family has, which is a faculty that is evolving teleologically in our culture. This is what Teilhard de Chardin dealt with within the Jesuits. It is the cutting edge of modern theology and the insights that we have gained from quantum physics since 1923 to 1926. We have to integrate into our scientific worldview the insights of quantum physics. We have to begin to readjust our basic worldview, as I pointed out before, to evolve a new worldview that integrates these capabilities.

Gravel: Thank you very much. I yield back the balance of my time.

(Applause.)

Bartlett: Congressman Cook.

Cook: I would like to start by asking Colonel French, you were involved in the imitations of the Blue Book processes?

French: Yes.

Cook: Could you elaborate a little more on what you found out from that, and especially, so I have a better understanding of how that got stopped or discarded, and what exactly happened on Blue Book; and how long did that go on? I need a better understanding of it.

French: They asked about what happened to the saucer from the accident at Alamogordo. All of that was taken to what is called the Foreign Technology Division at Wright Patterson Air Force Base. In what we shipped there was physical debris, parts of the ship. Another unusual aspect of it was that although the metal wasn't any thicker than cellophane, you'd put it in your hand, crush it and it flops right back into shape. We had it analyzed and it did not come from Earth. We have no known source of whatever that metal was.

Cook: You've actually held some of that?

French: Yes and so has Jesse Marcel. He did the same thing.

Cook: I understand from what's called the Roswell Incident, I realize there were several different locations from which materials were gathered up, there were dozens and dozens of witnesses of the materials, and you're clearly one of them, at least in terms of the analysis of the materials, not necessarily in gathering them up. Were there witnesses of any humanoids?

French: Oh yes.

Cook: Who? Because you don't hear nearly as much about that.

French: They also were taken to the Foreign Technology Division. There were, if I remember, about eight or nine corpses.

(03:05)

Cook: I'm more interested right now in the witnesses to that. I've read the accounts but there were many witnesses to the materials, but . . .

French: I can't point you to anyone that can add to that except Marcel because he was the first one that picked it up. Went out to the crash and policed up the pieces of the airplane. There were markings on them like they were identification numbers for parts or something of that nature, but it looked rather like Arabic writing and didn't look like anything from our neck of the woods.

Cook: Did Marcel indicate that he had actually witnessed the humanoids?

Dolan: There are many, many witnesses who have gone on the record about Roswell. We will have a Roswell panel here during this week. There are a number of researchers who have specialized in that.

Cook: My question started off as Blue Book. . . .

Dolan: Blue Book was the United States Air Force's official public investigative body on UFOs, a successor of a couple of previous ones that started in 1952 and went up to 1969 when it was closed down.

Cook: Did Blue Book include anything about Roswell?

Dolan: No. There's nothing on Roswell in there.

Cook: When did you say it was closed down and what was the reason for that?

Dolan: The end of 1969. What happened in the 1960s was that the United States had a big wave of UFO sightings in 1965/66. It made the news. Gerald Ford, Congressman from Michigan, talked about it on the floor of Congress. His constituents had seen UFOs and he was not satisfied with the answers that were coming from the Air Force. The pressure was really on the United States Air Force to do something about this. It is hard to remember because it is so far back in time, but the Air Force was losing a lot of credibility on this. A lot of people were not believing the Air Force's explanations. Swamp gas became a big national joke at that time. All the Air Force wanted to do was to get rid of UFOs. What they ended up doing was they contracted with the University of Colorado to conduct what was to be the first true public scientific investigation of UFOs. The whole attitude was, whatever Colorado comes up with, that's what we are going to conclude. That study was a whole big mess. Half of the scientists got fired halfway through the project because they were "believers." The man running it, Edward Condon, was absolutely dead set against any kind of pro-UFO or pro extraterrestrial conclusions.

So based on that recommendation, the Air Force was able to wash their hands and say, thank you very much, we're out of the UFO business, we're not doing this anymore.

Cook: There's no way to retrieve any of the. . . . I've just got to make sure I understand this. . . . I appreciate because you've illuminated this greatly for me. Is there any way to find out exactly what was in Blue Book at this point? What I'm looking for. . . .

Dolan: Yes.

Huneus: The Blue Book files are still there.

Cook: They are still there? Are you saying they are absolutely being covered up?

Huneus: No, no.

Cook: We can still read those? I was confused on that issue.

Huneus: The problem is that the Roswell stuff is not in the Blue Book files.

Cook: Okay. Now I've got a better understanding of all this.

Dolan: In the prior panel Stanton Friedman referred to a memo by General Carroll Bolender in which he stated in closing Blue Book down in 1969, that UFO reports affecting national security were not part of the Blue Book system.

Cook: I do remember him saying that. If I could turn to Mr. Huneus and Mr. Sheehan, religion has been brought up and implied in terms of this whole thing several ways. Now, you're not trying to say that just because Pat Robertson has a particular view, or because Popes in the past have said things that clearly don't agree with what you're saying as a Jesuit that religion is somehow against the idea of extraterrestrial life?

Sheehan: No. In fact it is quite the contrary. Remember the Latin derivative of religion is "re-ligero" meaning to "re-link." The whole idea of relinking the intellect; the root of intellect is "intellectus" meaning "the ability to distinguish the difference between." That whole ability that we developed somewhere between Australopithecus and Homo erectus is this intellectual capacity. We alienated ourselves in a separate entity here behind our eyeballs from all of the rest of reality. That's the danger.

(03:10)

Cook: Was there an implication that it is more the. . . . Going to Christian religion specifically, do you think there's some bias against the belief in the extraterrestrial? Because you were talking about that maybe we're going to have to accept this from a different religious perspective.

Sheehan: One of the unique features of Catholic Christology, for example, is that for each of the worldviews that I have pointed out that have political implications there are subsets of Catholic and Christian theology in all of those. There is a reactionary worldview that is fundamentalist; that everything is divided into good guys and bad guys. There is Satan and Angelic realms and they have projected this Satanic reality that they have onto the extraterrestrials, which is very, very dangerous.

Cook: I'm not in any way here to do missionary work for my particular religious faith, or certainly to speak for my religious faith. I am a Mormon, but on a fundamental level many Mormons believe it is consistent with doctrines of the Church, that there is life outside the terrestrial sphere.

Sheehan: It is an official doctrine of the Church of Jesus Christ of the Latter Day Saints; the actual belief in extraterrestrial intelligence.

Cook: I want to make that point clear; again I'm not here to preach or anything like that.

Sheehan: But it is true. It is true.

Cook: I think most Mormons, who believe as I do, would still say that is still a leap to say that we've had the visitations. There's nothing in a religious sense that would prohibit that, but we need as strong an evidence as we can to help this panel open up Congressional Hearings. By the way, I'm not giving up on the United States Congress yet. I don't think we have to go to the United Nations. Maybe that might be the way, but let's also try to open this up at the United States Congress.

Sheehan: Yes. I agree.

(Applause.)

French: My grandmother is a Catholic; that's all I have to do with Catholic Church. However, it is very important. One thing that that Catholic Church has done, believe it or not, they have commissioned the building of a wide angle lens to search the skies for UFOs. It is in Tucson Arizona.

Cook: Thank you.

Bartlett: Thank you very much. Congresswoman Hooley.

Hooley: What's the reaction over the last thirty or forty years in the United States and the rest of the world? What's going on? Have there been sightings? Do they feel differently about it than a lot of people feel about it here? What is happening elsewhere?

Huneus: As I said in my statement, the one thing that I think even skeptics would have to agree on is that the UFO phenomenon is worldwide. It doesn't matter the religion, ethnic origin, the language, the culture; it has been reported all over the world.

There are some sociological differences, as you would expect from different cultures, but we see that there's quite a rich history of official UFO investigations. We will be discussing this in a few more sessions later this week about investigations. We have with us some members from some of the South American agencies. We've seen an opening in recent years particularly in some European and South American countries. Now, odd as it may seem, it almost seems to be good politics in South America to have a UFO investigation. I know it sounds awkward, but the Latin culture is obviously more open. There is less ridicule in the media about this phenomenon. We've seen a trend starting with Uruguay in the late 1970s; we have a representative who will be speaking later this week. Chile, Peru, Ecuador, Brazil; Argentina recently announced the creation of a UFO agency within their Air Force.

(03:15)

What is interesting about the South American approach to this phenomenon from an official point of view is that there is not an adversarial between the official military agencies and the civilian UFO groups. Totally different from the United States where we, even in the days of Blue Book, there was always a big fight between civilian Ufologists and the Air Force. That was classic, if you read the history of UFOs in the United States. It used to be like that too in South America; not as bad as here. But now, you see that they cooperate. A lot of these agencies have a small budget so they call for volunteers from the civilian community. That shows us a model. We don't have to fight the government. We should all work together in order to solve the mystery.

Even Russia has had a lot of official investigations. Even under the Soviet Union, there were committees. There were two committees that were going on in the late 1970s and 1980s. One was a public one through the Soviet Academy of Science; the other one was a secret one through the KGB and the Soviet military. Nobody knew about that one publicly, but the scientific one was officially revealed even in the

controlled Soviet media. Then under Gorbachev in the 1980s, under glasnost, the UFO phenomena became very popular in the late Soviet society and into modern Russia.

China has had a kind of semi-official organization called the China UFO Research Organization. We have one of its founding members here also attending this Hearing.

So, yes, you see it all over the world and other countries have a more open attitude officially. It provides a model for the United States, in my opinion.

Hooley: For any of the panelists, one of the other questions I have is, a lot of people when they talk about this, at least in this country, are ridiculed when they talk about it. Why? Has there been some organization? Or is it just our attitudes in the United States? Tell me why there is so much skepticism in this country.

Dolan: I do find that privately people are much more open about this than when they are in a public situation. Probably for any of these researchers when people discover that you research UFOs, inevitably they say, oh, let me tell you about what I saw last year, five years, twenty years ago. So those stories are out there and I firmly believe there is a true hunger for knowledge on this. Once people realize that there is something to it. I agree with you that in our public discourse this is a third-rail whether you are in major media, politics or academia; they are all really the same in this regard.

I had a professor, a department chair, at UCLA call me a number of years ago and say:

I just want to let you know I enjoy your books.

I said:

Thank you; why are you whispering in the phone?

(Laughter.)

Literally he was whispering. He said the typical thing that every professor would say:

My department is kind of political and you know. . . .

It is always the retired ones who look for me.

Personally, I believe that the tone was set back in the 1950s. The top skeptic debunker in the United States at that time was a Harvard astronomer, Dr. Donald Menzel. Menzel wrote a number of books. He was the pit-bull guard dog maintaining orthodoxy. If some community college professor got out of line and talked about UFOs, here's Dr. Menzel, Harvard astronomer; who's going to argue Menzel? He'd slap them down.

Dr. Menzel, we learned years later, had a very close relationship with the National Security Agency; very close.

Does this prove that the NSA used him in this capacity? Who knows?

(03:20)

But this is how it looks: Back in the late 1970s, journalist Carl Bernstein has the famous Rolling Stone article in which he said that there are over 400 United States journalists that he had identified who had a covert relationship with the Central Intelligence Agency. The CIA promptly said we do not do that anymore. If you read the language in their statements carefully, especially in the 1990s, they are very lawyerly in their denials. It is very clear that there are relationships. So what I am suggesting, and I guess this is the conspiracy angle here, is that there are proxies that work with national security elements. It is an open revolving door in much of our society. Most of this is out in the open. There are friendly relationships within academe, media and politics where you have herders who keep the underlings in line. Every now and then someone gets out of line and they have to be dealt with. So I think that is how the culture is created. It has a top-down effect. Most people don't want to be Superman. They have their jobs; they have their families; they can't fight the machine, so they live their lives and hope that someone else takes it on.

Sheehan: There are internal documents within the Air Force and other agencies that Mr. Dolan can tell you about where they consciously instruct their officers to ridicule and debunk, as a specific official policy, people they know positively who have seen one of these things to try to destroy their credibility.

Huneus: The Robertson Panel, a famous CIA symposium which took place in January of 1953, used the word, "debunk."

Sheehan: As Colonel French said, he was specifically assigned to ridicule and tell witnesses that they are ridiculous. Most people can't stand up against that kind of thing coming from authority figures.

Hooley: Right.

Dolan: The Robertson Panel was the last action of the Truman administration. It was during the last weekend of Harry Truman's presidency that this classified panel took place. It was specifically related to UFOs; very interesting historical factoid there. As Daniel Sheehan just pointed out, its conclusion was, this is a necessary topic that we must debunk to the American people; this is not a good thing for them to be thinking about, and they worked with the media to do that.

Hooley: Thank you.

Bartlett: Thank you very much.

From where was the Project Mogul balloon, that was supposed to have created the Roswell Incident, supposedly launched?

French: Do you know what a "high ball" is? It is a high altitude balloon that they launch so they can keep track of the upper air currents. When Marcel launched it; it was probably right in front of the base ops, because that is where they send them from.

Bartlett: From where?

French: Base operations. The fighter squadrons, bomber squadrons, whatever; they have their own particular area. The transient airplanes always launch from base operations.

Bartlett: How close is one of those to Roswell?

French: It is on the Roswell base.

Bartlett: So what they are saying is that the balloon was launched there, and then crashed there?

French: Yes. But I don't know where exactly that it crashed.

Bartlett: These balloons were supposed to be determining possible Soviet nuclear detonations. If it was launched somewhere else, the probability of it landing at Roswell was vanishingly small. I had a course in advanced statistics and it is a vanishingly small probability.

Dolan: The United States Air Force in their 1994 report said that these were launched out of White Sands. They had a schedule of a number of these balloon launches that went down and were recovered. There were several that were from the spring of 1947 and they speculated. This was

not a slam-dunk, but they indicated that they thought they had identified the one launch that was supposedly the Roswell crash. I am trying to remember the date on that.

Bartlett: It had been mentioned is that the curious thing about all of this is that in the Government Accounting Office Report there was nothing for them to look at because all of the relevant information had been destroyed. They had no idea at whose command it had been destroyed. This is very unorthodox; it should have been there; kind of interesting, isn't it?

(03:25)

I was a member of the Science and Technology committee when Congressman Schiff held this briefing in about 1994 or 1995. I came to the United States Congress in 1993 and I remember his interest in that. When I was first approached about this week's activities, I was cautioned that if you do this, you could possibly be relegated to the "lunatic fringe" and that this may not be good for your potential activities for the rest of your political productive life. I will be eighty-seven years old in five weeks; I don't know how much remains anyhow.

I said, "I'm going to do this because I think there is a very serious Constitutional issue involved here."

(Applause.)

Whether there are UFOs or not; whether they are extraterrestrial or not is really quite irrelevant to whether or not there ought to be Hearings on this. The First Amendment to the United States Constitution says:

"The Right of the People to petition the government for a redress of grievances. . . ."

Mr. Sheehan, doesn't this fall within that?

Sheehan: Yes; there is no doubt about this. From the passage of the National Security Act of 1947 there has been an entire caveat on the United States Constitution. When Oliver North, for example, can sit right in front of the United States Congress and say, sure I lied to the Congress because this was a covert operation; we're in charge, you're not in charge. So there's an entire National Security State subculture that believes that they have the right, not only to withhold information from the United States Congress but also to openly defy Congress. When you passed the Bolan Amendment prohibiting them from engaging in covert operations

against Nicaragua, they go ahead and do it anyhow. The danger is a National Security State that, if it arose in the context of the Cold War with the Soviet Union, then we should move very aggressively at the end of the Cold War to try to disassemble some of those structures and not try to create a new one. So they went searching very quickly for some ultimate “other” that they had to find. They ended up having Afghanistan or Iran or Iraq. It is a mind-set of a retrenchment into a defensive position in the face of the ultimate other that we have to try to overcome. As I pointed out, it is a theological issue; a malfunction of our intellect that cuts us off from our harmonious relationship, not only with the rest of the Universe, but also all other intelligent species in the Universe. That’s what we have to address; it is a worldview issue.

(Applause.)

Bartlett: Thank you.

For twenty years in the United States Congress, I served on the Armed Services Committee and I remember Eisenhower’s caution as he left office that we should be concerned about the Military-Industrial complex. I understand that in the original draft it was the Military-Industrial-Congressional complex. He didn’t want to be too political so he took out the word “Congressional;” it is too bad he did that because this really is a “Military-Industrial-Congressional complex.” The F-35 is built in 48 states; there are ninety-six Senators and I don’t know how many representatives that support the F-35; not because we may need it, but because it creates jobs. Too many of us cannot differentiate between jobs that consume wealth and jobs that create wealth.

(Applause.)

Sheehan: It is on the front page of the Washington Post today; it says that the sequester, trying to cut back on the military budget, that we can’t do that because all the jobs and employment. It is an old saw. We’ve developed an economy that is so reliant on military spending that it drives the policies. So you go looking for adversaries and if we lose the adversary of the Soviet Union on December 31st of 1991, it takes them less than a few years to find another one. If you have to go so desperately that you have to go to Afghanistan and Iran as being your ultimate threat to the most powerful nation in the history of the human civilization, there’s a motive for that, and it is not because they are threatening you.

Bartlett: We held a Hearing on this in the United States Congress about the economic effects of sequestration. If I had been chairman, I would not have held that Hearing.

(03:30)

When it came time for my five minutes, I said:

“Suppose when we leave here tonight we broke all of the windows out of this building and we did that all over Washington? And overnight a crew came in and replaced all of those broken windows. And we did this every day; we broke out all the windows and a crew would replace them all, wouldn’t that create a lot of jobs? Just think of the jobs that that would create? And my question was, “Do you think that would have a long-term beneficial effect on the economy?”

I wanted them to understand the difference between jobs that create wealth and jobs that consume wealth.

But we have been talking about the United States Constitution and why I am here; I am here because I think the United States Congress owes the American people a Hearing on this subject.

(Applause.)

You may be dead wrong; there may be nothing to UFOs. That is irrelevant. You have a right to petition the government for a redress of grievances.

Thank you all very much for a very good panel. We will now stand in recess for lunch.

Thank you.

(03:31)

DAY ONE

Session Three: Rockefeller Initiative A (2:00PM, Monday, April 29, 2013)
Greer, Sheehan, Cameron, Huneus

Woolsey: We will call the afternoon session together and the oath will be given.

Buchman: It is my distinct honor to, once again, administer the oath. Before I do that a couple of housekeeping announcements: if everyone could please silence anything that rings, buzzes, vibrates or could be a distraction to others, we would appreciate that. We will begin with the two witnesses who are here. If you would be so kind as to repeat after me:

I do hereby affirm that I will tell the truth and nothing but the truth to the members of this Committee today.

Thank you.

Woolsey: We're going to begin with Doctor Greer.

Greer: Thank you; it is an honor to be here. I would just like to summarize this subject by saying that I got involved in 1990 when I formed the Center for the Study of Extraterrestrial Intelligence and put together some of the early briefings for folks in the administration of President Clinton. This occurred because in 1992 I was leading a team of people where we had a contact event on a beach in Florida. This got reported into a Department of Defense report that was done by John Peterson of the Arlington Institute. He was friends with the man who became CIA Director, R. James Woolsey.

CIA Directly Woolsey invited us to come to Washington DC to meet with him and some folks to discuss this. It was to my great surprise and dismay to learn that the CIA Director had made inquiries into this subject and had not gotten any information. This was also true of President Clinton, who through Webster Hubbell had also made inquiries. Because of this, we decided to put together an initiative which was called "Project Starlight." It was very involved, in the early days, with briefing senior people in the military and government. To my dismay, I have to say at this point, that we discovered that there was an extra-Constitutional management of this subject which continues to this moment, which I find, as Eisenhower said, an existential threat to our democracy. It is for that reason that a few years ago I left my medical career to pursue this and to get the truth out to the public because I feel it is a matter of very pressing concerns.

I am an emergency doctor and I always kind of halfway jokingly say that I know an emergency when I see one and our planet and our government is in an emergency. During the period around the time that we had our meetings with Mr. Rockefeller, I had already set up briefings through the head of intelligence for the Joint Chiefs of Staff, Admiral Cramer, a meeting at Wright Patterson Air Force Base to which the remains of the Roswell Event was sent. They initially did not want to have this meeting. It was ordered by the head of Air Force Intelligence under the orders of J-2, which, as you know, is head of intelligence, Joint Staff, Admiral Cramer. That then led to a series of events where we decided that what we needed to do was to pull together the best military, intelligence and corporate; Lockheed, Northrop and other witnesses, as we called them, to this issue and begin to do briefings for various members of Congress and people in the Administration.

(03:35)

In 1995, I organized and Mr. Rockefeller funded a gathering of the first witnesses at Asilomar, near Monterey in California. These were people. They were cosmonauts from Russia. They were astronauts such as Edgar Mitchell. They were people who had worked with Eisenhower and knew of Eisenhower's concern that he had lost control over the secrecy on this issue around 1956 when, ironically, the Rockefeller commission reorganized the Department of Defense and the CIA into such a Byzantine mess that to this day these projects have not had proper oversight at that level. This then led to us doing some meetings for members of Congress in 1997. Congressman Dan Burton who was Chairman of the House Government Oversight Committee came along with a number of other Congressmen and Senators. Also following that, we did what's called a Stand-Up Briefing. Edgar Mitchell, the sixth man to walk on the moon, and I did with some of our military sources for then Head of Intelligence, Joint Staff, Admiral Tom Wilson. To my astonishment, when I had this meeting, I had sent in advance a briefing similar to the one that I provided here, this is the one I had provided for President Obama through John Podesta who, as you know, set up the Obama Administration, and what I was astonished and appalled to learn is that the first document that I have in this document is a National Reconnaissance Office document that has actionable intelligence listing project code names and code numbers effective as of the early 1990s. This admiral, Tom Wilson, looked through this document, identified one of the compartments, contacted them and was told, and I am quoting:

“Sir, you do not have a need-to-know.”

Now, this is the head of intelligence for the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

He said to the person, it was a contractor, I cannot disclose which one, who said that basically that, sir we will not discuss this with you further and basically hung up on the admiral.

This I will testify under oath before any. . . . Now, because of that event, we then wrote an "Unless Otherwise Directed" letter, my military advisor said, Dr. Greer what you need to do is an UNOD letter that goes to all branches of government that says, that we have concluded that this subject is being managed in an extra-Constitutional fashion. A priori the National Security Act no longer applies under those oaths, and they are hereby exonerated, or freed from them. We wrote such a letter to the heads of all agencies. It was never contested and the way "Unless Otherwise Directed" letter works is unless you hear back from the agency, you are allowed to proceed with your assessment and our assessment was that we were going to go forward with these men and women and documents. In fact there was a senior CIA official who I've been asked not to name who is still in the Science Directorate of the CIA who said, please do this for our nation. At that point, we began to gather together more of these so-called witnesses, they've been called now, I called them patriots who want to have the truth out and we then did the 2001 Disclosure Project in this ballroom. We are about at our 12 year anniversary of that. I organized that for the purpose of getting the public informed and also Congress.

There were tens of thousands of petitions and faxes sent to members of Congress asking for Hearings. Unfortunately a few months later 9-11 happened. The day before 9-11. . . . I will make no further comments on that, except to say that on September 10th, you will see tonight in our film Sirius, if you come to see it at 8 o'clock, that there was a comment in a speech by then Secretary of Defense Rumsfeld, who stated that there's 2.3 trillion dollars unaccounted for in the Department of Defense budget. Let me repeat that number, 2 point 3 trillion dollars. This was on September 10th 2001. Now, prior to that, I was not surprised at that comment because back in 1994 I had a meeting with Mr. D'Amato, not the Senator, who was the chief counsel or the Senate Appropriations Committee. He informed me that he thought there in excess of 100 billion dollars a year missing out of the treasury and going into so-called Black Projects. This is a misnomer, just so that the committee knows, the proper term in the military and intelligence world is an unacknowledged special access project.

(03:40)

Special access projects are projects are projects that, of course, you have to be read into with various clearances. The unacknowledged ones are ones for which there is no acknowledgement on paper and anyone in the

project is ordered not to speak about it under threat of termination with extreme prejudice, which is death. My senior military advisor was in one such project. He has not even informed me what it concerned. It was under Admiral Harry Train. It was a three billion dollar project. This was one project that was unacknowledged. So this is not a theory, this is how the deep national security state actually operates that the members of Congress usually are not aware of.

In the ensuing years, since 2001, we have continued these briefings. We have had greater success in other countries; there are now more than fourteen countries that have opened their UFO files. We have been very instrumental in this. I have worked with people at the Vatican, the French government and what is also very exciting is that one of the most important documents in history, which I will provide to the Committee, is a document from the Ministry of Defense of France committing to my organization to engage in a long-term project to make peaceful contact with these "Visitors." This document has not been yet released; I will provide it to the Committee and there is great progress being made in other countries.

Admiral (name unclear, possibly Morin), who won the Prize Ampere in France and is a medical doctor, as I am, and also a PhD physicist, and was an adviser to President Sarkozy and others, has been meeting with us and we have been involved with projects in other countries to do this. I will tell you however, that as they began to step into this, they were warned off by someone within the national security state saying, do not pursue this. So we went to France about three years ago. We had an expedition to actually make contact with these extraterrestrial civilizations and the admiral later informed us that their radar tracked objects that came over the site at between 100 and 200 thousand kilometers an hour.

What is fascinating about this is that the French were not deterred from doing this project with us, but they have to date remained fairly quiet. There is therefore progress being made in other G-7 countries. As you know, we have a former Minister of Defense of Canada here who is very supportive, the Honorable Paul Hellyer. What we find, however, is that the United States has remained a black hole of secrecy. Now the reasons for this are complex. First is inertia; once you go into an Unacknowledged Special Access program, it is very hard to bring things out. Second is that this is the Crown Jewel in America of the defense and aerospace industries. My uncle was a senior project engineer at Grumman that became Northrop Grumman that put the first man on the moon with the lunar module; he worked on the lunar module which is part of how I got involved with this. What I have found through my uncle and other contacts at Lockheed, is that we have had, since about the

mid-1950s, operational electromagnetic, gravitic devices; basically in the parlance of the pop-culture, anti-gravity devices that are deeply classified and are the next generation beyond rockets and jet aircraft. These are fully operational and not experimental. These have been used very discreetly. We have an enormous amount of information on this, including a facility at Norton Air Force Base where we had Frank Carlucci, the Secretary of Defense for President Reagan, was ushered in there, and some people who were with him, such as Brad Sorensen, have given us information and have helped us provide schematics and drawings for these so called Alien Reproduction Vehicles. A bit of a misnomer because in reality advanced anti-gravity research began with T. Townsend Brown in 1929. We will get more into this on Friday.

The key point here is that the technologies that would give us a new civilization without oil, without gas, without coal, without nuclear power are extant; they already exist; they've been developed and it is a matter of the upmost national security and world peace and justice that we bring these sciences out and start a new chapter in the human experience.

(03:45)

Thank you.

(Applause.)

Woolsey: Daniel.

Sheehan: I would like to address the issue from the view of a practicing attorney in these particular areas. I just touched on it briefly earlier in the other panel. When I was at the Cahill Gordon law firm in New York City, we brought the Pentagon Papers at that time. June Goodall, the chief counsel for the New York Times, contacted us. I had been working on the briefs for the New York Times because I happened to have initiated the case that established the right of journalists to protect their confidential news sources when I was at the Harvard Civil Rights Law Review. So I was at the firm; I was working on the briefs and June Goodall brought us the information that they had the Pentagon Papers. It was the first time that I found myself in a situation where I had to try to put myself in the shoes of people that I had had the luxury, prior to that time, of saying, "We ought to get it all out; we ought to just reveal everything; all the people have the right to know everything."

I found myself in this peculiar situation of starting to ask questions about what might be in there that we had to try to be careful about revealing. Now, in that particular case, it wasn't a hard question because we found things about the assignation program of the Central

Intelligence Agency, the Phoenix Program; we found out that they were smuggling opium in Southeast Asia to help fund it; we found out about the Nugan Hand Bank that was set up by Theodore Shackley who was the Central Intelligence Agency station chief in Saigon. All the things we found out about in that particular instance I felt were criminal, unlawful conduct. It had occurred because the Central Intelligence Agency when it was created in 1947 out of Section 5412. . . . The 5412 Committee was set up inside the National Security Council to oversee covert operations. For the first time, it actually legitimized the undertaking of covert operations that were not going to be revealed to the United States Congress. It was before we had an Intelligence Committee. You remember that Prescott Bush, the United States Senator from Connecticut, was the one who would brief in certain Congresspeople and certain United States Senators about this. So there was this entire extra-Constitutional protocol that began to be undertaken right at the very beginning. Finally they set up the 5412 committee inside the National Security Council that oversaw those things. I had never had access to that until we saw the Pentagon Papers. Upon reviewing it with Neil Sheehan and the others I came to the conclusion that all of this should be revealed; that these were criminal activities that had happened and that were all happening outside of the United States. But they were having such dramatic effects inside the United States that I thought they ought to be talked about.

That was my first experience with this. As it proceeded, I ended up becoming Chief Counsel of the Karen Silkwood case, the woman who was killed at the nuclear site in Oklahoma. During our investigations of that, we discovered that ninety eight pounds of bomb-grade plutonium were being smuggled out of the Kerr-McGee Nuclear reprocessing plant. We discovered that it was being transported secretly to Israel. So I touched on that old third-rail. There it was. We briefed Peter Stockton, the chief investigator for John Dingell. John Dingell was chairing the United States House Subcommittee on Energy and the Environment. Because of the oversight authority they had over nuclear facilities environmentally, we briefed them and told them all about this. They tasked a real-time National Security Agency satellite to monitor the smuggling of these materials. It tracked them being put onto a charter oil company ships, boarded by Mossad and brought to Israel. At that time it was under the condition that they had to share some these nuclear materials, ninety-eight percent pure bomb-grade plutonium, with the Shah of Iran. They were providing ninety-eight percent pure bomb-grade reprocessed plutonium to the Shah of Iran and to the Afrikaner government of South Africa.

(03:50)

Because of my discovery of that; a clear absolute blatant violation of the Nuclear Nonproliferation Treaty, I briefed Judge Frank G. Theis. I provided an affidavit to him explaining the whole thing. The following Monday he contacted me and said that the Central Intelligence Agency had contacted him and wanted to have an in-camera, ex-parte meeting with him. He met with them and immediately dismissed one of the counts in the Karen Silkwood case; her death on the highway; interfering with her right to travel on the highway; her First Amendment right to meet with the New York Times. David Burnham was waiting for her at the Holliday Inn to receive the documents showing that forty pounds of ninety-eight percent pure bomb-grade plutonium was missing. When we gave that information to the Congressman, nobody ever talked about it after that. They called Stan Turner in; they brought him downstairs; put him under oath; challenged him about this. The only reason I knew about it is because Peter Stockton told us about it. So it became obvious to me at that point that there were secrets that virtually everybody within the political circles agreed had to be kept secret. Our supplying, in complete violation of the Nuclear Nonproliferation Treaty, ninety-eight percent pure, bomb-grade plutonium to Israel was one of those things.

So I realized that at that time there was this dimension, such as Dr. Greer has talked about, of levels of classification that are way beyond people in the United States Congress; way beyond us as civilians and I spent a lot of time looking into this whole process. I was the one who filed the original Iran-Contra case that got the Special Prosecutor appointed. We uncovered all of the smuggling of the weapons that was going on; the cocaine smuggling operations that were going on and it became clear to me that everybody knew about this. That all kinds of people knew about this, but the citizens didn't. As I tried to bring information to some people in the United States Congress; I talked with Tip O'Neill about all of this, as I discovered all this stuff was going on, I was then later contacted by Dr. John Mack, to represent him. I was aware of the fact that there was this realm of ultimate secrecy that existed.

I realized that there was a classification above everything that I had ever seen; a Cosmic Top Secret. There is a whole category of Cosmic Top Secret that has to do with this and there's information in there about contacts with these Beings that were recovered from Roswell, one particular living Being that they brought to Wright-Patterson. That they didn't know what to do with the Being; they didn't know how to take care of the Being; they had to keep the Being isolated; they were afraid of potential contamination. I was challenged at that point to try to determine whether or not I would reveal these things to everyone. I kept trying to have contact with people inside the intelligence community to say, "If there's something that you think that I ought to know that would

convince me that the American people somehow shouldn't know about this, tell me about it, will you? Because if you are not going to tell me about it, I'm going after it and I'm going to be going after it in a context that may make it automatically available to anybody once I get it." Only a couple of times was I approached and told to stay away from these things. That's not an answer, just "to stay away from it."

I am coming to you today to tell you that with my experience directly as one of the attorneys in the Pentagon Papers case; I was in F. Lee Bailey's office when we did Watergate; it was our office that got James McCord to write the letter to Judge Sirica blowing the whistle on the Watergate plumbers, the Karen Silkwood case with the smuggling of the plutonium, the Iran-Contra case with the smuggling of cocaine, but nothing comes close to matching this issue. Because whatever this extraterrestrial intelligence is, they obviously share the belief that we should not be told outright. As people have said, "Why don't they land on the White House lawn and just tell us?"

(03:55)

So there is obviously some type of consensus at the highest levels of Cosmic Top Secret clearance security in our government. When I asked General Secretary Mikhail Gorbachev about this, because I was legal counsel to the State of the World Forum for Gorbachev and Senator Baker at the end of the Cold War, he told me flat out that these things existed; that they had known that these existed and that they were in communication with the United States government about this. So what I am saying is that we need to, as citizens, be insistent about finding out about this unless and until somebody communicates information to us that makes sense about why the people should not all know about this.

They haven't told me that yet and so until they do I'm going to continue to try to find out everything I can about it and share it with people who have the kind of responsible positions that you have had, and still have. Because you still have political capital, whether you are eighty-seven years old or thirty-nine years old or whatever your ages are; you still have political capital that can effectuate a chance. For you to go collectively to sit down with certain members of the United States Congress, or certain people together to say, "Look, this is what we know and we want to act responsibly. Can you please help us act responsibly, but we are going to act in any event." That's how you will find out.

(Applause.)

Woolsey: Mr. Cameron.

Cameron: As I explained this morning, my name is Grant Cameron a private UFO investigator. For the past 38 years I have spent most of my time looking at what the highest levels of the United States Government, military, and intelligence agencies know about the UFO phenomena. This afternoon I am speaking about the Rockefeller Initiative. My testimony is based on 1,000 pages of documents that were released to me in 2001 by the Clinton White House in response to a Freedom of Information Act request for UFO files. It should be noted here that like the documents you were talking about this morning, the Roswell documents that disappeared, these documents have now disappeared. Both the National Archives and the President Clinton Library maintain that they do not have the documents. For example, I filed a Freedom of Information Act request with the Clinton Library and received about 1,000 pages of UFO documents which included a lot of material that Steven Greer had sent to the President. The 1,000 pages that I am going to discuss right now were not part of the disclosure, and both maintain that they do not have them. They are on my website, the Presidents UFO and the Paradigm Research Group has these 1,000 pages of documents from the Clinton White House.

Basically what the FOIA documents show is that billionaire businessman Laurance Rockefeller had approached the White House to get the government to disclose the truth behind the UFO mystery. There are different Rockefellers; there is Nelson Rockefeller who was the political guy who ran for government; there was David who was the money guy and Laurance Rockefeller was the humanitarian. He had a philosophy degree from Princeton University and was very interested in this phenomenon. Rockefeller also had other meetings outside the White House Initiative. One was with Republican Congressman Steven Horn from California who was with the Government Reform and Oversight Committee related to government secrecy.

Rockefeller's request for UFO disclosure was dealt with in the White House by the President's science advisor, Dr. Jack Gibbons. To prepare for the first March 1993 meeting with Rockefeller, Dr. Gibbons requested a UFO briefing from the CIA. That briefing was tasked at the Central Intelligence Agency to Dr. Ronald Pandolfi. So if you want to know, that's probably the guy you should be phoning. Dr. Ronald Pandolfi, who has been identified by the New York Times as the top scientist in the Central Intelligence Agency is the man rumored inside the UFO community as the agency expert on UFOs, and a man who over the last 20 years has repeatedly interacted with many researchers inside the UFO community.

As the CIA has a public position of no UFO involvement, Pandolfi gave the job of writing the White House briefing, which he knew would become

public because Laurance Rockefeller was involved, to a private UFO researcher, Mr. Bruce Maccabee, who had done a couple of UFO lunch time talks at CIA headquarters. The briefing therefore became not an official briefing on the subject, but the personal opinion of one researcher inside the research community.

(04:00)

Rockefeller exerted great pressure on the Clinton White House threatening to write an open letter demanding UFO disclosure from President Clinton and to make this demand public in full page ads in all the major newspapers in the United States. Under this pressure Dr. Gibbons agreed to try and get some sort of disclosure on the UFO subject. He asked Mr. Rockefeller to pick one case that could be declassified and then all the rest of the covered-up material could be dealt with. Mr. Rockefeller picked the 1947 UFO crash at Roswell as the case to investigate, and that is one of the reasons why there was a reinvestigation of the Roswell crash by the United States Air Force in 1994. The United States Air Force did not decide to do it on their own.

At the same time the White House was green lighting a reinvestigation of Roswell, the Government Accountability Office was also doing a reinvestigation of the Roswell crash. That investigation was initiated by New Mexico Congressman Steven Schiff who had ordered the GAO investigation after his requests for information were stonewalled by Clinton's first Secretary of Defense, Les Aspin.

The GAO study, however, was quite limited. Only Freedom of Information Act replies on the Roswell crash were used; nothing was found except that a bunch of key Roswell documents from the time of the crash that would have indicated what had happened were missing. Therefore no conclusion was offered.

The USAF reinvestigation discovered a way to bypass the actual reinvestigation of the crash. Rather than following the testimony of many of the witnesses that will be appearing here this week, they simply published a final report stating that the whole 1947 crash event had been caused by the launch of a Mogul balloon designed to monitor a possible Soviet nuclear test.

In 1995 in Belfast Northern Ireland President Clinton challenged the official United States Air Force Roswell Report which had no discussion of the reported alien bodies. In a speech that he gave, he stated:

“As far as I know an alien spacecraft did not crash in Roswell, New Mexico. . . If the United States Air Force did recover alien bodies, they didn't tell me about it either and I want to know.”

This open challenge in Northern Ireland forced the United States Air Force to do a second Roswell crash report. The United States Air Force released this report to a room of laughing reporters as they described how six foot tall wooden dummies dressed in Air Force uniforms in 1953 somehow explained what people had described as small four foot grey creatures in 1947, including one alien that was still alive. The report was the United States Air Force's lame attempt intended to answer Clinton's challenge about the alien bodies mentioned in Belfast.

Without any official record of the event Laurance Rockefeller did sit down with Bill and Hillary Clinton, and most of those documents were provided by Steven Greer to Laurance Rockefeller. They met at the Wyoming JY Ranch which was controlled by the Rockefellers. Rockefeller briefed both Bill and Hillary Clinton on the best available evidence for UFOs and for the need to make that information available to the American People.

The mention of Hillary's name in the 1995 briefing at the Rockefeller ranch is important because the Rockefeller Initiative documents that I recovered show that Hillary played a key role in the Rockefeller White House Initiative on UFOs.

There are two key documents:

On November 1, 1995 there's a letter from Rockefeller's lawyer to the President's science advisor. It points out that Hillary and her staff have been helping Laurance Rockefeller edit a letter on UFO disclosure to the President. The letter was called, "Lifting Secrecy of Information about Extraterrestrial Intelligence as Part of the Current Classification Review." What was happening is that Laurance was sending this document to various people and he would threaten to send the letter to the President if he didn't get cooperation. Various people helped him edit the letter; Hillary was one of the people who helped edit this letter on UFO disclosure.

In a second 1996 letter from Rockefeller to the President's science advisor, he clearly spells out that information coming from Rockefeller, to the science advisor, to President Clinton, and from President Clinton's science advisor to Rockefeller; all that material was going through Hillary Clinton's First Lady's office.

In 1996 the initiative faded out after the briefing at the ranch and Rockefeller moved on to fund other UFO research activities.

(Applause.)

(04:05)

Woolsey: Mr. Huneeus.

Sheehan: I need to apologize; I have a meeting at the Justice Department at 3:00PM and I'll be gone until 5:00PM and I'll be back. I apologize, but I have to go. Thank you.

Woolsey: We get it. Thank you. See you later.

Huneeus: I will be repeating, obviously, some of the material that has been covered by some of the other panelists. Throughout most of the 1990s a significant amount of UFO-related research was funded by the billionaire philanthropist Laurance S. Rockefeller. Yet with a few exceptions this was mostly unknown by the general public, the media and even the majority of the Ufological community. It was also ignored by the mainstream world, which chose to ignore the unconventional side of one of the Rockefellers. His long official biography posted online by the Rockefeller Archive Center doesn't mention any of his UFO, paranormal, new-age and consciousness-oriented interests, and neither did the long obituaries published by The New York Times, The Washington Post and other mainstream publications when he died in 2004 at the age of 94. And yet these activities were not a small, inconsequential part of the philanthropist's life; he seemed to have spent quite a bit of time thinking, meeting people and funding research in the period from the late 1980s to 2000. Without access to his financial records, there is no way of knowing how much money he spent, but it must be around a few million dollars at the least.

Although I never met him personally, I know something about it because I had the opportunity of working first-hand in one of Laurance Rockefeller's sponsored projects, "The UFO Briefing Document – The Best Available Evidence," a special report published in December 1995 and sent to the White House, selected members of Congress and VIPs, which is now available for free at the openminds.tv website. Rockefeller's activities, in fact, went beyond funding into actual lobbying at the highest level; President Bill Clinton and the First Lady and former Secretary of State, Hillary Rodham Clinton. Long before the term "exopolitics" was in vogue, Laurance Rockefeller was practicing it in the White House from 1993 to 1996. This has come to be known as the Rockefeller UFO Initiative, a multi-pronged campaign to get the United States Government to release sensitive information on UFOs and Extraterrestrial Intelligence. The initiative is documented in hundreds of

pages of correspondence released a few years ago by the White House Office of Science and Technology Policy (OSTP) under the Freedom of Information Act. These documents can be accessed at the Paradigm Research Group website and also, of course, Grant Cameron has obtained lots of additional documents.

I am enclosing a long article describing all the various facets of the so-called Laurance Rockefeller UFO Initiative published in the Open Minds magazine number six, February-March 2011. It is not necessary to go into the biographical background of Mr. Rockefeller in this short presentation, except to note that he was one of the original grandsons of the founder of the dynasty, John D. Rockefeller; that he was obviously extremely wealthy and well connected, that he had studied philosophy at Princeton University and was quite a visionary even in business, where he seemed to be always ahead of the curve, backing aeronautics in the 1930s, electronics in the 1960s and conservation and environmental efforts throughout his long career. Although the mainstream media chose to ignore it, his intense interest in UFOs and extraterrestrial issues in the 1990s fits very well in this pattern.

Mr. Rockefeller backed many UFO-related projects in the period between the late 1980s and 2000, but for the purpose of this Hearing we will concentrate on his political initiatives in these areas. Laurance Rockefeller's first forays into ufology started sometime in the late 1980s through Dr. Cecil B. Scott Jones, a parapsychologist and former United States Navy Commander who had worked as Naval Attaché in Asia and at the Naval Scientific and Technical Intelligence Center. Between 1985 and 1991, Jones was Special Assistant to Senator Claiborne Pell, the powerful Rhode Island Democrat Chairman of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee who was deeply interested in parapsychology; and who probably many of you met personally.

(04:10)

Senator Pell was also friends with Laurance Rockefeller and both served on the board of the Human Potential Foundation, a small think tank launched in 1989 in Vienna, Virginia, by Dr. Jones to conduct, "research into all conditions of humankind: physiological, psychological and spiritual." Many of the papers released by the White House's OSTP come from Scott Jones, who knew Dr. John Gibbons, a physicist who worked for many years as the Director of the Office of Technology Assessment for the United States Congress and was appointed in 1993 by the Clinton administration to direct the OSTP. The exact turning point of Laurance Rockefeller's evolution from a general interest in consciousness studies into the specific area of UFOs and extraterrestrial intelligence is still unclear, but the end of the Cold War and the arrival in Washington of a

younger generation represented by Bill and Hillary Clinton are key factors. He felt the time was ripe for a new and fresh approach into an area that had been previously dominated by a Cold War mentality.

Rockefeller recruited for this effort a long-time associate, Henry L. Diamond, an environmental attorney from Washington, DC whose links to the family went all the way back to the 1960s when he worked with Laurance in his conservation activities. Diamond also knew John Gibbons and so he was the right person to make the first contact with the OSTP chief when he sent a Memorandum on March 29, 1993 requesting a meeting. Quoting the first paragraph:

“Laurance S. Rockefeller, who is a leading United States conservationist, businessman, and philanthropist, is anxious to have a brief meeting with Dr. Gibbons to discuss the potential availability of government information about Unidentified Flying Objects and extraterrestrial life. As one who has had a long-time interest in environmental and spiritual issues, Mr. Rockefeller, with other leading citizens, is planning to make an approach to President Clinton on this subject.”

The details of Rockefeller’s White House lobbying effort are described in my article and also on the documents themselves posted by the Paradigm Research Group. We know from the record that following the initial meeting with Gibbons and subsequent correspondence, the government decided to constrict the more general issue of UFOs into the specific and famous UFO crash of July 1947 in Roswell, New Mexico. This incident will be discussed in detail at a later session during this Hearing. After ignoring it for decades, the United States Air Force had then taken the decision to finally make a big public statement, which took place in 1994 with its official Report that explained or debunked the incident as a once top secret balloon project to detect the Soviet’s first atomic bomb tests codenamed, Project Mogul.

This derailed to a great extent the initial Rockefeller effort at the White House, but didn’t stop him from trying a different approach. By 1995 Laurance Rockefeller switched his UFO-related coordinator from Scott Jones to Marie Galbraith, the well-connected wife of investment banker Evan Galbraith who was ambassador to France during the Reagan administration, Republican candidate for New York governor in 1994 and chairman of William Buckley’s National Review, among other things.

Marie Galbraith and Sandra S. Wright, another well-connected high society lady who ran the BSW Foundation, had come up with the idea of preparing a comprehensive UFO Briefing Document that could be sent to members of Congress and VIPs in general. The original draft was written

by Don Berliner, an aviation journalist and long-time Ufologist with the Fund for UFO Research (FUFOR) in the Washington area. I was brought in to help edit and expand the document from a small office ran by Marie Galbraith in New York's Madison Avenue. The final Briefing Document was finished in December 1995, but sent out in early 1996. It was Rockefeller's and Galbraith's idea to give the copyright to the UFO Research Coalition, a consortium of the three main American UFO organizations; the Mutual UFO Network, the Center for UFO Studies and the Fund for UFO Research, whose directors endorsed the document. Copies of the report were sent to Dr. Gibbons at the White House, some members of Congress and VIPs worldwide, but there was no well-connected effort to disseminate the document. As a result its political impact was limited.

(04:15)

The one exception was France due to Marie Galbraith's extensive connections there from her United States Embassy days back in the 1980s. Copies of the UFO Briefing Document were sent from President Chirac down the food chain in the French government. We had received, in fact, many interesting documents and reports from the official French UFO group at the National Center for Space Studies (CNES), the French equivalent to NASA which was then called SEPRA and now GEIPAN. In one of the Briefing's "Case Histories" was the famous UFO landing case in Trans-en-Provence in 1981. I will have more to say about the French official UFO investigation at a later session in this Hearing. There can be little doubt that the UFO Briefing Document became the model for the COMETA Report, an important study conducted by a group of retired French generals and intelligence officers led by Major General Denis Letty. In their final 1999 report titled, "UFOs and Defense: What Should We Prepare For?" the COMETA authors praised highly the, "leading United States personality Marie Galbraith" who was "supported both morally and financially by Laurance Rockefeller." That's from the COMETA report.

Marie Galbraith was also the coordinator for a number of separate UFO-related projects funded by the philanthropist. By 1997, Laurance Rockefeller dropped the political UFO initiatives and concentrated instead on the scientific angle. A major meeting, closed to both the public and the press, was held from September 29 to October 3, 1997 at the Pocantico Conference Center in Tarrytown, New York. The chief coordinator and author of the final report was Dr. Peter A. Sturrock, an astrophysicist from Stanford University who also directed for many years the Society for Scientific Exploration (SSE).

The idea was to gather a group of professional scientists, many of them from Europe, and have scientifically-trained UFO researchers present the best evidence to a panel of neutral scientists. The presentations touched upon all the main scientific areas: Photographic Evidence; Luminosity Estimates; Radar Evidence; the Hessdalen Project, this is a place in Norway where unexplained lights have been recorded for many years; Vehicle Interference; Aircraft Equipment Malfunction; Apparent Gravitational and/or Inertial Effects; Ground Traces; Injuries to Vegetation; Physiological Effects on Witnesses; and Analysis of Debris.

You can consult Professor Sturrock's final report on the scientific conference, "The UFO Enigma – A New Review of the Physical Evidence" published by Warner Books in 1999 and also available on the SSE's website, for all the details and data. Rockefeller seemed very satisfied with the results of this event and he even wrote the book's Foreword, which became his only statement on UFOs written for publication. By 2000 Laurance Rockefeller reached the age of 90, and was concentrated on his private family affairs. For all practical purposes the Rockefeller UFO Initiative and funding, whether scientific, political or philosophical, was over. But his contribution to the field was certainly extensive. We hope that other philanthropists will follow his path and try to solve the mystery of UFOs. Both the political and scientific approaches are valid and necessary in order to understand the complex ramifications of this phenomenon.

Thank you.

(Applause.)

Woolsey: Thank you. I believe we are a little over time, so how much time will each panelist get?

Buchman: Six minutes.

Woolsey: Six minutes. Alright; I will follow in the footsteps of my co-chair and waive my turn until the end. Mr. Bartlett.

Bartlett: Thank you very much. Dr. Greer you mentioned that there was a very large amount of money that could not be accounted for in the Pentagon. The Pentagon still cannot be audited; they hope that they may have books several years in the future that could be audited. It is hard to believe that in today's world. They spend about half of all of the money that we vote to spend in the Congress and yet we don't spend one percent of our time in the Congress talking about this expenditure. There's a day or so, once a year, when we debate this on the floor and pass this bill; and this spend about half of all the money that we vote to

spend. It is just incredible to me that they still don't have books that can be audited.

(04:20)

You mentioned anti-gravity devices; I'd like to know a little bit more about that. I know that this is one of the things that Steven Hawking is trying to harmonize; relativity, gravity and so forth. Many people believe that he may be the best mind in a millennium.

Greer: I think that there are two tracks in physics; there's the track you can find at MIT, and then there's the track you can find at the Naval Research Labs. I'm friends with the third highest ranking scientist there as well as some physicists at the Redstone Arsenal and the Marshall Space Flight Center. I can assure you that they have used very high voltage systems at low total energy consumption to create a Poynting Vector into space. This is tapping the Zero-Point energy field. The renowned defense journalist Nick Cook wrote a book about this called, "The Hunt for Zero Point." Without getting too much into the physics of this, studies on this matter began in the 1920s with T. Townsend Brown, who later became key to the Rand Corporation, but also in Germany with the Kowsky-Frost experiment which we will go into on Friday, using crystalline structures, now we're using Nano-crystalline materials, like you find in transformer, to create an electromagnetic field around an object that gives it lift. There are classified aspects to some of our known aircraft. I talked to Admiral Wilson about this, such as the B-2 Stealth that involve this. The ones that look circular, the so-called "flying saucers," there have been prototypes of this for a number years. About October 1954, from sources I have who have been in "The Vault" at the National Security Agency and other facilities, we figured out gravity control; which means that we can do lifter systems such as the ones that people report in UFOs.

Bartlett: I'd like to reserve enough time to ask you about something else that you mentioned that really concerns me. Mr. Sheehan mentioned "necessary secrets."

Greer: Right

Bartlett: Things that we keep from the American People. I really am conflicted in this area. I will acknowledge that you may argue that there are necessary secrets; that there are Black Projects, you didn't characterize them that way, but some of these top, Top-Secret things that you are talking about. Above Top-Secret; it is a black world. I've had several briefings (unclear) on Black Projects. If you can do this, then for the

“good of the American People,” you can ignore the Constitution to do good things; and we do that. We do ignore the Constitution to do good things. We’re ignoring the Constitution to do all of the welfare we do, because it is not in Article 1; it is not an enumerated power. We’re ignoring the Constitution to do all of the health care that we do.

Greer: Yes sir.

Bartlett: We’re ignoring the Constitution to do all of the education that we do, because none of those three things, where we spend a huge amount of money, are specifically enumerated powers in Article 1, Section 8 and both the 9th and 10th Amendments pretty clearly say that if you can’t find it there, you can’t do it.

Now my conflict is that, whereas I recognize that there may be necessary secrets, if you extrapolate from this then you can ignore the Constitution to do good things. Today you ignore the Constitution to do good things; tomorrow you can ignore the Constitution to do bad things.

Greer: I think that’s where we are today and I think this is what President Dwight Eisenhower said in his last address, when he said, “Beware of the military-industrial complex.” I think that withholding from the public of this information is not only a crime against humanity; it is causing us to stay on a petro-dollar system that is destroying the environment. I think most people miss the reason. In my meeting with CIA Director Woolsey, the only thing he wanted to know was, “Why won’t they tell me about this?” The same thing with Lord Hill-Norton, the Minister of Defense of Great Britain; I said, “Sir, the reason they don’t is that you are good folk and you would not go along with criminal, illegal secrecy that is withholding science and technologies that would give us a civilization without oil.”

(04:25)

That is a multi-trillion dollar problem. I think we have reached the point where the tail is wagging the dog; the special interests and the influence from corrupting influences within the National Security State ties right into the macro-economic order, and the petro-dollar system. The secrecy isn’t because people are going to hurl themselves off the bridge into the Potomac River because we’re not alone in the Universe! Sixty-five percent of the public believe that there is intelligent life out there; over half the public believe we have been visited; forty-three percent believe they are here and visiting us currently. These are in recent polls. The bigger issue is, “Why would we be keeping this secret?” There is a document that the Federation of American Scientists released two years

ago that there are 5,135 patents that have been seized under the National Security Act.

Woolsey: Dr. Greer we have to end your response. Senator Gravel.

Gravel: I won't take my full time because some of these questions need to be elaborated, like Dr. Greer was doing. But let me add, because of our view that we want to get the Congress to do something, there's a ruling of the Supreme Court, and my colleague will be interested about the Constitutionality of it. I was prosecuted by the Nixon Administration and in my defense, which Danny Sheehan is very knowledgeable about, was the Speech and Debate Clause of the Constitution. I released the Pentagon Papers as a sitting United States Senator. That Ruling was finally rendered in 1972. It was a nine-to-four decision that still left me exposed because I published the papers outside of the United States Senate in addition to releasing them in the Senate. But it was a unanimous decision that I had the Right to reveal any secret that I had knowledge of to the public and could not be questioned in any other domain of the government.

Now that is the law of the land since 1972 and it hasn't been changed. There's the law, and there's the law that's sanctified by that Supreme Court decision. So this element of the Constitution is rock solid. And yet, when we turn to the Congress, first, they are being lied to, but what little information you get like the various committees on secrecy and defense, they have bodies of knowledge that they should just, as a matter of course, release to the American People.

(Applause.)

That would begin to alter this paradigm that we accept as a nation that we can be lied to by our politicians, wantonly, and nothing ever done about it. That's one comment that I wanted to add. And I wanted to say more clearly, the Defense Department has never been audited; you can't audit it. It is wishful thinking that you think they will ever get to that because it is convenient to not audit what's going on.

The other is that I want to add to Mr. Sheehan's comments, which, of course, I'm sure was a revelation to a lot of people, that we shipped this nuclear capability to Israel and one of their tasks was to share it with the Shah of Iran. Adding to that, we gave the Shah an experimental nuclear reactor which is in Tehran today. The plan for that reactor was to be able to build bombs that would be under the control of the Shah, or under the control of the United States.

What had happened after the revolution, and this is what most Americans don't know right now, is that it was the Ayatollah Khomeini who made the decision that, that reactor would not be used for any nuclear bomb making devices. It was an internal decision by the Supreme Imam that they would not do that because the Quran did not sanction weapons of mass destruction. Now when you get the take by the American media that, "Oh my God, they are searching for the bomb!" We tried to give them The Bomb under the Shah and they were the ones who decided they don't want it; it is immoral. I just wanted to add that.

(Applause.)

Those are the two points that I wanted to make. I'll yield back the balance of my time because I can't think of an intelligent question I could ask to the intelligent testimony we just had.

(Applause.)

Woolsey: That's nice. Congresswoman Kilpatrick.

(04:30)

Kilpatrick: Thank you very much, and again, thank you for your testimony. You are absolutely right Senator; I think today's whole experience has been one of intelligence, higher than normal in our society. Maybe this room itself and our subject matter have something to do with that, but we appreciate your service.

Going back to the millions of dollars that are missing, or un-auditable, in the Defense budget over several years, 2.3, Dr. Greer, I think that was the number you gave?

Greer: Trillion.

Kilpatrick: 2.3 trillion. That's over several years. I know most of you know at the table that we have also passed an Intelligence budget in the Congress, all intelligence matters are funded in that budget, and as Members of Congress we do not debate it; we don't know it is bottom number or any of that because, quote, "It is over our classification." Members of Congress are also classified to a point. When we come into office, as you know I am sure, we take an Oath and sign for the intelligence level that we can hear. Of course there's super, super intelligence that we don't; and I think that we're discussing much of that today which is where the money is, and where that Intelligence budget has gone.

You mentioned an emergency in everything we talked about today. My newspaper person came up to me; I told him I'll speak to him on Friday after I learn more, but they still keep coming. I don't usually speak unless I know something; I'm trying to learn, so I don't have much to say. You mentioned that it is an emergency and it is an emergency now; several other speakers this morning mentioned it. They are here. We are not the only ones here and that God is the Universe. I've just got to believe that there's got to be a whole lot of other things that we do not yet know and will soon, hopefully. Is there an emergency? What happens if we do nothing? What ought we do? How should we do it?

Greer: There's a wonderful Chinese saying, "Unless we change directions, we're likely to end up where we are going."

(Laughter.)

If you look at the trend lines of where our civilization is going, the intractableness that we have in the Middle East, and when we say, "National Security Interests," in the Middle East it means oil. Israel has about 200 or 300 thermonuclear weapons; they can take care of themselves. Why are we still on oil? We are on oil, coal and nuclear power because there has been an active, long-term suppression of advanced sciences and technologies. This has created both a geopolitical emergency and a geophysical emergency. Whether you believe in global warming or not, you cannot have 7 billion people burning oil, gas and coal indefinitely. What are the solutions? My farm in Virginia runs on solar. Luckily I was, I guess, affluent enough to put in a huge solar farm; it is the biggest private solar farm in Virginia. But it is not practical for most people. Whereas there are advanced technologies dealing with the physics of Zero-Point energy, so that every home and car would have a generator pulling energy out of the fabric of space-time; you would never have to burn another drop of oil.

Kilpatrick: Why are we not there? Why are we not doing that?

Greer: Because there has been active suppression of this information, as Mr. Sheehan reported. There are people on my team who have been threatened. There is a man working on one of these devices for my group, we provided some grant funding, and about two or three years ago, a former CIA director, who I don't want to talk about, with a group of people went down there and threatened him and his wife if he didn't stop. This is a man who is attached to ITT and does contract work for the CIA.

Kilpatrick: Why then. . . .

Greer: He had been cleared to do it for us.

Kilpatrick: Wait doctor. If people have been. . . . What was that word?

Greer: He was threatened.

Kilpatrick: Threatened.

Greer: Yes.

Kilpatrick: Alright. Close to death? Near-death? Ostracized? Eliminated or whatever that means? Why then are we talking to you today? How are you so healthy today? No one has threatened you? Or are you just strong?

Greer: I'm strong.

Kilpatrick: Alright.

Greer: We have a lot of good friends. People mentioned Dr. Ron Pandolfi at the CIA. I did spend a lot of time with Laurence Rockefeller. There are a lot of people who have supported what we are trying to do; there are a lot of people who are opposed to what we are trying to do. There is a committee; it used to be called MAJESTIC, that deals with this issue. I will give the committee this document that I referred to. The second one down is Cosmic Ops, and the third one is MAJIC ops and MAJI ops.

Kilpatrick: Do you know how important that would be if we had that in front of us? Which is my point that I'm going . . .

Greer: We're going to get it for you and . . .

Kilpatrick: We need all of it.

Greer: Yeah.

Kilpatrick: We need your testimony. It helps us prepare and when you refer to things, we can see it. . . .

Greer: Yes.

Kilpatrick: . . . as well as hear it; it is internalized better.

Greer: Right.

Kilpatrick: I appreciate. . . .

Greer: We have a computer disc that we will give each of you that has all of this. What's key about the document, however, is that these compartmented operations that are known to exist, work mainly in the science and technology area. This particular document is from the National Reconnaissance Office. It was a security breach warning for Nellis Air Force Base.

(04:35)

Kilpatrick: Of course we would only need things that we can see legally; whichever classifications we are. You may have something that you cannot. . . .

Greer: Well, no . . .

Kilpatrick: . . . share.

Greer: I don't, actually; everything that we have even if we have determined, as I said through the, "Unless Otherwise Directed Order" letter that we sent out, that all of this information is declassified . . .

Kilpatrick: Alright.

Greer: . . . because we have determined that the secrecy has been maintained outside of proper Constitutional authority. Look, I met personally with the head of the Defense Intelligence Agency for a very long period of time. He was given information, actionable intelligence, and he, as well as Admiral Wilson, were denied; this man is General Patrick Hughes . . .

Kilpatrick: Okay.

Greer: . . . many of you may have known him; he was denied access. That has got to be illegal. You cannot be denying senior people . . .

Kilpatrick: Okay . . .

Greer: . . . who are in the chain of command, and so . . .

Kilpatrick: You have to stop there because my minute is up.

Greer: Yes.

Kilpatrick: Thank you for that.

Greer: Thank you.

Kilpatrick: We want to work with you; we want the information . . .

Greer: Thank you.

Kilpatrick: . . . in writing as well as verbally and I yield back the balance of my time.

Greer: Yes, you will . . .

Kilpatrick: Thank you.

Greer: . . . get all of this; this is all for you.

(Applause.)

Woolsey: Congressman Cook.

Cook: I'd like to continue with Dr. Greer for a moment. You talked about fourteen countries that have opened up UFO files and I take it that these are probably similar to files that the United States has that the United States government has refused to open up. Am I right in that implication?

Greer: To clarify, those were the documents that would be available within those governments that are not in an Unacknowledged Special Access Project. Great Brittan, for example, has recently opened up a large number of files. But those are the ones that can be obtained by a FOIA type officer; same thing with France. There are corollaries to these unacknowledged projects in some of these other countries which we have discovered; Great Brittan, certainly; Canada, certainly; and also France. But I think that what we have to understand is that, they have at least been making the attempt to show interest and support for bringing out this information. In South America we've even seen more support with some governments having offices in their ministries of defense investigating this and releasing information almost in real time. So, we are seeing more disclosure in these other countries. The United States has been the most recalcitrant.

Cook: We've just talked about the 2.3 trillion dollars that you said is completely missing from the Department of Defense budget; over what, a couple of decades?

Greer: Secretary of Defense Rumsfeld did not comment on how long. Secretary of Defense Cohen, I think most of you may have known him during the Clinton years, was given information from Gordon Cooper, one of our

witnesses who was an astronaut, and he also said, I've looked into this and there is a great deal activity but they won't share it with me, the Secretary of Defense. But that 2.3 trillion dollars, we don't know quite where it is going, but . . .

Cook: Okay. When you say, "We don't know quite where it is going," is part of that, or all of that, or some of that the Unacknowledged Special Access Processes that you talk about?

Greer: Projects, yes. USAPS.

Cook: Projects.

Greer: Yes. By definition, if the Secretary of Defense can't track it . . .

Cook: . . . So a lot of that 2.3 trillion, is those?

Greer: Over several decades; we estimate that at this point it is between 100 and 200 billion dollars a year. . . .

Cook: Okay, so . . .

Greer: That would give us universal health care, by the way.

(Applause.)

Cook: I am not defending this aspect of it, but if 2.3 trillion is the Unacknowledged Special Access Projects, is that what you were saying?

Greer: Apparently, if the Secretary of Defense can't track . . .

Cook: . . . That's not really missing, or you're saying it could be? You just don't know.

Greer: We think it is. I believe that's what he was saying.

Cook: Okay, if we have . . .

Greer: . . . over a number of many years.

Cook: If we have counted in those projects things like anti-gravity machines; space elevators, that are based on anti-gravity research in magnetism and electricity that goes far beyond what any of us are totally aware of right now, or some may be; I can think of a number of things. Here's my question, and I want you to give me your best possible feeling on this, is some of that clearly legitimately withheld for national security reasons?

(04:40)

Greer: Yes. In fact, I was approached by some folks after President Obama was elected to support an initiative to privately bring out the lifter, or electromagnetic-gravitics. I said, "Because they could become a delivery system," I was meeting with an Air Force colonel at the time, I think that some of that needs to be looked at very carefully. However, the stationary energy generation systems that would get us off of oil, gas and coal tomorrow, those should be brought out. So I think that . . .

Cook: . . . Of course, there's no disagreement . . .

Greer: . . . you do have to have a very, I am saying this and I know there are people who probably in the disclosure movement are going to be appalled by what I am about to say, is that we do not yet live in a world where everyone on the planet should have a lifter transportation system that could get us from here to Paris in two or three minutes. Those sorts of technologies obviously have weapons delivery applications. However the part of the technology that deals with energy generation should come out and has also been suppressed for reasons mainly of macroeconomic stability because, as you know, part of the national security discussion is always been that these would be highly disruptive technologies. To which I say, "Well, good. You want to be disrupted now or later when we go through a terminus with the environment melting down around us? We have got to make to make these hard decisions."

Cook: My last question to you Dr. Greer; these fourteen countries that are disclosing, or at least making it possible for the people in those countries and people around the world to know what's in those files; is it possible that one of the reasons they may be motivated over the United States is because they think that some of this stuff that's being seen and this phenomenon of maneuvering that defies the laws of physics might be something the United States is testing? That the United States just refuses to talk about?

Greer: Correct. They do know that that's the case. In the French government, we have had discussions about this. They know that some of these of these objects are of extraterrestrial origin; they know that some of them are manmade. The Lockheed Skunk Works, some of my witnesses and sources worked in this famous skunk works; Ben Rich, who headed the Lockheed Skunk works, said before he died, and we have a witness to this comment, that we,

"Already have the technologies to take ET home."

In other words, we already have at the Skunk Works interstellar capable technologies.

(Applause.)

Cook: Thank you.

Woolsey: Congresswoman Hooley.

Hooley: Thank you first of all for your testimony; it is been incredibly interesting. When you have other countries opening up, is there any reason that we shouldn't do the same at least to the extent they are doing? You talked about some restrictions; places that we might not want to go for really good reasons. Is there any reason that we should not open up as much as some of the other countries have done?

Greer: No, there's not. The secrecy undermines our democracy. My mom's family were some of the original founders of the United States. They were the losers who got caught by the British and thrown in prison, so we were the first prisoners of war. My family, many of us, and my uncle who worked at Northrop Grumman, are appalled at the illegality of the secrecy and the ruthlessness with which it has been enforced. Those of us who have gone through that buzz saw, I've gone through a fair amount of it, it is not pretty. This really does undermine our concept of a free society. It also undermines the credibility of government; this is one of my key points that I made to Mr. Rockefeller and to the Clinton Administration is that when you have almost sixty percent of the public believing this is real and some part of the government is hiding it, it makes a laughingstock when the Air Force is trotted out to say, Everything is a weather balloon. It is just ridiculous; so the more we can release, the better. The technology end of it, at the level where we would be able to have practical applications; a peace dividend; an environmental dividend; to this 2.3 trillion dollars that is unaccounted for in the Department of Defense, that certainly ought to happen.

(Applause.)

(04:45)

Hooley: Thank you. I too was appalled when I sat on the budget committee and found out that the Defense Department was the only department that can't get through an audit. The point I used to push with the budget committee was, "Let's get started someplace." Take a department; take a segment of a department; anything to begin to understand how the military spends its budget. You think that with what they do and with

much of the secrecy that they work under, whether it is legitimate or not, do you think that's ever possible?

Greer: We would have to make a concerted effort. The look of fear and the anger I saw on the faces of General Patrick Hughes and Admiral Wilson when we provided the information that I'm going to provide to you and which was provided to these people as well as CIA Director Woolsey, it was palpable. Because they realized that there was this deep national security state that even they, who are supposed to be looking out for our best interests, were being denied access. When I asked Admiral Wilson, the Head of Intelligence Joint Staff, to please try to get control of this, he looked at me; he says, "My understanding now is that the best thing I have is a B-2 stealth. What this secret organization has are things that can do circles around it. I am outgunned." He also said that until the civilian leaders of the government order him to do something, the Secretary of Defense and the President, he can only do so much. We could not get President Clinton to lean into this for reasons. . . . Well, a friend. . . . I don't know if I even want to go into that.

Hooley: Okay.

Greer: But, but, for safety reasons. So I think that this is the sort of problem that you run into. General Patrick Hughes went over to his shelf, the Head of the Defense Intelligence Agency, and he said, "This is all I've ever gotten from the Defense Intelligence Agency chain of command from my inquiries into this subject." He had a little ET doll bought at a toy store. We all laughed, but wanted to cry. So here you have a general, an admiral and a CIA director; these people were not just picking my brain trying to figure out what I knew, they honestly were being denied access. How can this be? How can it be?

Hooley: That's what I found in the Hearing when I asked, "How do we get to that place where they can go through an audit?" It was a big joke. Like, "Well, we're never going to be able to do that." So there.

Greer: One of the reasons is that this is going to have to get reformed somehow. I know an auditor for my uncle's old company, Northrop Grumman, said that if you are dealing with one of these unacknowledged projects, they will tell you, "We have an undisclosed amount of money, doing an undisclosed project and you do not have a need to know." They will then just rubber stamp what is going into Northrop Grumman, or Lockheed Martin, or Science Applications International Corporation, or MITRE Corporation. I have a whole list. One of the sections in here that I will provide for you; Congressman Christopher Cox, who later became President Bush's head of the SEC, asked me to put this together for him, it is a list of the facilities and corporations and sub-corporations and

sub-facilities where this activity is going on. This is actionable intelligence if anyone wants to follow up on it. You can find out; we have found out. But it is something that would have to be a concerted effort under subpoena power by people who would not take “No” for an answer. That, I think, the people deserve.

(Applause.)

Woolsey: Well, this is virtually the end of this panel. The next one is about ready to start, but I always have things that kind of hang in the air because nothing we’re learning is going to make any difference if we can’t do anything about it, if we don’t. In 1995, Rockefeller funded the conference in Asilomar. My questions as you were talking about it were: What were their goals? What did they accomplish? Did anything really happen because of that? What we are going today, this many years later, is there enough different out there that we can make a difference this week?

(04:50)

Greer: Yes ma’am. It is part of a process. That meeting was a meeting to get together the initial governmental witnesses and to create a support group for them. I had grown men, old enough to be my grandfather, cry on my shoulder and say, “We’ve been wanting to talk about this our whole lives; who were astronauts; who were cosmonauts. I said, “Let’s do that.” But they were afraid. They had signed oaths saying that they would never talk about any of this stuff. They were afraid and some of them had been in unacknowledged Projects where they were told that they would be terminated, killed if they talked about it. This is true. It was a process and what I wanted to have happen in 1997 when we had the meetings for various members of Congress; we had hoped that members of Congress who chaired committees would pick up this mantle. I’m still working fulltime as an emergency doctor with four daughters and a golden retriever; I have a life. I was doing this as a voluntary thing. Unfortunately no one wanted to pick this up. It led, however, to us getting on videotape 110 of these top-secret guys; I have them in my archive if anyone wants to see them. I’ll give you a four-hour summary that I provided for the Obama Administration. Ultimately, I am a private citizen who can only do so much with a volunteer, non-profit effort which is what we are. It is been Promethean; difficult. But if we come together as a people, we can do it with this process and also with the film Sirius, which you’re all invited to tonight. There is the possibility of galvanizing a larger movement. I think that if the people will lead, the leaders will have to follow. But we have to get the information that we have out. To the extent that you folks could influence some of your colleagues who are still in the United States Congress to take a serious interest in this, that

isn't just a dog-and-pony show of denial and ridicule, but really drills down on the actionable intelligence that we have put together over the last fifteen years, I think that would result in the lid coming off of this thing and the people knowing the truth once and for all.

Woolsey: Thank you. And now we are going to change panels.

(Applause).

Buchman: The next panel is scheduled to begin in 20 minutes, at 3:30PM. We, thanks to Senator Gravel, have an additional 3 or 4 minutes here.

Gravel: Can I retrieve a minute of my time?

Woolsey: Sure.

Gravel: I was too generous, too quickly. Dr. Greer, and this would apply to all of you, you made the statement that, "secrecy undermines democracy." I believe in that very, very strongly; but let me point out that secrecy undermines scientific investigation.

(Applause.)

So when we scruff up all these scientists, put them in the Defense Department and put a lid over them and tape over their mouths, and then they can't share their discoveries with other scientists in other parts of the world, the whole synergy of scientific inquiry is crippled.

Greer: That's exactly the point. When I referred to this Federation of American Scientists, they are a mainstream organization; there are 5,135 patents that have been confiscated. One of them in this report, and all of you need to listen carefully to this, was from 1971, a solar-photovoltaic generator that was more than 20 percent efficient, which we cannot buy on the market today. Steven Aftergood wrote it. Why would that be confiscated under the National Security Act? It is an abuse of power. Why? Because it would have been at the price point where it would have competed with oil and gas. If you take that photovoltaic generator and put it on steroids, you have a generator that would fit like a breadbox that would run your house, or your car, where you would not have to plug your car into a coal-fired grid to have an electric car; you're talking about something that would fundamentally change the macroeconomic order of the world. But what is beautiful about it, I'm going to leave this with you, is that if we could liberate these scientists to do this, and provide the security and the synergy that you're referring to, I believe that within about two or three years, the first generation of these would be out on the market. The way technology spreads now, we would be

able to transform the entire environmental and poverty issue on this planet. With that, here's the Achilles heel, all the oil, gas and coal fields in the world would be virtually worthless; just the oil for petrochemicals and plastics. So you're looking at a change that is enormous. I always point out that when you see these images of UFOs moving at 100,000 miles per hour and making a right-hand turn without decelerating, they don't defy the laws of physics to make a correction, they defy the conventional aerodynamics of an aircraft.

(04:55)

But they are controlling for 1-G, one gravitational force field, as they are doing this. What they are able to do as they are moving is pull energy from the fabric of space-time. It is estimated that every cubic centimeter of space in this room has enough energy to run the Earth for a day; the Zero-Point or quantum vacuum field. The scientists I know who have worked on this; I know a number. At Lawrence Berkeley Labs there was a scientist who doing an experiment; stumbled across this great discovery using barium titanate, the CIA doesn't like me to mention that, with a very high voltage system. It was putting ten times more energy out than he put in, not in violation of the laws of physics; it was tapping into this other existing field of energy. He, however, had some people come in with a National Security order; confiscated the experiment; threatened him and was stood down. I have many, many people. This should be an entire part of the inquiry, is, how have these wonderful breakthroughs been. . . . Do we really have a free market if we are suppressing this sort of science and technology? No! We do not have a free market. We have a managed market that benefits the masters of the universe who are trading oil, gas and coal and derivatives at Goldman Sachs.

(Applause. Cheers.)

Gravel: In a half-a-minute, let me ask, did the government pay any money for the confiscated patent?

Greer: No; they do not.

Gravel: Then that's a Constitutional issue right there.

Greer: No; under Section 181 of the Patent Law, they can take it. All they have to do is fax a letter to your laboratory saying that this is a National Security item or technology and you are not allowed to speak about it. I can give any member of any Congressional Committee a list of names, facilities and people who have had this happen to them. They do not want to speak because they are in possession of NSOs, National Security

Orders. Some of them have worked on so-called UFO technologies, others on just basically energy generation systems from the Zero-Point. The point I am making about this is that we really have to figure out a way to bring this information out because it us an existential question.

Woolsey. It is. Now we are going to break, but we would like to just go right into the next panel. Thank you very much; this was more than good.

(04:57)

DAY ONE

Session Four: Rockefeller Initiative (3:30PM, Monday, April 29, 2013)
Cameron, Howe, Greer, Bassett,

Buchman: Mr. Bassett, if you would repeat after me; I do hereby affirm that I will tell the truth, and nothing but the truth to the members of this committee today. Mr. Cameron, I apologize, did we give you that oath earlier? We did not? Would you like to do that as well sir? If you would repeat after me; I do hereby affirm that I will tell the truth, and nothing but the truth to the members of this committee today. Thank you.

Woolsey: Mr. Cameron.

Cameron: I had prepared a first statement, but I have a little bit of comment and a short second statement that I want to add as a part two.

There was some discussion here about doing an audit on the military, and because I've spent a lot of time on this, basically it is very simple, the military has the power; Congress doesn't. It would be the same the war between the President and Congress, who has power? They have the power right now and I think you have to take it back; they are not going to give it to you; they are not going to surrender and allow you to audit them because they are running the show and that's the way they want it.

A second comment I'd like to make is regarding the military. Because I was involved in the Area 51 story, I knew George Knapp, who was the chief investigative reporter. He told the story about the Appropriations Committee in Congress had wanted, when they heard the story about the flying saucers at Area 51, the Aurora, and all sorts of planes that were flying around Area 51 that the Appropriations Committee were asking, what is going on here? We haven't heard about this; did we put money up for this? They sent Dick D'Amato, who was a Congressional investigator to get inside Area 51; he had all of the Top-Secret security clearances; he had everything that you needed. He could give people immunity; he went in there and tried to talk to this one witness who I mentioned to you in the previous panel, Alfred O'Donnell, who refused to talk. He came back, Steven Greer can confirm this; he talked to a number of researchers and told Steve Greer, "Just wanted to let you know, you're up against the varsity team of all Black Budget programs. Good luck." He said that with Top-Secret security clearance, with the Appropriations Committee behind him that he could not get anywhere; that this thing is so deeply held. That's basically what Ben Rich said as well, that it would take an act of God to get this thing out of Congress because it is so deep black. Even though they have the technology, nobody's ever going to see it as far as the military is concerned.

I would also like to comment on the foreign government issue. I have a little bit of a disagreement with most people because I am from Canada, and the idea is that the rest of the world is disclosing material. I say that they are not disclosing material; they are releasing their sighting files.

(05:00)

Sighting files are what the United States government released with Blue Book in 1979. Sighting reports are just garbage; they are just stuff that is there. What you want to see is the Top-Secret material, the interaction between government and military and it still comes down to, if you look at Great Brittan, for example, the story is that they are bringing out their files; they are just dumping their files so they can get out of the UFO business. Margaret Thatcher said, when she was asked in 1997, you must be sure you get the facts correct, and you can't tell the people. That's basically the rule around the world; it comes down to military technology and a lot of the governments of the world are basically playing the same game, trying to protect the military stuff, and release the core story and the sighting files.

I have a short statement; I'll try to keep it short. What I wanted to read was the fact that, even though we're looking at a lot of secrecy, there were people inside the Clinton Administration who did try to get this material out; they were trying to shake this out. Bill Clinton, for example, done some work with John Podesta. In 2005, in Hong Kong, Bill Clinton was talking about this in public. He stated that the vast majority in the Clinton Administration believe the story of Area 51; they believed there was a live alien; they believed there was a craft underground and that Bill Clinton actually went there to try to get to the bottom of this thing. He stated in 2005, after mentioning this, he said, "I am probably not the first President they kept in the dark, or that bureaucrats have tried to wait out."

So President Clinton tried to get to these materials. He told Paul Davids, who produced the Roswell movie. In 1997 Paul Davids had a short five-minute conversation with Bill Clinton and he told Paul Davids that he was "fascinated" with subject of UFOs. He was very interested in the latest Roswell book that was given to him at that time. Bill Clinton had, as we looked back in the files, given a job to Webster Hubbell. The job, according to Webster Hubbell's book, "Friends in High Places" published in 1997, Hubbell said that President Clinton told him that, "If I put you over there in the Justice Department I want you to find out the answer to two questions; number one, "Are there UFOs?" and number two, "Who killed JFK?" So Bill Clinton was a guy who didn't believe the official stories of the UFO or the JFK stuff, and he sent someone to try to get the answers to this material.

This is sort of being overlooked here; one of Clinton's main allies in this effort to try to get material out was John Podesta. He and John Podesta did try to get a lot of classified material out into the public

It was the Executive Order 12958 which was signed in 1995 that introduced the 25-year rule which hoped to bring to light many hidden secrets inside government like the UFO issue. What was sought was a proper balance of "openness in government" vs. what Podesta called "unthinking secrecy." Podesta stated,

"Our founders knew that democracy cannot function in the absence of public information."

He noted the importance of,

"Balancing the vital interests of national security with the genuine claims of public openness. . . . For over two centuries, we have prospered and won in the United States because at our best, we have found ways to do both."

To achieve this they signed the Executive Order which said that anything that was over 25 years old had to be declassified except in extreme circumstances. Between 1995 and 2000, the Clinton Administration was able to declassify 800 million pages of documents, compared to 188 million documents in the previous 15 years. Unfortunately, the sought-after UFO documents that the Clintons wanted released with this material never materialized.

In 2002, Podesta, who went on to work for the Obama Administration, stated in a public statement at a science fiction conference,

"I think it is time to open the books on questions that have remained in the dark, on the questions of government investigations of UFOs. We ought to do it because it is right. We ought to do it because the American people can handle the truth, and we ought to do it because it is the law."

Another ally in getting openness regarding UFOs inside the Clinton Administration was the Secretary of Energy, Bill Richardson, who has made many pro-UFO disclosure messages over the years. For example, writing in a forward to a book on the Roswell UFO crash, when he was Governor of New Mexico Richardson wrote,

(05:05)

“Clearly, it would help everyone if the United States government disclosed everything it knows. The American people can handle the truth; no matter how bizarre or mundane. . . . With full disclosure and our best scientific investigation, we should be able to find out what happened on that fateful day in July 1947.”

Then, in a Question and Answer session when he was running for President, Richardson talked about his long history of attempts to get answers on UFOs.

“I've been in government a long time; I've been in the cabinet; I've been in the Congress and I've always felt that the government doesn't tell the truth as much as it should on a lot of issues. When I was in Congress I said to the Department of Defense . . . What is the data? What is the data you have? I was told the records were classified. That ticked me off.”

So I just want to put it on the record that there are some people inside government who are trying to get the material out.

(Applause.)

Woolsey: Miss Howe.

Howe: I was invited by Mr. Rockefeller to the Wyoming ranch along with some of the people here; Steven Greer was there; others. What links in my mind was a discussion that I had with John Mack, MD, psychiatrist from Harvard University who had taken a great interest in the abduction syndrome, both as a psychiatrist and then as somebody who was trying to understand, as I and others were, what is the true nature of an advanced intelligence that would be interacting with this planet, and may not itself, the prime intelligence, be the actor here; but making various kinds of cloned entities that would interact on this particular planet, in this particular atmosphere, with this particular gravity, with this particular solar system. Dr. Mack and I, at Mr. Rockefeller's place in Wyoming, were discussing this. We went into the issue of, why is it that the non-human intelligence as described in the human abduction syndrome, appears to be 100 percent telepathic, with the ability to both place impressions in human minds and to also project three-dimensional holograms that the human mind and eye cannot distinguish from the world around us. This is something that he, I, and many others had encountered. The deeper you get into this field, the more you realize that our reality can be manipulated through our minds without us being able to tell the difference, at times.

This led to a discussion, which at the time I had only shared with Dr. Mack, God rest him wherever he is now. That very year, I had a face-to-face with a man who was currently working in the United States military who had been aware of my work and by that 1992/1993 time period, I had produced the book, *An Alien Harvest* as well as television productions. The question of, why would something advanced from someplace else in the Universe be mutilating animals on this planet? This man was trying to tell me that there is a survival issue as well as a cloning issue in what we are dealing with in that genetic material has been harvested from this planet for a long time to create “containers.”

This, in discussion with Dr. Mack, led to; I thought I would share this with you today to show you, if this is true, what I am going to share with you, it shows how difficult it is for all humans to relate to any aspect of all of this. The military person was describing his first-hand experience that occurred in 1978 in a small town in northern Arizona. He worked for a unit that would be comparable to what has been, in some of the government documents, called Moon Dust or Project Pounce. These are units that were put together at least as far back as right after Roswell. Units that would be trained to go to wherever there was a reported crash or landing, because some of these craft have been clearly left, or put down.

(05:10)

Their job would be to extract technology, the craft and the bodies and he was on one of those teams. He told me that in 1978, in this town in northern Arizona there was a flood and that our military unit understood that the flood was not caused by nature, and it was not caused humans; it was caused by something that was in the category of what they called, non-human. He was assigned to a group to go there to try to understand why the water had been released from a dam into this town by something non-human. His superior officer said, we are going to communicate with and bring in one of the EBENs, and I want you to have the experience of what a telepathic download/upload is. He said they described exactly what would happen; that his superior officers would be nearby; that they had done this before; that it was a test and that he was to stand in a particular position and that this non-human entity would be asked to stay on the ground. Apparently they have the ability to neutralize gravity even in a focused way as an individual body, that they would prefer not to walk on the ground and that one of the conditions would be that the entity would approach him with his full knowledge. They wanted him to have the experience of the telepathic communication.

I was telling Dr. Mack, at Mr. Rockefeller's facility in Wyoming, that he told me very honestly, he said, I was so full of myself as a young man that when they were trying to warn me that I would not be prepared for what happened, I thought "nonsense." But he said, it is my superior officer and he said, "I want you to know that there will be a point where you are going to want to run or collapse and I'm ordering you to stand." He said, "I thought it was so silly and then everything started to unfold and the Being came, about four-feet high." He was 6 foot, 4 inches and he remembered how much taller he was. They had told him that the Being would come up to about three to four feet from him, but be very low and that, all controlled, that the Being would simply raise its head and when the eyes connected with his eyes, he would no longer be in control of his mind. He thought all of it was nonsense.

Then the Being's head started to rise and he said, Linda imagine having seven feature films with sound, with touch, with heat, with every sensation that we know and more; and over all the seven films running in your mind are gold, three-dimensional symbols. He said, I remember that knees began to shake, and then buckled and I knew that I was fainting. Men caught him. He said, they put me on a cot and left me there for three hours. When I woke up, if God Himself had asked me what had been communicated in those seven films, I would not know.

He said, my superior officer told me later that every human thinking about extraterrestrial biological entities, and the issue of us not being alone in the Universe, the wonder of being able to travel the Universe to communicate with non-humans; and we would like to do it over coffee. It isn't going to happen. Because what happens when their minds telepathically download to our minds, they are uploading every single thing we have ever experienced or thought from our minds. The end result is that information is added by the non-humans into the human mind that has had this experience. But then we live on a planet where our fellow humans cannot even hear what uploaded/downloaded information from non-humans might be because we have taken the position, as odd as it is, that we are alone in the Universe and this does not exist.

(05:15)

Dr. Mack, after I told him that story, looked at me and said, Linda I agree. I think we are dealing with extraterrestrial biological entities in some cases, but I think that it is too complex; it must involve time travelers, other dimensions; and we are truly like little infants approaching something that is beyond our understanding.

I will close on that note with another man who died, not long after I was able to talk with him around 1992. He also served in the military at a high rank. Some people had sought me out because he wanted to ask me a question about some of my work. I will never forget. He said, Linda this is all so strange, and it has been so strange to all of use that have been exposed to it for so long that not any of us ever want to take it home to our spouses, our children or anyone we know. Not because it is threatening, necessarily, but because nobody knows how to have the discussion.

Today we are meeting at the National Press Club in Washington, DC, where there have been various revolutions before. It seems to me that no matter how strange it is, if we don't get past this point of having our government built on sixty years of lies to protect us, the nation will probably will implode; I think you know what I mean.

Woolsey: Thank you.

(Applause.)

Woolsey: Dr. Greer.

Greer: Well, on that note. . . .

(Laughter.)

Greer: It reminds me of a conversation that I had with some people at the CIA; there is a term that is used there, you'll forgive my vernacular for much of this subject is referred to as, W. S. F. M. Sounds like a radio station; it means Weird Science and Frick'n Magic. That is the term that is used at the CIA and other places to describe some of this. What we have to understand, if you're dealing with; and I do want to go into this because it is part of the Summary and Brief that I provided in the Obama Briefing and elsewhere. If you are dealing with civilizations that are hundreds of thousands to millions of years technologically more developed than we are, then every manifestation of their technology would look like magic to us. For example, if you were to go back to the time of Thomas Jefferson and show them an iPhone, or worse, to Salem, Massachusetts, you'd be burned at the stake as a witch, because everything would absolutely look like magic. So I think that we have to have a certain amount of humility in realizing that when we are dealing with a universe that is vast and infinite; that in our own galaxy alone, which has 100 billion star systems, the same number of stars as we have neurons in our brains, interestingly; that we're dealing with civilizations, just in our own Galaxy, which is one of billions, that are able to go through interstellar space.

I want to touch on this for a moment because it deals with a little bit of what Linda referred to in terms of trans-dimensional or other dimensions and the technologies and the sciences dealing with this subject are what we have termed, "Trans-Dimensional, Inter-Stellar," T. D. I. S. This refers to very advanced sciences and technologies where there is a nexus between electromagnetism and the magnetic field, gravitational forces in three-dimensional space and time. What Dr. John at Princeton in the engineering lab began to prove is the science of consciousness, which is an issue that I did talk a fair amount with Laurance Rockefeller about.

(05:20)

Now a lot of people say this gets into the deep end of the pool very quickly; and, in fact, it does. But I think that it is important to understand that if you are dealing with civilizations that have gotten here, and that is what we are dealing with, then you're not dealing with something that can go just straight through space, at the speed of light even, because the speed of light is too slow. I remember, when I was having dinner with CIA Director Woolsey and his wife, the most insightful question came from Dr. Woolsey, who at the time was Chief Operating Officer of the National Academy of Sciences; she said, "What I want to know is, "How are these civilizations communicating across the vastness of space?" Because your cell phones and radio waves are going at the speed of light. I said, "Yes, that's just too slow; the speed of light is way too slow." I had a gut-check. I said, "Do I tell her the truth and lose all credibility as a scientist and as a doctor, or do I tell her something that sounds scientific, but that's really not true?" Ever since then, I have vowed that if someone is intelligent enough to ask the question, I will provide the answers as truthfully as I can. So I turned to her, and I said, "Well, Dr. Woolsey, it is like this; it turns out thinking is the best way to travel. The speed of thought is not quantifiable. However, when you start getting into the new physics that have been done, where you have the ability to teleport across vast distances instantly, and this has been done with particles, but we're talking about civilizations that can do it with entire spacecraft and occupants; you're dealing with an entirely new physics. But their communication devices and their own innate abilities allow for thought-actuated events."

I know Dr. Wood may mention this that he discovered when he was doing some work for old-man McDonnell at McDonnell-Douglass; he looked into some cases where some meetings with these extraterrestrials involved a little box and there was a direct thought communication, but it was also being facilitated through electronics. This is something that we call, "Technology-Assisted Consciousness and Thought." This is a very specific area of study that I've devoted about 22 years to, which may be beyond the scope of this Hearing. But, in terms of bringing this issue

around, there are so many aspects of it that are very exciting from the point of view of science, technology and understanding the Universe, and ourselves; Who are we? Where did we come from? How is it that we exist in this Cosmos? I agree with Dr. Edgar Mitchell on this, that it is a conscious-quantum-hologram that is awake, and that we are all a part of. Mind itself is the ultimate final frontier; not space, but consciousness and mind.

Now, I know that Laurance Rockefeller was very in these things because I had conversations with him also. One of the things that I found when I was working with these folks, is that. . . . There is a saying in American Business that, "Everyone wants to be the first to be second." So, in this case, there are so many people who have had an interest in this, but they want to hold back and not speak the whole truth about it. But I think we need to be able to liberate ourselves to speak the truth. It involves many, many complex issues, part of which has been touched on in the last fifteen or twenty minutes.

One thing I also want to point out is that, if we are being visited by advanced civilizations, and it is our assessment that certainly we are: Who is handling that relationship? Do we cede that management to the military-industrial complex? What are the consequences of doing so?

Think about this very carefully.

I remember doing a meeting with Boutros Boutros-Ghali, the Secretary-General of the United Nations, and his wife Leia Maria Boutros-Ghali, and we were talking about this. Leia turned to me and said, "Dr. Greer, we need you to do this now!" I said, "I am a country doctor in North Carolina, rattling around in an Emergency Department; you're the head of the world. She says, "No! We can't; it is too dangerous for Boutros." I am quoting. Then I remember talking with this wonderful United States Senator, Claiborne Pell, who was mentioned earlier; I was speaking with him and I would have never gone to college if not for a Pell grant, because I was very, very poor. I grew up in North Carolina; had no resources; put myself through the last years of high school, college and medical school. I mean really poor. Most people don't know that. But what I found when I was talking with Senator Pell, who was chairman of the Foreign Relations Committee. . . . I was at a meeting with Edgar Mitchell and some other folks, and I looked at him, and he said, "Dr. Greer, I have never been given any information on this, even though I have inquired through many official channels."

(05:25)

I said, "Yes sir; what a shame, because you, the Chairman of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee have been denied the responsibility of dealing with the ultimate foreign relationship issue" and then I pointed to the stars above our heads. He looked at me through those horn-rimmed glasses and said, "You know Dr. Greer, you may be right." I said, "Well I am!" and I said, "What a shame!"

I think this is another dimension. There's a science and technology part of it, but there's also the part of it about relationships. We are not alone in the Universe and we need to have a situation on this planet where our higher-nature, our better-angels, folks like Senator Pell and others who would approach this in an enlightened way could reach out and form what has begun to be discussed in the French government that I referred to earlier in this Ministry of Defense memo to me; a peaceful, inter-planetary, interstellar, diplomatic initiative. To be honest with you, that's how I first got involved with this; it was to establish just such an effort, which we are doing as a citizens' diplomatic effort. But in the meanwhile I got diverted into this morass of the National Security State because I felt that our leaders need to know and, more importantly, the public need to know. We need to then figure out how we are going to advance. In the summary I did for the President, there are a number of action-points. One of them is to appoint, internationally, a high-level committee of folks who could go out and make a peaceful contact that is not militarized; that is not covert; that is not being run by the national-security state, but is being done on behalf of all of us, the children of Earth.

(Applause.)

Woolsey: Mr. Bassett.

Bassett: Thank you. I am Steven Bassett; I am a political activist; one of those people that if they are right, you hate them; and if they are wrong, you hate them. I want to comment on three points very quickly. There is a powerful duality here with respect to this issue. If there is one single non-human entity travelling around in the sky in anything, whatever kind of craft, that is a huge deal. But it is actually an extraordinarily simple thing, they are just here. There they are; one thing, one entity. So you accept that; maybe it is proven to you, then you move on past that and things go from very simple, "they are here or they are not here," to an extraordinary range of complexities and issues that are raised right here.

People say, "Well. Okay, it is so simple and yet there's all of that, and that's just scary and what's going to happen?" Here's the problem, the reason it seems a little scary is the way humans have been operating for

a long time, is they have been facing the unknown since they evolved out of the oceans. That's all they do is face the unknown. It is a scary thing to be a human. They've been doing it very well; they come up with something they don't understand, and then they try to figure it out and move along. Well, guess what? We have some more interesting things to face. But what's happened here is that we sorta learned that there were some new things on the horizon and the government said, Stop! Don't look into that! It is unknown, but don't look into that. Then decades and decades passed while the phenomena grows, and people become more and more aware that something is going on, but yet it is not being properly engaged. So it is like a false slip where these things have split apart. So we have this gap between our desire to move on, to learn about the unknown, which is what we do; and the government's desire for us to hold firm, hold fast, and not move forward. That is creating a lot of cognitive dissonance, and you can feel it all the time. That's the first point.

The second point has to do with the Rockefeller Initiative. I invite all of the political media and all media in general to look at the Rockefeller Initiative. It is one of the great stories in American History. It is one of the great political stories of this or any other time. It is filled with amazing people who are still around and still very powerful. At the time that Rockefeller approached, through his attorney, President Clinton, one of his key advisors was John Podesta. The Chief-of-Staff at the time was Leon Panetta. The wife of the President at the time was Hillary Clinton. A good friend of the family at the time, soon to be Clinton's Secretary of Energy, was Bill Richardson.

(05:30)

This Initiative went on for six years; the press completely ignored it, as if, "What's the news there? It's just a billionaire Rockefeller trying to get the President to release all of the files on these phenomena. Possibly put a letter in to every newspaper in the country, and basically end the Truth Embargo. There's no news there."

On the other hand, when the First Lady, Hillary Clinton, decided to do a little role playing regarding Eleanor Roosevelt, just to try to get a sense of what it was like to be First Lady, the press went apoplectic. That was news.

In the twenty years that's followed, what has happened? Well, Leon Panetta, the Chief of Staff who was fully aware of the Rockefeller Initiative the entire time it went on, became the Secretary of Defense and then the CIA Director under President Obama. The wife of the President, Hillary Clinton, who was fully aware of the Rockefeller Initiative, went on

to become Secretary of State and almost the President of the United States. John Podesta went on to become his Chief of Staff and then in 2002, in a room just down the other hall, I was attending, made the announcement that Grant Cameron talked about, calling for the release of all UFO files; out of nowhere! I was there to watch the launch of the Collation for Freedom of Information, which was a mainstream attempt to look at this issue. I knew it was mainstream, so when he showed up I wasn't too surprised; but then when he made that statement, I said, "What is going on here?" A former Chief of Staff to the President, and an advisor to a President has just called for the release of all UFO files because, "The people have the right to know and it is the law!" I said, well there will be a thousand press trucks in front of his house tomorrow; didn't happen.

A year later, he came back and said it again, in 2003. Then six-months after he did that Bill Richardson wrote a forward to a book called, "The Roswell Dig Diaries," where he basically said the Air Force explanation for Roswell was incorrect! About this same time was when Congressman Steven Schiff was doing his efforts to try to find out the truth and Colonel Phillip Corso came forward with extraordinary revelations about his involvement with the Roswell technology. And the press still didn't see the news quite yet. President Obama appointed Hillary Clinton as Secretary of State, but what was the most notable thing to me, is one of the most important jobs he had to choose as the new President of the United States was the person who was going to help form the new government that he was about to launch; and the person he chose as the co-chair, but the principal chair of his transition committee was the man who called for the release of all of the UFO files in 2002 and in 2003; John Podesta, but who, when they lost the election in 2004, went silent. I can tell you, there is an unbelievable political story that has never been told and exists between the moment that Laurance Rockefeller turns up in Clinton's Office of Science and Technology Policy, and the election of Barack Obama and the selection of all of the people who knew about the Rockefeller Initiative, right into the Administration, including Bill Richardson, who they tried to make the Secretary of Commerce!

These connections are too extraordinary to be accidental. I believe the story the press could find, which is just dripping with Pulitzer Prizes, is the fact that the Democratic Party, at some level, made the decision that they were going to be the Disclosure party. It should have gone to George H. W. Bush, the perfect "Disclosure President" from the standpoint of the military-intelligence complex, but the Cold War ended in the middle of his term, there was a war in the Middle East, it wasn't quite something you could just do; but he was the guy. He has a resume that looks like a phone book, but he lost his re-election; he lost that election to an unknown man from Arkansas with a rather unusual

history, who was a draft-dodger, in their mind, and a womanizer, in their mind; and they hated him. They hated him so much that officers were insulting him in front of the troops and had to be sent letters that it is treason to do that. He could not be the Disclosure President, and yet Rockefeller turns up at his door, and it goes from there all the way through; there is a hidden back story.

(05:35)

I would also say the day Rockefeller's attorney showed up in the office of this man, who the military-intelligence complex wanted nothing to do with, the alarm bells were going off all over the military-intelligence world; in the basements; in the darkest recesses of the underground facilities; "Oh my God; Bill Clinton is going to be the Disclosure President." Very shortly after Henry Diamond appeared and the Rockefeller Initiative began; I remember it quite well because I was living in Washington, D. C., it seemed like the entire Universe collapsed down on that Administration. They came under every conceivable attack you can imagine from every direction. They went after mothers, dogs, cats, girlfriends, aunts, uncles; Travelgate, Troopergate, Pork-Bellygate, and it never stopped until he was impeached.

I remember the press saying at the time; I was listening to them comment, this was back around 1995-1996-1997, I remember the press saying, "The President has his problems and clearly he makes mistakes, but I don't get it; what is going on here? What is this endless attack on this man and his wife and everything else? Why are they bottling him up so much? He's doing such a great job; he's got a great approval rating. But they are coming at him."

There may be a reason the press doesn't understand; that Bill Clinton could potentially have been the Disclosure President and that wasn't acceptable. He had to go. When he won again, they became even more concerned, and so it goes. Eventually Barack Obama becomes the President and brings all of those people from that time back to do what? Well, we don't know. What their major plans might have been were squashed because just a few weeks before the election itself, the entire economy essentially collapsed, or was about to collapse, because some people decided, when they left the building, they would take all the money with them. His Administration has been completely tied-up ever since. So there is this amazing back-story to all of this, that if some really tough reporters who like to ask tough questions, the Woodward and Bernsteins of our era, if there are any left, get out there and start asking those questions, I tell you, it is going to be very, very interesting.

Those are my comments; thank-you.

(Applause.)

Woolsey: I just have to say, you neglected to say how much Monica Lewinsky took up of Bill Clinton's term, when he could, possibly have been dealing with that.

Bassett: That's part of it, for sure.

Woolsey: Mr. Bartlett.

Bartlett: Thank you very much, I appreciate your testimony very much.

We have a free press; a so-called free press.

Bassett: Theoretically?

Bartlett: There are advantages and disadvantages of a free press. They reported the Boston bombing; there will very likely be copycat things because that was reported. Where the press is controlled, that would not be reported, so there would be no copycats. So there are some disadvantages to a free press. Where is the press in all of this? If, in fact, there is a story to be told here, why isn't the press telling that story? If there is a cover-up, do they not seem to be complicit in that cover-up?

Howe: By control from above.

Greer: I think that this is a central issue. Over my twenty-two years of being involved with this at various levels, I have concluded that the secrecy is very much involving in the fact that, on certain issues, we do not have a free press, any more than we have a free-market economy. This is a serious problem. I have a document that will be in the materials that I am providing for the Committee that is a 1991 CIA document where it talks about that fact that they have folks at all of the major media outlets; wire services, news magazines, television networks; to change, alter, delay and stop stories that they would like to see stopped. This was released briefly and then reclassified; it was under the first President Bush's term. This is not a contested CIA document.

What that means is that on certain issues, absolutely there will be an intervention. We saw this happen with the 2001 Disclosure launch event here at the National Press Club twelve years ago, almost to the day, May 9th, 2001. One of the people who I dealt with, Bob Schwartz, who was on the board of Time-Life, that later became AOL-Time-Warner-CNN, the whole conglomerate; he was very good friends with reporter Mike Wallace; he had given him a lot of these documents that we had and

Mike Wallace wanted to do this story at Sixty Minutes. Corporate CBS, which at the time was controlled by Westinghouse, a big defense contractor, did not permit him to do the story.

(05:40)

Ira Rosen, who was an ABC News Executive Producer for 20-20 and Prime Time Live, came to my home in Virginia, out near Thomas Jefferson's home, ironically. I gave him thirty-five digital hours of Top Secret witness testimony and many documents. He said, "This, if true, is the biggest story ever. I want to do this story." I said, "I will cooperate fully." He had gotten his Emmy working with Mike Wallace at Sixty Minutes, where he has returned. I said, "I don't think you will be allowed to do this story." Ira Rosen said, "I am the Executive Producer of these shows, so I can do it." I said, "Okay."

Two weeks later he called me up. He said, "Dr. Greer, they won't let me do this story." I said, "Ira, who are they?" He said, "Dr. Greer, you know . . . who . . . they . . . are."

I could tell you, probably, one hundred stories like that. This becomes very worrisome; here we are in the National Press Club and we have a lot of enormously important information. Do you know that in the New York Times if you have three confirmed sources for a controversial story, they can run with it. Here, the Disclosure Project, which I founded some years ago; it was first Project Starlight, when I began to work with Laurance Rockefeller; we now have over 500, many of them; I have the names of them and they can be subpoenaed, some of them, about 100 of them, we have on digital videotape; why isn't this a story?

This becomes a very important question in a democracy; if you have the larger media not covering something that fifty, sixty percent of the public believe is true; there's an enormous amount of data, 4,000 landing cases; the COMETA Report where they documented the landing in Trans-en-Provence, France of a craft; the Bentwaters case where a craft landed at RAF Bentwaters and left physical trace that has been confirmed by the Ministry of Defense. We have all this hard data; we have radar traces of these objects moving; we have over 3,500 pilot reports in our archives.

This isn't a story? How can that be?

There's another reason; the Ha-Ha factor. I have met with so many senior people in government who say, "I am so interested in this, but don't let anyone know that I am interested." The reason for it? You could be gay, or have five wives, or you could do anything and be out-of-

the-closet on it and it would be no problem; but this subject? Whooa; watch out, because your career is on the line, as mine was.

What you find is that the social opprobrium, that's the appropriate term, attached to this issue was launched in 1953. We have a CIA document that describes their attempts to debunk and ridicule the subject, but also to engage Disney Studios to make cartoons and movies about it that would marginalize the subject. This is a CIA document; Little Green Men? ha-ha-ha-ha; ha. That has worked. There is an Air Force major, George Filer, who I know, he may be here, who said, "The secrecy has been created mainly through the force of ridicule. People do not want to be made a laughingstock. I think that fear of ridicule by one's peerage, whether you are a Senator, or a doctor, or a university professor, is a very hard thing to overcome. Once the counterintelligence people have done their business, and they have on this; it is propaganda. There is very serious information and intelligence and we have to figure out, how do we get around the truth embargo, as Steven Bassett calls it? It's not just the government doing the embargo; we are doing the embargo because we have been brainwashed to laugh at this issue instead of to look at it in a very serious, scientific, in-depth, honest and truthful way.

(Applause.)

Woolsey: Timekeep, how much more time does Mr. Bartlett have?

Buchman: Three minutes.

Bartlett: Thank you very much. I would think that the main reason the press is not covering this is a ridicule thing. We've been watching the Tweets of what is going on today. They're not all positive. They're not as negative as we thought they might be, but they are not all positive Tweets.

Cameron: May I make a comment on this?

Bartlett: Yes, go ahead.

(05:45)

Cameron: I want to comment on this because I've had some involvement with this. As I mentioned in my statement, Bill Clinton asked Webster Hubbell to get the answer to, "Who killed JFK?" If you go to the files that have been recovered, there are 5,000, almost 6,000 pages of Kennedy assassination stuff that the Clintons did uncover. He asked him to uncover JFK and UFOs. When that book was released, "Friends in High Places," by Hubbell in 1997, the next day in the White House press

briefing, the obvious question was brought up. It was Deborah Orin, who was no friend of the Clintons, from the New York Post who asked the President's Press Secretary, "Can you tell me, did Webster Hubbell get the job to go out and look at UFOs?" He walked around the question the first time. Deborah Orin in an interview said that she came back with a follow-up question and she said, "I'd like to know, is what Mr. Hubbell writes in his book true?" The Press Secretary for the President said, "I'm not going to comment on what people write in their personal books." Deborah Orin said in an interview later, that "I sat there and waited for someone to follow up on this very important question and the rest of the White House press corps rolled over and played dead.

What it comes down to is if you have worked your way up to the White House, you do not want to be the one who asks the stupid UFO question; you want to ask something very smart, because you may end up losing your job. So a lot of it does have to do with the ridicule. A lot of it has to do with, as I mentioned Chase Brandon, who was this 42 year CIA guy, writes a fictional book about Roswell and there was one story that we had always tried to track in the UFO world, a statement by Richard Helms where he said, "we control all of the media of any consequence in America." We were trying to track this. If you look in Chase Brandon's book, his character in the book uses this statement. Jesse Helms (sic) said, "We control all media of any consequence in America" and the character says, "I wish he hadn't said that."

Bartlett: Thank you. I yield back.

Woolsey: Thank you. Senator Gravel.

Gravel: Can you come to any other conclusion as a body that our nation is essentially ruled by a military junta? Can you come to any other conclusion based on all of the statements that have been made?

Greer: It's not just military; it's a large macro-economic and financial system. It's the military-industrial-corporate-financial-complex. I'm not against corporations however, as Thomas Jefferson said, "The unchecked power of the corporation." If our budget is 3.8 trillion dollars, but we're talking about something that if it is disclosed would threaten the privately owned interests in the oil-gas-coal-utility industries that are estimated to be in the multi-hundred trillions of dollars, what tail is wagging the dog of our democracy? That is the big question.

Gravel: Let me add to that. I came across a study two years ago in Zurich Switzerland. An institute studied the interlocking directorates of . . .

Greer: Yes.

Gravel: . . . 43,000 corporations. They winnowed it down to 1,350 corporations. These are interlocking directorates; interlocking family ties and primarily the top ten were international banks. They own sixty percent of the wealth of the world.

Greer: Correct.

Gravel: You are aware of that?

Greer: Yes, I am very aware of that study. Yes.

Gravel: Yes. I would only ask Steve, you really bring it to a point, and we will obviously be able to live to see if it happens, with all of these individuals who have been brought into the Obama Administration, you seem to conclude that the Obama Administration that will move this whole subject from secrecy to the light of day. Is that what you are concluding?

Bassett: I am raising some points that ought to be looked into. In other words, if Hillary Clinton was involved in, for instance, the Rockefeller Initiative, which I consider a fairly significant event, then goes on to run for President and to be Secretary of State, and yet is never asked a single question about that? Nor has anybody else; no one who I mentioned before, other than Webster Hubbell, has ever been asked a question about the Rockefeller Initiative in their entire political career since then. As you know, they are all pretty powerful people. I'm going to throw Al Gore in there as well.

(05:50)

But I want, if I could, take a little more of a benign perspective on this, I'm going to suggest that the vast majority of people who are working in the military-intelligence complex are good people who want to protect the nation and that the vast majority of people who go into serve in the Congress, and serve the White House are also good people who want to see the nation go forward. So if that's true then, how did we get where we are? In its simplest terms, I would boil it; and I'm speaking now through and past you to the Administration itself, I think what has happened that best describes the circumstances, is that during the Cold War, this incredible time when we could have destroyed all of civilization, draconian measures were taken to deal with a number of things, including the extraterrestrial issue. Over time the military-intelligence complex became very large, very heavily funded, very involved and very important. The American people knew, "I don't know what you are doing down there, but do a good job because I do not want to die in a Soviet nuclear explosion."

The extraterrestrial issue, in particular, was a major problem for them. Over the years they slowly pulled this out from under the political leadership; out from under the President; out from under the Congress. Did President Eisenhower know; of course. Did Truman know; of course. Nixon? we think so. But as you move closer to the future, they separated it out and made it their own because it's a lot easier to work with and it is so dangerous. Okay, fine. Meanwhile, what is going on? The evidence has continued to mount until finally the pressure is on everyone; you're going to have to do something. But they painted themselves into opposite corners. This is where I think they are; the President of the United States including key members of Congress do not have the access to the information. Therefore, if they were to come forward and finally end this truth embargo, they would say, "Well, there are extraterrestrials here; I'd love to tell you more, but I can't get any information from the Army, or the Navy, or the CIA; but I am telling you. Trust me on this."

That's not going to fly.

Meanwhile the military-intelligence community, unless it has been changed since I last checked, is not a fourth branch of government; it works for the President; the President is their Commander-in-Chief. So if some bird colonel wakes up one Monday morning and says, "It's time for the American people to know the truth," and goes in front of the press and says, "Extraterrestrials are here," he's just committed high treason. He will pay a substantial price and damage the relationship between the military-intelligence community and the executive branch for decades. So they can't do it; the President can't do it. So now we are stuck; we end up going nowhere. Yet they are good people, but they are tied up. What's the answer?

It is a very simple answer; enough pressure builds up on both the military-intelligence community and the executive branch from foreign governments, from witnesses coming forward, from events like this; until the President decides to select somebody who he trusts, I just might throw out the name John Podesta just to give you base mark there, whatever, to go and meet with an individual from the military-intelligence community who represents the management committees that run this issue. They are cross-agency management committees, right? That meet with respect to certain aspects of this. There's someone from each relevant agency and that agency probably doesn't even know that person is on the committee. That committee may not even know about this committee, but that's how they run this. It's very clean; it's very simple. Somebody representing that group meets with the President's representative and they decide: What do you need? "Mr. President, Here's what we need. Here's how we want things to go because we are

concerned about how this may unfold and the national security, what can you do? Then the President says, "What can you do for me? Can you provide me the key information so I won't be standing out there." They have that meeting; they come to an agreement and they end this truth embargo. That's the essence of where we are right now.

(Applause.)

Gravel: All I can say is; you are a great optimist.

(Laughter.)

Gravel: The statement you are making reminds me of a statement that I think we all know, because they are all good people; there are good people in the United States Congress, there are good people here at this table, there are good people out there; but for good people to do nothing, that's how evil comes about.

Bassett: True.

(Applause.)

Woolsey: Congresswoman Kilpatrick.

Kilpatrick: Thank you, this has been an absolutely awesome day.

(Applause.)

Kilpatrick: The professional testimony that we've heard; awesome. The preparation that we got prior to sitting here this morning has been wonderful. Steve I know you always think we fall short, but we can always do more; it's been wonderful and from this Congressperson I want to say, Thank you.

(Applause)

Bassett: You are very welcome.

(05:55)

Kilpatrick: We've got to say and do, and I know we have got a week to roll it out and I hope that we will come to something as you all continue your work on the Citizen Hearing on Disclosure.

We've all been in Congress long enough to know something about this world, not this subject, but certainly much about our country and how it

operates. Everything that you have said here this Congressperson knows it to be true, from things that we have done in our own lives. The President and the military; there's always a friction there. Governors and their police troops; it is a little friction. Mayors and their police force, there's something there; who has the power? That is an inherent something that our world witnesses; I certainly have over my sixty-plus years alive. It is important that we work with the foreign governments. There are ten or fifteen already identified who have acknowledged this existence. I want to be part of that; I want to go; I want to see; I want all of that. I am presenting myself for that because I want to do that.

(Applause.)

The public-private partnerships that I mentioned the other day? Got to do it. Once upon a time this country had horse and buggies; we moved to cars and now we're moving to something else. There were no emails and computers and all of that two decades ago. I mean evolving. When you talk about oil, gas and coal; that's the money and it is about money and power. But who is to say you can't transition that to what we are talking about here today with the kind of partnerships that we have to build in order to do that? So we phase out of oil, gas and coal; and we are doing it already, but we're just not. . . . I do not think that this issue, now that I've sat here all day, is separate from it. I'm sure I will know more about by Friday, but I think we have to look at it in terms of a comprehensive something that is related, rather than separate from. The things that you all testified today; I know them to be true. I know people who have had this and in the last month since they have found out I am on it, I get all kinds of things from around the world.

(Laughter.)

"Happy you are going to be listening" and I am happy that I am listening, but I want us to consider who that private-public. . . . For example, Dr. Greer; excellent information; all of that; got to be funded. I do money; that's what I have done in my career. I don't have any, but that's where I worked.

(Laughter.)

But we can do this if we broaden our scope. It's not "us" and "they" or "them" and "us."

It is "us" or there is nothing!

(Applause.)

It is that important. I don't have the answers for it now; I just know that there is something here that we have touched on, at least in my little experience in these eight hours. It is something to build on and I want us to consider that. The military-industrial-financial complex is real. It is real. Everyone knows it is a real entity and it really directs our world in the various countries; I can't speak for them, I can speak for the USA. It is real. Not to be throwing stones at; but to work with. When we bring them in as partners because the complex has more power than the President; I'm sorry, I hate to just put that on the record. Not the person, but the office is what I speak. When we deal with it as a whole thing, and not "us" over here and "them" over there, we can do this Steven. It is just going to take an international coalition that will bring us, as someone just said, to help bring us to where we want to go to do some of the things we need to do.

(Applause.)

Finally for me, the military-intelligence committee; we have to have that. It exists and we've always thought that it helps us to do a lot of things, like Boston most recently, and as big Iran-Iraq-Afghanistan. I have been all over the world in this job of mine, but I haven't in this capacity. I am offering myself for that. I see that we can get to this. This was a brilliant way to start it Steven building on what you guys have already done for these last fifty-plus years. This is the Twenty-First Century; the Twentieth Century is over. It is about coalitions and partnerships and it is an international one that will save our world and our country. So to all of you there; I always say, "the woman," she brings a whole other perspective here. Thank you; without notes and all of that. If you God's animals, and something does from time to time as you've just described, in a way that we know nothing of where there is no blood or other fluids; that is something. I believe too; I am going to end on this; I don't have a question; I am making my final statement of the day.

If you talk about everything we have talked about today, and this is just Day One, I can't even imagine what we are going to do with the rest of the week.

(Laughter.)

(06:00)

Hurricanes, tornadoes, fires, winds; I am a Biblical person; I believe it is Biblical. I believe that everything that is going on and what we are talking about now, and the power that might be out there that we/they are scared is going to overtake us? It won't overtake us because we are going to work with it. We are going to build a partnership.

(Applause.)

The sooner we get it done, the safer our children will be; the more protected our seniors will be, who built this great country. The international connection is what we must do, so for all of you today who have come before us, and before me specifically, I just want to say, Thank You; it is not the end it is just the beginning.

Thank you very much.

Woolsey: Congressman Cook.

Cook: I want to start by saying that those in attendance in this room would understand one-hundred percent what I am going to say. I want to be sure that anybody who is streaming understands what a Herculean effort Steven Bassett has pulled off in putting this thing together.

(Cheers; applause.)

Buchman: I'll give you an extra minute.

(Laughter.)

Cook: Thank you. If I get one more minute additionally after that, I'll say. . . . To think, this is only the first day; we've got four more days of this. I have been most interested in your references to John Podesta and Bill Richardson. I may not be a member of their party, I may be a member of a party that has opposed them on many, many things; but I have never known two more intelligent, honest and deeply committed members of the government; members of the Administrations, Congress and everything else; in Cabinet positions that they held, or were almost going to be holding. I have been deeply impressed as I have known, even before today, before what you said, Steven, that these people have been particularly prominent in wanting to end what you have called the Truth Embargo. Certainly Richardson has made statements. Just to have somebody of his stature say, "Something landed in Roswell and we need to have those files," was a very powerful thing. Podesta's forward to a book that has been on the New York Times best seller list, recently, related to UFOs is a strong thing. So what I am asking you here, the reason I'm putting that background into this question is, because I can already tell, especially from our luncheon discussion as former members of the United States Congress that there seems to be developing a consensus that whatever we think of the evidence at this point, we think there need to be official Hearings. I am impressed that the attorney, I've forgotten his name just now, that has been working . . .

Bassett: Daniel Sheehan.

Cook: Yes. Although he called for United Nations Hearings initially, he was talking in his last statement that we need Congressional Hearings. I don't know whether this panel should be focusing on members of the United States Congress to do something, or members of the Administration to do something, or maybe both. I don't know what the best buttons to push might be depending on which way this group decides to try to open things up. That's what I would like for you to please give us some advice in that regard.

Bassett: Yes sir. There is another audience that this event is targeting; America's Fourth Estate, the journalists of this country. There is a plaque on a wall right on the other side of this club; down the other hall toward the fourth estate restaurant. It was donated in 1962 to this club on the fiftieth anniversary of the Columbia School of Journalism which was founded by Joseph Pulitzer; the quote is from Joseph Pulitzer. The first line of this quote is one of the most important, simple sentences any American would want to read and understand:

“This Republic and its Press will rise or fall together.”

(06:05)

I believe that when John Podesta made that statement, in the room right next to that plaque in 2002, and when he came back again and made it in 2003; when Bill Richardson wrote that comment, they were reaching out to the media to see what they would do. Would they rise up on that? Because the way our country works, maybe not as much lately, in general is that we have a vast media, we have all of this media, issues come up, the media embrace them; they put them out there and what they do is create a platform on which the Congress or political leaders can stand. But if they don't do that, the Congressperson has no place to stand; they walk out and they are on water and just sink out of sight. So if the press doesn't do that, the Congress won't come forward; the political leaders won't come forward.

So when Podesta and Richardson made those moves, the Press did not come back; they did not create that platform on which to stand, and they stepped back. I am suggesting that there are a lot of people ready to come forward out of Congress right now; you have come forward. Some of you just left Congress a couple of months ago. If the media would simply take this to the next level; do a little investigation and start to show serious Front-Page intent, I think a lot of political leaders will step forward onto that platform and they will end this Truth Embargo. They

will benefit, the media will benefit and this fourth estate will help this government and our nation stand. But if they continue down the road that began with the Truth Embargo and other matters during the draconian Cold War, when we had to defeat the Soviet Union at all costs, which means we built vast complexes of secrecy and underground facilities, and undermined governments and created the vast secret empire that I like to refer to. If they keep going down that road, if they go to the American people and say, "Something is there," when it's not; weapons of mass destruction in Iraq? Or "Something isn't there," when it is; extraterrestrials engaging the human race? They turn reality on its head and they erode, if not destroy, the social contract.

Greer: I think the other thing . . .

(Applause.)

Cook: It is sort of a; I shouldn't use the expression, "rats chasing rats," but maybe that's not such a bad . . .

(Laughter.)

Greer: Here's the nice thing about the New Media; we have a world now where we can stream. The Huffington Post . . .

Cook: That's what I was going to get into . . .

Greer: did an article about the film Sirius that has just been released; that is based on our work. It had like four million people look at it. We can do a lot that we could not have done twelve years ago, five years ago, with the New Media, the People's Media. That's one thing. The other is that I want to put out a call for other witnesses from inside the military-intelligence and corporate world to come forward. But who will come forward with their rank, serial number, name, and actionable intelligence. One thing that I want to comment on; there is a confusion that has been in the room a little bit today between access and control. I have been able to provide actionable intelligence to where there would be access, but you don't necessarily have control. We have to understand the difference for the President, and even for some of these senior military officials who I have met with, and who I continue to meet with, there is a huge problem there. This is something that does need to be fixed. The media is one part; the public is one part; we have to get all of these components going. But something that needs to be looked at from a Constitutional and national-security point-of-view, is that you cannot have information like this being withheld from the Commander-in-Chief and senior people like the Defense Intelligence Agency Head or the CIA

Director, which we know is going on. If we cannot fix that, our Democracy is in danger, but so is our world.

(Applause.)

Cook: Thank you.

Woolsey: Our clean-up batter is Congresswoman Hooley.

Hooley: I am going to follow-up on what you just said Mr. Greer and that is; you talk about access; you talk about control; How do we, all of us, chance that around so that the President feels in control, or the person who heads up an agency feels in control? Give me some of your suggestions.

(06:10)

Greer: One of the most poignant thing that happened some years ago when I was wrapping up my three-hour meeting with the CIA Director, was that I gave him something very much like this, that had a bunch of recommendations for executive orders. He looked at me squarely in the eyes and he said, "Dr. Greer how do we disclose that which we do not have control over?" I said, "You get control over it."

You have to, I hate to use the term, lean into it and lean into it hard. The powers of the Congress, the powers of the presidency and the courts are substantial. If they are denied access-control over projects that we can prove are going on, then there should be serious consequences to that because that is treason. The other point is that the public have to demand this level of transparency which, of course, John Podesta discussed.

(Applause.)

Ultimately there needs to be a level of compassion for those who are trapped in a system that they are terrified to take on. This is why there has to also be a level of protection for people who want to step forward who are deep insiders. I would love to give a list of names of folks who I have met with in very high and deep positions, but they have asked me not to. I recently put on YouTube the testimony of a man who had worked with the CIA, who would not let me release it until he had died. It's up there now. Pawelec; go look at it;¹ it deals with something that you will hear about later; implants, which were developed by the CIA in the 1970s and 1980s.

¹ <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ORBUD0Z3Fc>

My point is that we have to also understand that many of these men and women who come forward are enormously courageous, but there are also many others who would like to, but they can't just do it in some kind of a circus; they also have to be assured of their protection and their safety.

Howe: If I can address the whole intimidation issue from something that happened; people are so afraid for their lives, their families because they have had to sign non-disclosure agreements in which they basically are told, "If you say anything to anyone, your family will suffer because you will not only be put in prison, but all benefits will be denied your family." I have talked with several people who have signed such non-disclosure agreements that are working on some capacity in the extraterrestrial issue. This really came home to me; probably this was back around 1985/1986, a security guard at Lockheed-Martin in a facility in Denver, had approached me because she had read my first book, *An Alien Harvest*, and she had asked for an autograph at someplace where I was speaking. She said, "If you need any help with anything, I'll help you." She began to do some filing and various things. She felt that *An Alien Harvest* was a book that should be out there in the world and one day she told me that she had a friend working inside Lockheed-Martin in a position that was related to something that they were doing in aerospace, but it was classified. It was one of those office complexes where you had to have the need-to-know in order to enter or the red and the blue lights would spin. She invited him as a friend to go out to dinner or something. He said, "Why don't you come over? We're having a birthday party next weekend." So this is somebody who has a confidential position and now she is going to the house, and she said, "I just thought your book would be the most perfect gift. I presented your book; he took it; he looked at it and spun through the pages as if he already knew. He dropped it on the floor and said, "Take this from this house; put it in your car and don't ever talk with me about this again."

She was shocked because she didn't know that the classified area must have had something very intimately to do with the subjects in *An Alien Harvest*, which was about animal mutilations, extraterrestrials, law enforcement and medical research in something that the government denies. That is happening in so many ways where people become so intimidated that they will not even accept a book.

(06:15)

Bassett: You have asked interestingly enough, the timing is perfect because we are just about done, you have asked what is perhaps the seminal question of this time; How do we regain control? How do the citizens of the country regain control?

I would like to make a modest proposal. We went through an extraordinary period called The Cold War, nothing like it ever before. We built 86,000 nuclear weapons; six or seven countries; four or five times we nearly launched them. It would have ended all of civilization; which would have been a killer on our resume, if you know what I mean. We basically gave the government a license to do whatever it had to do to protect us and make that not happen. We built a vast, secret, what I call "Empire;" other countries did as well.

The Cold War was over and we won it. What we were supposed to do then was, how would you say? reform, dismantle, readjust back to something more appropriate; we didn't do that; we kept right on going. Now this thing is out of control. It is like the guys that the town hires to clean out the bad guys, and then when the bad guys are gone it turns out the guys they hired may have been a problem. How are we going to get that control from a complex this vast, that's funded by trillions of dollars? It is going to take a key, a really, really big key. There is only one that has a chance. That's why this is called the Citizen Hearing on Disclosure. We have a vast interest in the subject and a huge attention by the world. The government is in a position where I think we have them in checkmate.

If they are put in the position where they have to disclose that there is an extraterrestrial presence; we couldn't tell you before, we're telling you today, then I believe it will trigger the greatest age of institutional reform the world has ever seen, in which billions of people focusing their eyes in the same direction, are going to try a just reform of every aspect of human society and try to get it back to where it should be. That will make an amazing amount of wonderful television coverage; I am looking forward to it.

The age of reform is just on the other side of Disclosure; we have to get through that door. That is how we get control back.

Hooley: Thank you.

Woolsey: So this is the close of day one; we have four more days. I want to compliment all of you out there in the audience. I guess you are audience; you are participants as far as I can tell. You stayed all day. I hope you are here all the way through Friday because you are amazing and our witnesses have been great.

We will see you all tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock sharp.

(06:18)

END OF DAY ONE
The Citizen Hearing on Disclosure